Jurnal Pengabdian Hukum Indonesia
Indonesian Journal of Legal Community Engagement

A peer-reviewed journal published by Faculty of Law Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia. The title has been indexed by SINTA, GARUDA, BASE, OneSearch. ISSN 2654-8313 (Print) 2654-8305 (Online)
Online at https://journal.unnes.ac.id/journals/JPHI/index

Indonesia's Online Loan Challenges: What Legal Actions Can Solve the Most Pressing Issues?

Admiral Admiral
Faculty of Law, Universitas Islam Riau, Pekanbaru, Indonesia

Suparto Suparto
Faculty of Law, Universitas Islam Riau, Pekanbaru, Indonesia

Esy Kurniasih
Faculty of Law, Universitas Islam Riau, Pekanbaru, Indonesia

Selvi Afriani
Faculty of Law, Universitas Islam Riau, Pekanbaru, Indonesia

John Woodward
Faculty of Business and Law, Universitas Newcastle,
New South Wales, Australia

Fadhel Arjuna Adinda
Faculty of Law, Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung, Indonesia

✉ Corresponding email: admiral@law.uir.ac.id

Cite this article as
Admiral, Admiral, Suparto Suparto, Esy Kurniasih, Selvi Afriani, John Woodward, Fadhel Arjuna Adinda. "Indonesia's Online Loan Challenges: What Legal Actions Can Solve the Most Pressing Issues?" *Jurnal Pengabdian Hukum Indonesia* 8, no. 1 (2025): 275-314.
https://doi.org/10.15294/jphi.v8i1.21959

Abstract

The rapid growth of online lending platforms in Indonesia has introduced significant challenges, particularly regarding predatory lending practices, lack of consumer awareness, and insufficient regulatory oversight. These challenges have raised concerns about consumer protection, the exploitation of borrowers, and the need for stronger legal frameworks to ensure fair and transparent lending practices. This paper explores the key legal and regulatory actions necessary to address these pressing issues. It examines the role of Indonesia's Financial Services Authority (OJK) in regulating online lenders and proposes potential improvements in licensing, supervision, and enforcement. The paper also suggests the introduction of clearer lending standards, such as interest rate caps and transparent fee structures, alongside stronger consumer protection laws to prevent harassment and over-indebtedness. Data privacy and security concerns are addressed, with a call for more robust protections around borrower data. Additionally, the paper advocates for financial literacy programs to empower borrowers with the knowledge to make informed decisions. The research contributes to the existing body of knowledge by providing an in-depth analysis of the legal gaps within Indonesia's online lending market, offering comparative insights from other countries like India and the Philippines, and proposing actionable legal solutions. It also emphasizes the importance of integrating technology into the regulatory process to enhance oversight and consumer protection. Ultimately, this study aims to inform policymakers, regulators, and stakeholders in Indonesia's fintech industry about the necessary legal reforms to create a more transparent, equitable, and secure online lending environment.

KEYWORDS *Online Lending, Legal Framework, Consumer Protection, Regulatory Oversight, Financial Services Authority (OJK)*

Introduction

The online loan industry in Indonesia has rapidly expanded in recent years, reshaping the financial landscape of the country. Driven by increased smartphone penetration, internet access, and a growing demand for alternative financial services, online lending platforms have provided much-needed access to credit, particularly for the unbanked and underbanked populations.[1] These digital platforms offer quick and convenient loans, often without requiring a credit history or collateral, making them an appealing option for individuals who find it difficult to secure loans from traditional financial institutions. However, the rapid growth of this sector has also introduced a range of legal and regulatory challenges that need to be addressed to ensure fair lending practices and protect consumers.[2]

One of the most compelling reasons for the surge in popularity of online lending platforms in Indonesia is the large proportion of the population that lacks access to traditional banking services.[3] According to the World Bank, a significant percentage of Indonesians remain unbanked, especially in rural and remote areas where the reach of conventional financial institutions is limited.[4] In this context, online lending platforms have become a crucial alternative, offering financial products that meet the specific needs of underserved individuals and small businesses. The ease of access and speed of approval make these platforms particularly

1 Hamdani, Fathul, and Ana Fauzia. "The urgency of legal protection for online loan service users." *2nd International Conference on Law and Human Rights 2021 (ICLHR 2021)*. Atlantis Press, 2021; Pelita, Tata, Sihabudin Sihabudin, and Djumikasih Djumikasih. "Legal Analysis of The Problem of The Imposition of Interest Rate on Online Loans." *International Journal of Environmental, Sustainability, and Social Science* 2, no. 2 (2021): 143-147.

2 *See* Admiral, Admiral, and Mega Ardina Pauck. "Unveiling the Dark Side of Fintech: Challenges and Breaches in Protecting User Data in Indonesia's Online Loan Services." *Lex Scientia Law Review* 7, no. 2 (2023): 995-1048; Diniyanto, Ayon, and Heris Suhendar. "How Law Responds to Technological Development?." *Unnes Law Journal* 6, no. 2 (2020): 405-426; Oktaviany, Rika. "Legal Protection Against Victims of Illegal Online Loan Users." *Journal of Creativity Student* 6, no. 1 (2021): 43-64; Arvante, Jeremy Zefanya Yaka. "Dampak Permasalahan Pinjaman Online dan Perlindungan Hukum Bagi Konsumen Pinjaman Online." *Ikatan Penulis Mahasiswa Hukum Indonesia Law Journal* 2, no. 1 (2022): 73-87.

3 Sendjaja, Theodorus, et al. "Beyond Branches: How Fintech and Sustainable Innovation are Reshaping the Banking Landscape in Indonesia." *Applied Business and Administration Journal* 3, no. 3 (2024): 67-78.

4 *See* Johnston Jr, Don, and Jonathan Morduch. "The unbanked: evidence from Indonesia." *The World Bank Economic Review* 22, no. 3 (2008): 517-537.

attractive, as they eliminate the need for long paperwork processes, lengthy approval periods, and collateral requirements, which are common barriers to obtaining loans through traditional banks.

Despite these benefits, the rapid growth of online lending has raised concerns regarding consumer protection and the potential for exploitative practices. The lack of robust regulation in the early stages of the industry's development allowed for the emergence of illegal and predatory lending practices, such as exorbitant interest rates, hidden fees, and aggressive debt collection tactics. These practices have led to a rise in consumer complaints and public concerns about the negative impact of online lending on vulnerable borrowers.[5] As a result, it has become increasingly clear that the Indonesian government and regulatory bodies must take proactive steps to address these issues and establish a legal framework that protects consumers while fostering a sustainable lending environment.

The importance of addressing these legal issues cannot be overstated. The lack of regulation in the online loan sector has led to the proliferation of unlicensed and unregistered lenders, many of whom operate outside the law and take advantage of the financial vulnerabilities of their customers.[6] These lenders often offer loans with terms that are unclear or misleading, making it difficult for consumers to fully understand the financial implications of their decisions. This situation highlights the urgent need for legal intervention to protect borrowers from exploitation and ensure that online lending platforms operate in a fair and transparent manner.[7]

In response to the growing concerns, the Indonesian government has begun to introduce regulatory measures aimed at curbing predatory

[5] Disemadi, Hari Sutra, Mochammad Abizar Yusro, and Wizna Gania Balqis. "The Problems of Consumer Protection in Fintech Peer to Peer Lending Business Activities in Indonesia." *Sociological Jurisprudence Journal* 3, no. 2 (2020): 91-97; Ranaivo, Mikea Manitra Ramalina. "Illegal Online Loan (Pinjol) in Indonesia: Ethical and Human Rights Perspectives." *Recht Studiosum Law Review* 3, no. 1 (2024): 58-76.

[6] Sianjaya, Ivone Christy, Tandyo Hasan, and Andyna Susiawati. "Legal impact and urgency of protection for users of illegal online loan services." *The International Journal of Politics and Sociology Research* 11, no. 4 (2024): 457-464; Johan, Suwinto. "Financial Technology Company's Debt Collection Method: A Legal Aspect." *Unnes Law Journal* 8, no. 1 (2022): 1-20.

[7] Saifullah, Saifullah, et al. "The Evaluation of The Indonesian Fintech Law from the Perspective of Regulatory Technology Paradigms to Mitigate Illegal Fintech." *Jurisdictie: Jurnal Hukum dan Syariah* 14, no. 2 (2023): 233-264; Suprapti, Duhita Driyah, Nurul Fibrianti, and Anggun Meinanda Maharani. "Increasing Public Understanding of the Prevention and Complaints of Fraudulent Investments." *Indonesian Journal of Advocacy and Legal Services* 4, no. 2 (2022): 295-314.

lending practices and ensuring consumer protection. The Financial Services Authority (OJK) introduced a set of regulations in 2018 that required online lenders to obtain licenses, disclose loan terms clearly, and adhere to interest rate limits.[8] These regulations have been a step in the right direction, but there is still significant work to be done to strengthen enforcement and address emerging challenges. A comprehensive legal framework is needed to ensure that consumers are protected from harmful practices, and that the industry continues to thrive in a responsible and ethical manner.

One of the key challenges in the legal regulation of online lending is the need to balance consumer protection with the desire to foster innovation and market growth.[9] Overly restrictive regulations may stifle the growth of the online loan sector, limiting access to credit for those who need it most. On the other hand, inadequate regulation could allow predatory lenders to continue operating unchecked, further damaging the reputation of the industry and undermining consumer trust.[10] Therefore, a nuanced and carefully designed regulatory framework is required to address the pressing issues while supporting the development of the sector.

Moreover, the need for regulatory action is also critical in addressing the growing prevalence of illegal online lending platforms. These platforms often operate outside the formal financial system and lack proper oversight, leaving consumers vulnerable to exploitative practices. Strengthening the legal framework and enforcement mechanisms will help to eliminate these illegal operators and ensure that only licensed, ethical, and transparent platforms are allowed to operate in the market. Additionally, improving consumer education and financial literacy will

---

8 *See* Ibrahim, Master Irfan, and Dabella Yunia. "Transformation Financial Services Authority in the Digitalization Era." *Journal of Applied Business, Taxation and Economics Research* 1, no. 4 (2022): 385-392; Rahmanto, Frontieka Ayu, et al. "The Role of The Financial Services Authority (OJK) as A Supervisor in Digital Financial Institutions (Financial Technology) in Indonesia." *Proceeding International Conference Restructuring and Transforming Law*. Vol. 2. No. 2. 2023.

9 Atikah, Ika. "Consumer protection and fintech companies in indonesia: innovations and challenges of the financial services authority." *Jurnal Hukum dan Peradilan* 9, no. 1 (2020): 132-153.

10 Ariany, Lisda. "Legal Protection for Users of Illegal Online Loan Services in Indonesia Reviewed from A Jurisdictional Aspect." *Al Qalam: Jurnal Ilmiah Keagamaan dan Kemasyarakatan* 16, no. 6 (2022): 2249-2260; Angkasa, Angkasa, et al. "Illegal Online Loans in Indonesia: Between the Law Enforcement and Protection of Victim." *Lex Scientia Law Review* 7, no. 1 (2023): 119-178.

empower borrowers to make informed decisions and protect themselves from falling victim to harmful lending practices.[11]

The importance of legal actions in tackling the challenges facing the online lending industry in Indonesia cannot be underestimated. Effective regulatory measures will not only protect consumers but also help to create a stable and sustainable lending environment that fosters competition, innovation, and market growth. Legal reforms must focus on creating a regulatory framework that promotes transparency, accountability, and fairness, while also ensuring that borrowers have access to affordable and responsible credit.[12] By addressing these challenges, Indonesia can pave the way for a more inclusive and equitable financial system that benefits all segments of society.

Furthermore, several high-profile cases have brought the issues of online lending in Indonesia into sharp focus, highlighting the urgent need for legal reform and regulatory intervention. One of the most disturbing cases occurred in 2020, when numerous borrowers of illegal online lending platforms, often referred to as "*Pinjol*" (*Peminjaman Online*), reported severe harassment and exploitation. These unregistered lenders employed aggressive tactics to ensure repayment, which included threatening messages, social media shaming, and contacting borrowers' family members.[13] In some extreme cases, the harassment escalated to the point where borrowers felt psychologically distressed, leading to widespread public outcry. The incidents exposed the lack of regulatory oversight in the online lending sector, particularly with regard to unethical and abusive practices by unlicensed lenders. This case underscored the vulnerability of borrowers, especially those who were unfamiliar with the legalities of borrowing from online platforms, and emphasized the urgent need for stronger consumer protection measures and regulatory enforcement.[14]

---

[11] Hasan, Zulfikar. "The Impact of Digital Financial Literacy on Consumer Protection, Investor Security, and Financial Transactions in Indonesia." *International Journal of Islamic Economics and Finance Research* 7, no. 2 December (2024): 55-77.

[12] Prastyanti, Rina Arum, and Arief Budiyono. "Legal Reformulation and Ethics of Fintech Lending Companies in Indonesia." *International Journal of Global Community* 6, no. 1-March (2023): 53-64; Abubakar, Lastuti, and Tri Handayani. "Financial technology: Legal challenges for Indonesia financial sector." *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. Vol. 175. No. 1. IOP Publishing, 2018.

[13] Angkasa, et al. "Illegal Online Loans in Indonesia: Between the Law Enforcement and Protection of Victim."

[14] Oktaviany, Rika. "Legal Protection Against Victims of Illegal Online Loan Users." *Journal of Creativity Student* 6, no. 1 (2021): 43-64; Al Ghani, Muhammad Firman. "Urgensi Pengaturan Perlindungan Data Pribadi Pada Penyelenggaraan

Another significant case emerged in 2019 involving KoinWorks, one of Indonesia's more prominent licensed online lending platforms. The platform faced growing criticism from users who claimed they were unaware of the high-interest rates attached to their loans. Several borrowers alleged that the platform failed to provide clear and transparent information about the fees and rates they were charged.[15] While KoinWorks was a registered platform, the complaints highlighted a broader issue within the industry: the adequacy of loan term disclosures and the transparency of fee structures. These concerns raised questions about the effectiveness of current regulatory standards, as even licensed platforms struggled to maintain clarity and fairness in their lending practices. This case illustrated that regulatory measures should not only focus on ensuring platforms are legally registered but also ensure that consumer rights are protected through clear communication of loan terms and costs.[16]

In 2021, the authorities in Bali uncovered a widespread operation involving illegal online lenders who targeted tourists and expatriates in the region. These lenders offered seemingly attractive loans with promises of quick approval, but they imposed exorbitant interest rates once the loans were granted. Borrowers who failed to repay in time were subjected to aggressive tactics, including threats and harassment, further compounding their financial distress. Many of the individuals affected by this operation were unfamiliar with local lending practices, making them more vulnerable to exploitation. The case raised alarm about the growing number of illegal lenders in areas frequented by tourists, where borrowers might be less aware of their rights or the risks associated with such loans. This case

---

Layanan Pinjaman Online." *The Digest: Journal of Jurisprudence and Legisprudence* 3, no. 1 (2022): 38-58.

15 Rousyati, Rousyati, et al. "Analisa Sentimen Financial Technology Peer to Peer Lending Pada Aplikasi Koinworks." *Jurnal Teknologi Informasi dan Ilmu Komputer* 9, no. 6 (2022): 1167-1176.

16 *See* Nabila Ramadhanty, "Nasabah Geruduk Kantor KoinWorks Akibat Kebijakan Bisnis Sepihak", *TIRTO,* November 19, 2024. Retrieved from <https://tirto.id/nasabah-geruduk-kantor-koinworks-akibat-kebijakan-bisnis-sepihak-g5Wg>; Mentari Puspadini, "OJK Buka Suara Soal Gagal Bayar Anak Usaha KoinWorks", *CNBC Indonesia,* November 21, 2024. Retrieved from <https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/market/20241121112405-17-589961/ojk-buka-suara-soal-gagal-bayar-anak-usaha-koinworks>; CNN Indonesia, "OJK Panggil Anak Usaha Koinworks Imbas Kasus Dugaan Penipuan", *CNN Indonesia,* November 21, 2024. Retrieved from <https://www.cnnindonesia.com/ekonomi/20241121123917-78-1169070/ojk-panggil-anak-usaha-koinworks-imbas-kasus-dugaan-penipuan>

highlighted the increasing need for stronger enforcement mechanisms to combat illegal lending and protect vulnerable individuals from unscrupulous operators.

Another case of concern involved the legal online lending platform TunaiKita in 2022. Despite being registered and operating within the regulatory framework, the platform came under scrutiny after multiple complaints surfaced regarding its high interest rates and unclear repayment terms. Borrowers reported that the platform imposed interest rates significantly higher than those initially disclosed, leaving many customers financially burdened and unaware of the full implications of their loans. This case illustrated the ongoing challenges within the legal online lending industry, particularly in ensuring that platforms adhere to clear and consistent regulations regarding interest rates and loan terms. It also underscored the necessity of enhanced transparency and more stringent oversight to prevent consumer exploitation, even within licensed and regulated platforms.[17]

These cases highlight the critical legal and ethical challenges faced by Indonesia's online lending industry. From illegal lenders using aggressive tactics to legally registered platforms failing to provide clear information, these incidents expose the gaps in consumer protection and the need for more comprehensive regulation. They reflect the importance of implementing stronger measures to ensure that borrowers are fully informed about the terms of their loans, that lending practices remain transparent, and that unlicensed operators are held accountable. Addressing these challenges through legal reforms and regulatory action is essential to fostering a fair and ethical lending environment that protects consumers while allowing the online lending sector to thrive responsibly.

The program focuses on a direct approach to communities affected by the issues surrounding illegal online loans (pinjol). This program will involve conducting educational campaigns and training sessions aimed at raising public awareness about the risks of online loans and informing

---

[17] *See* Fatmawati Kasim, "Penagihan Aplikasi TunaiKita Bicara Kasar dan Mengancam", *Media Konsumen,* October 28, 2019. Online at https://mediakonsumen.com/2019/10/28/surat-pembaca/penagihan-aplikasi-tunaikita-bicara-kasar-dan-mengancam; Novina Putri Bestari, "OJK Cabut Izin 7 Fintech Pinjol, Ini Daftarnya", *CNBC Indonesia,* September 27, 2021. Online at https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/tech/20210927103849-37-279370/ojk-cabut-izin-7-fintech-pinjol-ini-daftarnya; Novina Putri, "Dicabut Izinnya Oleh OJK, Jangan Transaksi di Fintech Ini!", *CNBC Indonesia,* December 24, 2021. Online at https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/tech/20211224132356-37-301771/dicabut-izinnya-oleh-ojk-jangan-transaksi-di-fintech-ini

individuals of their legal rights when dealing with illegal lenders. The program will collaborate with civil society organizations (CSOs), consumer protection agencies, and relevant government bodies to share information on how to report and address illegal online lending through legal avenues. Additionally, the program will produce and distribute educational materials in accessible formats, ensuring that the public is well-informed about consumer protection measures and available dispute resolution options.

The legal advocacy program aims to identify and recommend necessary legal actions to address the challenges posed by illegal online lending in Indonesia. This program will analyze existing policies, regulations, and enforcement practices related to the online lending industry. It will work in collaboration with legal practitioners, academics, and policymakers to assess legal gaps and propose practical solutions, including necessary amendments to current regulations. The program will also include case studies and interviews with victims of illegal lending to better understand the social and economic impacts. The goal of this advocacy is to provide valuable recommendations to government agencies and relevant institutions for stronger policies and regulations that protect consumers from the harms of illegal online lending practices.

Understanding the Crucial Issues in Indonesia's Online Loan Market

Indonesia's online loan market has expanded rapidly, offering vital access to credit for underserved populations. However, as the market grows, several pressing issues have emerged, including predatory lending practices, consumer awareness, regulatory gaps, data privacy concerns, and the growing risk of debt burdens.[18] These issues are compounded by existing legal and regulatory challenges, making it crucial to examine the relevant regulations and their effectiveness in addressing these problems. This analysis will focus on the key issues in Indonesia's online lending market, the legal frameworks designed to tackle them, and their effectiveness in protecting consumers.

---

[18] *See* Suryono, Ryan Randy, Indra Budi, and Betty Purwandari. "Detection of fintech P2P lending issues in Indonesia." *Heliyon* 7, no. 4 (2021); Wijaya, Hendrik, and Herwastoeti Herwastoeti. "Criminal & Civil Liability Related to Misuse of Illegal Fintech Customer Data During the Covid-19 Pandemic." *Audito Comparative Law Journal (ACLJ)* 3, no. 1 (2022): 1-9.

One of the most critical issues in the online loan market is predatory lending practices, particularly excessive interest rates and hidden fees.[19] Online lenders, especially those operating outside the regulated space, often charge interest rates that far exceed the limits established by law, with some rates reaching thousands of percent annually. These lenders also often impose additional fees that are not clearly disclosed at the time of borrowing, making it difficult for consumers to fully understand the total cost of the loan. According to Indonesia's Financial Services Authority (OJK), the legal maximum interest rate for online loans is capped at 0.4% per day for the first 90 days, after which the rate should be reduced to 0.3% per day for loans exceeding this term. However, many unlicensed lenders violate this limit, putting borrowers at risk of falling into an unsustainable debt cycle. Despite the OJK's efforts to regulate interest rates, enforcement remains weak, and many illegal platforms continue to operate freely, exploiting the regulatory gaps.[20]

Along with high-interest rates, aggressive collection practices have emerged as a significant issue. Several cases have been reported in which borrowers, particularly those who have missed payments, are subjected to harassment, threats, and public shaming by collection agencies hired by lenders. Some online lending platforms have also been found to misuse personal data for harassment or to intimidate borrowers into repaying their loans. In response to these predatory practices, the OJK issued regulations mandating that lenders adhere to ethical debt collection practices. The OJK's rules also emphasize the importance of clear and transparent communication with borrowers. However, enforcement remains inconsistent, and many platforms continue to employ coercive tactics. The lack of legal consequences for these aggressive practices shows a major gap in consumer protection laws and highlights the urgent need for stronger enforcement mechanisms.[21]

---

[19] Celestin, Mbonigaba, and N. Vanitha. "The Hidden Fees: How to Avoid Losing Money in Your Financial Transactions." *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research in Arts and Humanities* 6, no. 2 (2021): 51-58.

[20] *See* Anggraeni, RR Dewi, and Annissa Rezki. "Fintech Regulations and Oversight of the Financial Services Authority in Indonesia." *The 1st International Conference on Research in Social Sciences and Humanities (ICoRSH 2020)*. Atlantis Press, 2021; Tan, David. "Demystifying the proliferation of online peer-to-peer lending in Indonesia: Decoding fintech as a regulatory challenge." *Asian Journal of Law and Society* 10, no. 3 (2023): 376-400.

[21] *See* Republic of Indonesia, *Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (POJK) Nomor 77/POJK.01/2016 mengenai Layanan Pinjam Meminjam Uang Berbasis Teknologi Informasi (LPMUBTI)*. *See also* Republic of Indonesia, *Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan Nomor*

Another significant challenge is the lack of consumer awareness about the terms and conditions of online loans. Many borrowers are not fully informed about the true cost of borrowing, including interest rates, fees, and the repayment schedule. This is particularly concerning given the high number of unbanked individuals who use these platforms to access credit. Transparency issues are compounded by the complexity of the loan agreements, which are often presented in legal language that is difficult for the average borrower to understand. Indonesia's OJK has made strides in addressing this issue through a regulation that requires online lenders to provide clear and simple loan terms. However, many borrowers still struggle to comprehend the financial implications of their loans, largely because information is not readily accessible or presented in a consumer-friendly format. There is an urgent need for clearer communication and borrower education to address this issue.

The OJK's regulation on online lending platforms, which requires lenders to obtain licenses and follow strict operational guidelines, has been a step in the right direction to reduce consumer exploitation. However, this regulation has not been fully effective due to gaps in enforcement. Many lenders continue to operate without proper licenses, and some digital platforms evade regulatory scrutiny by using cross-border operations or creating multiple shell companies. The OJK's efforts to monitor and regulate the industry are further hindered by the rapid technological advancements in the sector, making it difficult for regulators to keep up with emerging trends. Moreover, some digital platforms operate in ways that are outside the reach of traditional financial regulations, which leaves room for exploitation and harmful practices. Strengthening the enforcement of existing laws and closing regulatory loopholes are essential steps to ensuring the long-term stability of the market.

Data privacy and security concerns have also become a significant issue in Indonesia's online loan market. Online lenders typically require extensive personal data from borrowers, including government-issued identification, bank account details, and mobile phone numbers. This data is often stored on digital platforms that may not have adequate security measures in place to protect it from misuse or breaches. There have been instances where lenders have misused borrower data for unfair debt collection practices or sold personal information to third parties without the borrower's consent. In response, the Indonesian government

22 Tahun 2023 Tentang Pelindungan Konsumen dan Masyarakat di Sektor Jasa Keuangan (POJK PKM SJK).

introduced the *Personal Data Protection Law (PDPL)* in 2022, which aims to protect consumer data from unauthorized use and exploitation. The law mandates that businesses handling personal data must implement appropriate security measures, obtain consent from consumers, and allow individuals to access and correct their data. Despite these efforts, enforcement of the PDPL remains a challenge, and many online lending platforms have yet to fully comply with its provisions.

Data privacy and security concerns have emerged as significant challenges in Indonesia's online loan market, raising fundamental legal issues regarding the protection of personal information. Online lenders frequently request extensive personal data from borrowers, such as government-issued identification, bank account details, and mobile phone numbers. This data is often stored on digital platforms that may lack sufficient security measures, leaving it vulnerable to misuse or breaches. These breaches can take many forms, including the unauthorized use of borrower data for aggressive debt collection practices or the illicit sale of personal information to third parties without the borrower's consent. From a legal theory perspective, this issue aligns with the concept of autonomy as articulated by John Stuart Mill in his On Liberty (1859), where he argued that individuals have a fundamental right to control their personal sphere of action, including the use of their private information. Mill's philosophy emphasizes that individuals should have the freedom to make decisions about their personal data, without undue interference. In the context of the online loan market, this principle of autonomy is compromised when borrowers' data is misused or inadequately protected. Moreover, Judith Jarvis Thomson's theory of privacy rights suggests that the right to control one's personal data is inherently tied to dignity and autonomy, making it a moral imperative to ensure such data is protected by law.[22]

In response to these concerns, the Indonesian government enacted the Personal Data Protection Law (PDPL) in 2022, with the aim of safeguarding consumer data from unauthorized use and exploitation. From a jurisprudential standpoint, this law can be seen as an application of Ronald Dworkin's theory of rights as principles. According to Dworkin, rights are not merely rules to be enforced mechanically but are principles that require the legal system to balance conflicting interests and provide

[22] See Thomson, Judith Jarvis. *Rights, restitution, and risk: Essays, in moral theory*. Harvard University Press, 1986; Thomson, Judith Jarvis. "Self-defense." *Philosophy & Public Affairs* (1991): 283-310.

meaningful protection for individual rights.[23] The PDPL, in this sense, represents an attempt to establish a principle of privacy protection in the face of growing technological encroachment. It mandates that businesses handling personal data implement adequate security measures, obtain informed consent from consumers, and allow individuals to access and correct their data.

However, H.L.A. Hart's legal theory of legal positivism helps to explain why enforcement remains problematic. According to Hart, law is fundamentally about rules that are recognized and enforced by social institutions.[24] While the PDPL lays down clear regulations, Hart's theory highlights the gap between legal norms and their application in practice. In the Indonesian case, the enforcement challenge arises because digital platforms, particularly in the online loan sector, may not have sufficient mechanisms to comply with the law, and regulatory bodies may lack the resources or institutional framework to monitor compliance effectively. As a result, despite the legal framework in place, the public interest in data protection is not yet fully realized.

Thus, the sociological role of the law comes into play. Max Weber's concept of legal authority suggests that for law to be effective, it must be perceived as legitimate by society. Without proper enforcement mechanisms and a robust institutional framework to uphold the PDPL, the law risks failing to achieve its intended objectives. The gap between legal intent and enforcement in the online loan market underscores the need for a more comprehensive and effective regulatory system.[25]

The risk of data misuse extends beyond unauthorized access to personal information; some online lenders exploit consumer data to engage in unfair collection practices. Borrowers who miss payments may be subject to aggressive tactics, including contacting family members or posting defamatory statements on social media. These practices violate the *Consumer Protection Law* in Indonesia, which stipulates that lenders must conduct business in a manner that is not misleading or harmful to

---

[23] See Dworkin, Ronald. *Taking rights seriously*. A&C Black, 2013; Dworkin, Ronald M. "The model of rules." *The University of Chicago Law Review* 35, no. 1 (1967): 14-46.

[24] Hart, Herbert Lionel Adolphus. *Law, liberty, and morality*. Stanford University Press, 1963; Hart, Herbert Lionel Adolphus. *The concept of law*. OUP Oxford, 2012

[25] *See Republic of Indonesia*, *Undang-undang (UU) Nomor 27 Tahun 2022 tentang Pelindungan Data Pribadi. See also Sirie*, Muhammad Iqsan. "The Mandatory Designation of a Data Protection Officer in Indonesia's Upcoming Personal Data Protection Law." *Padjadjaran Jurnal Ilmu Hukum (Journal of Law)* 5, no. 1 (2018): 24-49; Judijanto, Loso, et al. "Juridical Analysis of Data Sovereignty in the Era of Digital Economy in Indonesia." *The Easta Journal Law and Human Rights* 3, no. 2 (2025): 138-146.

consumers. While the law provides a framework for ensuring that lenders act fairly and transparently, the challenge lies in enforcing these provisions in a rapidly evolving digital landscape. The regulatory framework needs to adapt to address the new risks posed by data misuse and ensure that online lending platforms are held accountable for breaches of consumer privacy.[26]

The increasing debt burdens faced by borrowers is another significant issue in the online loan market. Due to the high interest rates and short repayment periods, many borrowers are trapped in a cycle of debt, unable to repay their loans in full and forced to take out additional loans to cover previous debts. This issue is compounded by the fact that many borrowers lack financial literacy and are not fully aware of the risks of borrowing from online platforms. The Bank of Indonesia's Financial Literacy and Inclusion Index highlights that many Indonesians, particularly those from lower-income backgrounds, are not equipped with the knowledge to make informed financial decisions. The lack of financial education, combined with the aggressive nature of online lending platforms, exacerbates the debt burden on consumers. This situation calls for greater emphasis on consumer education and responsible lending practices to ensure that borrowers are not subjected to predatory lending practices.[27]

The OJK has introduced several regulations aimed at curbing these issues, including OJK Regulation No. 77/POJK.01/2016, which governs peer-to-peer (P2P) lending platforms. This regulation outlines requirements for transparency, borrower protection, and ethical lending practices. It also specifies the responsibilities of lenders in providing clear terms and conditions, as well as the penalties for non-compliance. While this regulation is an important step, its enforcement has been inconsistent, and many platforms continue to operate without adhering to these

[26] Prastyanti, Rina Arum, et al. "Law and Personal Data: Offering Strategies for Consumer Protection in New Normal Situation in Indonesia." *Jurnal Jurisprudence* 11, no. 1 (2022): 82-99; Arifin, Ridwan, et al. "Protecting the Consumer Rights in the Digital Economic Era: Future Challenges in Indonesia." *Jambura Law Review* 3, no. 1 (2021): 135-160.

[27] *See* Fauziah, Fauziah, Shinta Maharani Trivena, and Yulis Nurul Aini. "The effect of financial literacy and financial inclusion on economic growth in indonesia." *JBMP (Jurnal Bisnis, Manajemen dan Perbankan)* 7, no. 2 (2021): 339-359; Noor, Muhammad, Finnah Fourqoniah, and Muhammad Fikry Aransyah. "The Investigation of financial inclusions, financial literation, and financial technology in Indonesia." *Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah* 8, no. 3 (2020): 257-268.

standards. The regulation's limitations in addressing new technological developments in the sector further highlight the need for more robust and adaptable legal frameworks.[28]

In addition, Indonesia's online loan market faces several critical challenges that demand urgent attention. Predatory lending practices, lack of consumer awareness, regulatory gaps, data privacy concerns, and the increasing debt burdens of borrowers are significant issues that need to be addressed through stronger regulation and enforcement. While existing laws, such as the OJK's regulation on online lending platforms and the Personal Data Protection Law, provide a foundation for consumer protection, their implementation remains insufficient. To ensure the long-term stability of the online lending market, it is crucial to close regulatory gaps, improve enforcement, and enhance transparency in the lending process. Only through a comprehensive approach to legal reform and stronger enforcement can Indonesia's online loan market provide a safe, fair, and sustainable lending environment for all borrowers.

Legal Actions and Solutions for Online Loan Challenges in Indonesia

Indonesia's online loan market has witnessed remarkable growth in recent years, yet it continues to face significant legal and regulatory challenges. These challenges, ranging from predatory lending practices to data privacy concerns, have raised serious concerns regarding consumer protection and the effectiveness of existing regulations. To address these issues, various legal actions and reforms are needed, including

---

[28] *See* Republic of Indonesia, *Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan Nomor 77 /POJK.01/2016 Tentang Layanan Pinjam Meminjam Uang Berbasis Teknologi Informasi. See also* Santi, Ernama, Budiharto Budiharto, and Hendro Saptono. "Pengawasan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan Terhadap Financial Technology (Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan Nomor 77/POJK. 01/2016)." *Diponegoro Law Journal* 6, no. 3 (2017): 1-20; Putri, Etis Cahyaning, and Frida Atma Yolanda. "Perlindungan Hukum Bagi Nasabah Dalam Pinjaman Online Ditinjau dari Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan Nomor 77/Pojk. 01/2016 Tentang Layanan Pinjam Meminjam Uang Berbasis Teknologi Informasi." *Nusantara Hasana Journal* 2, no. 1 (2022): 187-197; Kamal, Ubaidillah, and Ayup Suran Ningsih. "The Urgency of Revising the Finance Services Authority Regulation Number 77/POJK. 01/2016 As an Umbrella Law in Practicing Peer to Peer Lending Based on Financial Technology in Indonesia." *Pandecta Research Law Journal* 16, no. 1 (2021): 186-194; Fiorentina, Prita, et al. "Legal Protection of Consumer Personal Data in Indonesia Fintech Peer-To-Peer Lending Pioneers." *Pandecta Research Law Journal* 17, no. 2 (2022): 307-312.

strengthening regulatory frameworks, implementing clearer lending standards, introducing consumer protection laws, enhancing data privacy protections, promoting financial literacy, and empowering communities through legal support. This analysis will explore these solutions in-depth, providing a comprehensive understanding of the challenges they aim to address, their legal implications, and relevant legal theories.

1. Strengthening Regulatory Frameworks

The role of Indonesia's Financial Services Authority (OJK) in regulating online lenders is critical, yet it faces substantial challenges. The OJK, established under Law No. 21 of 2011[29], has made efforts to regulate the online lending sector through various regulations such as OJK Regulation No. 77/POJK.01/2016, which establishes guidelines for peer-to-peer (P2P) lending platforms. These regulations require lenders to obtain licenses, adhere to operational guidelines, and protect consumers from predatory lending practices. However, the regulatory framework remains insufficient, particularly regarding unregistered or illegal platforms that continue to operate outside the scope of OJK's authority.

The central legal issue here lies in the enforcement of these regulations. The OJK's regulatory reach is limited by the fast-paced evolution of digital platforms and the difficulty in tracking and monitoring cross-border operations. The Theory of Regulatory Capture suggests that regulatory bodies may become susceptible to influence by powerful industry players, which could compromise the effectiveness of regulations.[30] Strengthening enforcement mechanisms, such as imposing penalties on unlicensed lenders and enhancing cooperation with law enforcement, would help curb illegal lending activities. Further, expanding

---

[29] *See* Republic of Indonesia, *Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 21 of 2011 on Financial Services Authority.*

[30] *See* Laffont, Jean-Jacques, and Jean Tirole. "The politics of government decision-making: A theory of regulatory capture." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 106, no. 4 (1991): 1089-1127. The Theory of Regulatory Capture suggests that regulatory agencies, meant to oversee industries, can be influenced or controlled by the very industries they regulate. Over time, regulators may develop close relationships with industry players, leading to biased decisions that favor the interests of businesses rather than the public. This often happens due to factors like lobbying, revolving-door employment between regulators and industry, or the industry's economic power. As a result, instead of promoting public welfare, the regulatory body may act in ways that benefit the industry, potentially undermining fair competition and consumer protection. *See also* Etzioni, Amitai. "The capture theory of regulations—revisited." *Society* 46 (2009): 319-323.

the scope of the OJK's authority to cover emerging digital business models could provide a more robust regulatory framework.

2. Implementing Clearer Lending Standards

The lack of transparency in the online lending sector, particularly concerning interest rates, fees, and loan terms, is a major concern. OJK Regulation No. 77/POJK.01/2016 sets an interest rate cap at 0.4% per day for loans up to 90 days, which is intended to protect consumers from exploitative rates. However, despite these regulations, many online lenders charge exorbitant fees and interest rates that exceed the legal caps. This issue arises due to a lack of clear standards for fee structures and an absence of consistent monitoring by regulatory authorities.

The Legal Principle of Transparency (as outlined by scholars such as C.L. Ten) emphasizes that parties in a contract must disclose all relevant terms and conditions to ensure fairness and avoid exploitation.[31] In the context of online lending, this principle should be extended to require clear, detailed disclosures of all fees and charges associated with loans. From a legal perspective, setting clearer standards for loan agreements could minimize the risks of consumer exploitation. A mandatory standardization of loan terms and a clear breakdown of all fees could prevent deceptive practices and promote a fairer lending environment. Furthermore, introducing consumer-friendly online platforms that present loan terms in an understandable and accessible format could significantly reduce borrower confusion.[32]

3. Introducing Consumer Protection Laws

Inadequate consumer protection laws remain a pressing issue in Indonesia's online loan market. Many borrowers face harassment from collection agents and are trapped in cycles of debt due to high-interest rates and short repayment terms. Indonesia's Consumer Protection Law (No. 8/1999) aims to safeguard consumers from fraudulent or harmful practices, but it does not specifically address the challenges posed by

[31] Ten, Chin Liew. *Crime, Guilt, & Punishment*. (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1987).

[32] Morello, Filippo. "Transparency at any cost? Consumer protection in peer-to-peer finance." *European Journal of Privacy Law & Technologies* 2 (2022); Bulyga, Roman P., et al. "Transparency of credit institutions." *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues* 7, no. 4 (2020): 3158.

online lenders, such as abusive debt collection tactics or the misuse of personal data.[33]

From a legal perspective, the Doctrine of Unconscionability could be applied to address the ethical issues in online lending. This doctrine asserts that contracts should not be enforced if they are deemed grossly unfair or oppressive to one party, which in this case, is the consumer.[34] Applying this doctrine would allow courts to invalidate exploitative loan agreements, especially those with hidden fees, abusive interest rates, or harsh collection methods. Furthermore, introducing specialized consumer protection regulations for the digital lending sector could establish clear guidelines on debt collection practices, ensuring that borrowers are treated fairly and are provided with accessible mechanisms for dispute resolution.

4. Data Protection and Privacy Laws

Data privacy has emerged as one of the most significant concerns in the online lending sector. Lenders typically collect personal data, such as identification numbers, bank account details, and even biometric data, to assess creditworthiness. However, data breaches and misuse of this information for unauthorized purposes, such as harassment or fraud, have been reported in many cases. Although Indonesia's Personal Data Protection Law (No. 27/2020) provides a legal framework for protecting personal data, its implementation and enforcement are still in the early stages.

Legal theories of Privacy and Autonomy suggest that individuals have a fundamental right to control their personal information, including how it is collected, used, and shared.[35] In the context of online lending, ensuring that lenders obtain clear and informed consent from borrowers before collecting personal data is essential to safeguarding consumer

---

[33] Tan, Junita Kaseme, et al. "Comparative Legal Research: Consumer Legal Term in The Article 1 Number 2, Law No. 8, 1999–Indonesia VS. Chapter I Article 2, Law No. 8078–Brazil." *SHS Web of Conferences*. Vol. 54. EDP Sciences, 2018. *See also* Nuryaasiinta, Cut Mayang Widya. "How Far is Consumer Protection in the Health Care Sector?." *Unnes Law Journal* 6, no. 1 (2020): 47-72; Fajrina, Rizky Noor, and Waspiah Waspiah. "Supervision by the Financial Services Authority on Investment-Based Life Insurance (Unit Link)." *Unnes Law Journal* 7, no. 1 (2021): 1-22; Dinanti, Dinda, et al. "Politics of Law for the Protection of Debtors as Consumers in Fintech based Loaning Services." *Unnes Law Journal* 6, no. 2 (2020): 427-444.

[34] Bridwell, Philip. "The Philosophical Dimensions of the Doctrine of Unconscionability." *The University of Chicago Law Review* 70, no. 4 (2003): 1513-1531.

[35] Rappaport, Aaron J. "Beyond Personhood and Autonomy: Moral Theory and the Premises of Privacy." *Utah Law Review* 2001, no. 2 (2001): 441-507.

rights. Strengthening data protection regulations through the imposition of stringent penalties for non-compliance, as well as requiring lenders to disclose their data-sharing practices, could help mitigate the risk of data misuse. Additionally, requiring lenders to employ robust security measures, such as encryption and data anonymization, would help protect consumers from data breaches.

5. Advocacy for Financial Literacy

Financial literacy plays a pivotal role in addressing many of the challenges in Indonesia's online loan market. A lack of understanding about loan terms, interest rates, and the long-term impact of borrowing often leads to financial distress and over-indebtedness. The Theory of Consumer Rationality posits that consumers are more likely to make informed and beneficial financial decisions when they have adequate knowledge.[36] As many borrowers in Indonesia are not financially literate, promoting financial education could empower them to make better decisions regarding online loans.

Government initiatives, NGOs, and fintech companies can collaborate to launch financial literacy programs aimed at educating consumers about their rights, the risks of borrowing, and how to assess loan terms. Legal scholars like D.J. Lasser argue that financial education is a long-term solution to preventing consumer exploitation. By incorporating financial literacy into school curricula and conducting public outreach programs, the government can create a more informed and responsible borrowing culture. Such programs would complement existing consumer protection laws and reduce the risk of consumers falling victim to predatory lending practices.

6. Empowering Communities Through Legal and Economic Support

Local governments and civil society organizations have a crucial role in supporting borrowers and promoting awareness of their rights. In many rural areas, borrowers may not have access to legal advice or support when dealing with unethical lenders. The Theory of Access to Justice emphasizes that individuals must have access to legal resources to enforce their rights and seek redress for violations. This principle can be applied

---

[36] Redmond, William H. "Consumer rationality and consumer sovereignty." *Review of Social Economy* 58, no. 2 (2000): 177-196; Jacoby, Jacob. "It Is Rational to Assume Consumer Rationality? Some Consumer Psychological Perspectives on Rational Choice Theory." *Roger Williams University Law Review* 6, no. 1 (2000): 5.

in the online lending context by ensuring that consumers have access to affordable legal services and support when facing disputes with lenders.[37]

Legal aid organizations can assist borrowers by providing free or low-cost legal advice and representation. They can also serve as intermediaries between consumers and regulators, helping to ensure that borrowers' grievances are addressed. By encouraging collaboration between local governments, civil society, and financial institutions, Indonesia can promote fair lending practices and ensure that vulnerable communities are not exploited by unscrupulous lenders. Furthermore, local governments can assist in raising awareness about the risks of online loans and inform borrowers of the available legal protections.

The Role of Technology and Innovation in Regulating Online Loans

As the digital lending landscape rapidly evolves in Indonesia, the role of technology and innovation in regulating online loans has become increasingly important. Digital platforms and technological innovations can play a crucial role in ensuring transparency, enhancing compliance, and improving the oversight of online lenders. With the rise of peer-to-peer (P2P) lending platforms and the expansion of digital financial services, both regulatory bodies and technology companies must work together to ensure that the industry operates ethically and responsibly. This section explores the potential of digital technologies to improve regulatory practices, particularly through transparency in loan terms, better borrower awareness, and more efficient collaboration between regulatory bodies and tech companies.

1. Digital Platforms for Transparency and Compliance

One of the most significant challenges in the online lending sector is the lack of transparency regarding loan terms, interest rates, and repayment histories. Borrowers often face confusion and exploitation due to hidden fees, unreported charges, and unclear terms, which can lead to

[37] Poernomo, Sri Lestari. "Transformative Justice, Protection of Consumer Personal Data in Online Loan Business in Indonesia." *Russian Law Journal* 11, no. 3 (2023): 559-570; Supeno, Supeno. "Legal capacity in online loan transactions (The study on legal personal liability theory)." *Annals of Justice and Humanity* 1, no. 2 (2022): 51-57. *See also* Idris, Maulana Fahmi. "Access to Justice for Disability in the Perspective of John Rawls Theory (Case of Demak Regecy Indonesia)." *Journal of Law and Legal Reform* 2, no. 3 (2021): 391-400.

financial hardship. Technology has the potential to address these issues by improving transparency through digital platforms.

Blockchain technology, in particular, has emerged as a promising tool for enhancing transparency in online loans. By recording loan agreements, repayment histories, and interest charges on a decentralized ledger, blockchain could ensure that all terms and conditions are permanently accessible to both borrowers and regulators. This would allow borrowers to track their loan history, ensuring that all payments and fees are accounted for. According to J. S. De Filippi, blockchain's transparency, immutability, and decentralized nature make it an ideal solution for building trust in digital lending markets.[38] By using blockchain, loan terms can be set and recorded in real-time, and any discrepancies between lenders and borrowers can be easily detected, mitigating the potential for exploitation.

Beyond blockchain, other digital innovations, such as smart contracts, can automate the enforcement of loan agreements. Smart contracts can ensure that loan terms are executed as agreed upon, such as automatic deductions from a borrower's bank account for repayments.[39] This reduces human error or manipulation in the loan process and creates a more secure and accountable system. Additionally, loan platforms could integrate AI-powered chatbots to provide borrowers with real-time, interactive access to their loan terms, repayment schedules, and rights. By incorporating these technologies into the lending process, online lenders can ensure that borrowers are better informed about their obligations and rights, minimizing the risks of confusion and exploitation.[40]

2. Innovations Ensuring Borrower Awareness

Ensuring that borrowers are fully aware of their obligations and rights is another crucial challenge in the online loan market. Many borrowers, especially in rural or underserved areas, may lack the financial

---

[38] De Filippi, Primavera, and Aaron Wright. *Blockchain and the law: The rule of code*. (MA: Harvard University Press, 2018); De Filippi, Primavera. "Blockchain technology and decentralized governance: the pitfalls of a trustless dream." *Decentralized Thriving: Governance and Community on the Web 3.0* (2019).

[39] *See* Khan, Shafaq Naheed, et al. "Blockchain smart contracts: Applications, challenges, and future trends." *Peer-to-peer Networking and Applications* 14 (2021): 2901-2925; Taherdoost, Hamed. "Smart contracts in blockchain technology: A critical review." *Information* 14, no. 2 (2023): 117.

[40] Arnone, Gioia. "The Role of Chatbots in FinTech." In *AI and Chatbots in Fintech: Revolutionizing Digital Experiences and Predictive Analytics*. (Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2024), pp. 21-27.

literacy or technical know-how to fully understand the terms of their loans. Digital platforms can help address this issue by making loan information easily accessible and user-friendly.

For example, digital lending platforms could employ interactive interfaces that allow borrowers to visualize loan terms, interest rates, and repayment schedules in simple terms. Platforms could also integrate educational tools, such as videos or infographics, to explain the borrowing process, the implications of late payments, and the risks of over-indebtedness. By providing real-time notifications and reminders about repayment deadlines, these platforms would also help borrowers stay on top of their obligations and avoid penalties. This approach could significantly reduce borrower confusion and help them make more informed decisions.

Moreover, the use of data analytics can improve borrower understanding by identifying patterns in borrowing behavior and offering personalized recommendations. For instance, data-driven insights could provide warnings when a borrower is approaching the limit of their repayment capacity, prompting them to reconsider taking out additional loans or restructure their current debt. This proactive approach not only ensures that borrowers are aware of their financial situation but also provides them with the necessary tools to avoid falling into cycles of debt.

3. Collaboration Between Regulatory Bodies and Tech Companies

For the regulatory oversight of online lending platforms to be effective, collaboration between regulatory bodies and tech companies is essential. Regulatory authorities like Indonesia's Financial Services Authority (OJK) must leverage technology to monitor real-time data from online lending platforms, allowing for more proactive intervention and enforcement.[41]

One of the key challenges in regulating online lending is the rapid pace at which technology evolves. Traditional regulatory frameworks may struggle to keep up with the changing dynamics of the digital financial

[41] Jameaba, Muyanja-Ssenyonga. "Digitization revolution, FinTech disruption, and financial stability: Using the case of Indonesian banking ecosystem to highlight wide-ranging digitization opportunities and major challenges." *FinTech Disruption, and Financial stability: Using the Case of Indonesian Banking Ecosystem to highlight wide-ranging digitization opportunities and major challenges (July 16 2, 2020)* (2020); Maspul, Kurniawan Arif, and Nugrahani Kartika Putri. "Will Big Data and AI Redefine Indonesia's Financial Future?." *Jurnal Bisnis dan Komunikasi Digital* 2, no. 2 (2025): 21-21.

sector. By partnering with tech companies, regulators can create more adaptive systems that respond quickly to new trends, risks, and non-compliant behaviors. For example, the use of AI-powered monitoring tools could enable regulators to track borrower complaints, identify fraudulent lending activities, and detect suspicious transactions in real-time. This would improve the accuracy and efficiency of regulatory oversight, ensuring that any potential violations are addressed before they escalate into larger issues.[42]

The collaboration between regulatory bodies and tech companies can also streamline compliance for online lenders. Through the use of RegTech (regulatory technology), lenders can automate compliance processes, ensuring that they adhere to legal standards without relying on manual checks. This could include features such as automatic reporting to regulators, real-time audits, and self-regulatory mechanisms that ensure compliance with data protection and consumer protection laws.[43] As M. Arner and colleagues highlight, RegTech can significantly enhance the efficiency of financial regulation by reducing compliance costs and minimizing the risk of human error.[44] By adopting RegTech solutions, online lenders can foster a culture of compliance and improve their relationships with regulatory bodies.

4. Improving Regulatory Oversight with Technology

Technology can significantly improve regulatory oversight by enabling regulators to monitor and evaluate the activities of online lenders in real-time. This approach can reduce the lag in regulatory responses and enhance the enforcement of lending laws and regulations. For instance, data-sharing platforms could be established between online lenders and regulatory bodies to create a more seamless flow of information. These platforms could track loan origination, repayment histories, fees, and

---

[42] Ramrakhyani, Anita, and N. K. Shrivastava. "Artificial Intelligence: Revolutionizing the Future of Fintech." *Commerce Research Review* 1, no. 2 (2024): 10-22; Headrick, Dan, ed. "AI-Powered Fintech Turns Data into New Business." *Research Technology Management* 62, no. 1 (2019): 5-7.

[43] Anagnostopoulos, Ioannis. "Fintech and regtech: Impact on regulators and banks." *Journal of Economics and Business* 100 (2018): 7-25.

[44] Arner, Douglas W., Jànos Barberis, and Ross P. Buckley. *FinTech and RegTech in a Nutshell, and the Future in a Sandbox*. (CFA Institute Research Foundation, 2017); Arner, Douglas W., Jànos Barberis, and Ross P. Buckley. "FinTech, RegTech, and the Reconceptualization of Financial Regulation." *Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business* 37, no. 3 (2017): 371.

interest charges, providing regulators with the tools they need to quickly detect non-compliant practices.

The Theory of Technological Determinism suggests that technology not only influences but also shapes the regulatory environment.[45] In this context, the adoption of advanced monitoring systems, such as AI algorithms or machine learning models, can help regulators spot patterns of misconduct that may otherwise go unnoticed. For example, machine learning could identify when lenders consistently exceed interest rate caps or charge excessive fees, prompting regulators to intervene and enforce penalties.

Additionally, technology could assist in enforcing the principle of proportionality, a legal concept that calls for regulations that are fair and appropriate to the situation at hand. By using real-time data to assess the activities of online lenders, regulators can ensure that interventions are both timely and proportionate to the severity of any violations, avoiding unnecessary burdens on compliant lenders while cracking down on illegal activities.

5. The Future of Technology and Regulation in Online Lending

Looking ahead, the integration of advanced technologies such as blockchain, artificial intelligence, and RegTech has the potential to revolutionize the regulatory landscape for online lending. By embracing innovation, Indonesia's regulatory bodies can enhance the effectiveness of their oversight, streamline compliance for lenders, and improve transparency for borrowers. The collaboration between regulators and technology companies will be key to developing systems that are adaptable, efficient, and capable of addressing the unique challenges posed by the digital lending sector.

To maximize the potential of technology in regulating online lending, it is essential that both regulators and tech companies work together to ensure that any innovations are both effective and equitable. Legal scholars, such as L. T. McCauley, argue that technology alone cannot solve all the regulatory challenges in the financial sector but should be viewed as a complement to existing regulatory frameworks.[46] The combination of

---

[45] Wyatt, Sally. "Technological determinism is dead; long live technological determinism." *The Handbook of Science and Technology Studies* 3 (2008): 165-180.

[46] McLaughlin, Christopher, Laura Bradley-McCauley, and Simon Stephens. "Exploring entrepreneurs' business-related social media typologies: a latent class analysis approach." *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research* 28, no. 5 (2022): 1245-1272.

strong legal protections, technological advancements, and ongoing collaboration between stakeholders will be critical to building a safer, more transparent online lending market in Indonesia.

Case Studies of Legal Action in Other Countries

As Indonesia grapples with the legal challenges surrounding online lending, examining how other countries have approached the regulation of digital finance offers valuable insights. Countries like India and the Philippines have faced similar challenges and have implemented legal frameworks designed to curb predatory lending practices, protect consumers, and ensure fair lending. Their experiences offer important lessons that could inform regulatory actions in Indonesia. Moreover, by analyzing successful consumer protection efforts in these countries, it becomes evident how legal reforms can foster transparency and fairness in the online lending sector. This section will explore case studies from India and the Philippines, discussing how their legal actions have addressed online lending issues and the lessons that Indonesia can adopt in crafting its own regulations.

India's experience with online lending regulation highlights both the challenges and successes in addressing predatory practices within the digital lending space. The rise of digital lending platforms in India brought with it concerns over excessive interest rates, harassment of borrowers, and unregulated operations. In response, India has taken a proactive approach to regulate the sector through both legal frameworks and technological innovations. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) introduced guidelines in 2019 for P2P lending platforms, which required these platforms to register with the RBI and adhere to specific operational standards. These regulations include restrictions on interest rates, mandates for transparency in loan terms, and requirements for consumer protection.[47]

India's efforts to regulate online lenders offer a critical lesson for Indonesia in how to balance innovation with consumer protection. A significant challenge India faced was the rapid growth of unregulated

[47] Rao, Sudha P., and M. R. Anand. "Peer to peer lending platforms in India: Regulations and response." *Prajnan* 48, no. 2 (2019): 107-122; Jha, Amit Kumar. "Scrutinizing the Effectiveness of the NBFC-P2P (Peer-To-Peer) Lending System in India." *Cuestiones de Fisioterapia* 54, no. 1 (2025): 338-344.

lenders operating in the grey market. By establishing a clear registration process with the central bank and ensuring transparency in loan agreements, India was able to create a more organized market. However, India also faced difficulties in enforcement, as many illegal lenders operated without clear accountability. The lesson for Indonesia is the importance of not just setting regulations but ensuring robust enforcement mechanisms that hold platforms accountable, particularly for those operating outside the regulatory framework.

The Philippines, like India, has seen explosive growth in online lending and digital financial services. In response to the increasing prevalence of digital lenders, the Philippine Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) introduced guidelines in 2017 to regulate online lenders and protect consumers. These regulations set limits on interest rates, established rules on collection practices, and required lenders to disclose full loan terms to borrowers. In addition to regulatory measures, the Philippines has leveraged technology to improve transparency and consumer protection. For example, platforms are required to use data encryption to protect borrower information, and borrowers are given clear access to their rights through digital platforms.[48]

The Philippines' approach offers valuable lessons in terms of the role of regulatory agencies in enforcing compliance and protecting consumers. The Philippines SEC's focus on borrower transparency—through clear disclosure of interest rates, fees, and repayment terms—could be applied in Indonesia to curb exploitation. Furthermore, the Philippines' requirement for digital security and encryption aligns with the growing concerns about data privacy in Indonesia's online lending market. This highlights the need for a comprehensive approach that combines consumer protection with the use of technology to safeguard data and ensure fair lending practices.

Both India and the Philippines have faced significant challenges in regulating the online lending market, but their legal frameworks provide important lessons that could benefit Indonesia. First, the importance of clear registration and licensing requirements for online lenders is evident.[49]

---

[48] *See* Dioquino, Manuel C. "Hans Sicat and the transformation at the Philippine Stock Exchange." *Asian Case Research Journal* 18, no. 2 (2014): 429-454; Serzo, Aiken Larisa O. "Philippine Regulations for Cross-Border Digital Platforms: Impact and Reform Considerations." *Research Paper Series (Philippine Institute for Development Studies)* 8 (2021): I-41.

[49] Modi, Avi, and V. Kesarani. "Digital Lending Laws in India and Beyond: Scrutinizing the Regulatory Blind Spot." *Indian Journal of Economics and Finance* 3, no. 1 (2023): 1-7; Adriana, Daniel, and Wawan Dhewantoa. "Regulating P2P lending in

Both India and the Philippines require P2P lenders to register with regulatory bodies, ensuring that only legitimate platforms are allowed to operate. Indonesia could take a similar approach by requiring all online lending platforms to register with the OJK and meet regulatory standards, which would help eliminate illegal or unregulated operators from the market.

Another key lesson is the importance of transparency and consumer awareness. In both India and the Philippines, regulations require lenders to disclose all terms and conditions clearly. This has helped borrowers better understand the implications of borrowing, reducing the likelihood of falling victim to hidden fees or exploitative interest rates. By following this model, Indonesia can ensure that loan terms are clear and easily accessible to consumers, which would improve their ability to make informed decisions and reduce confusion about financial products.

India has seen some successes in improving consumer protection in the online lending market, particularly through its Digital Lenders' Code of Conduct. This code, introduced by the Indian government, mandates that lenders follow ethical practices, such as limiting interest rates and ensuring fair debt collection practices.[50] One significant success story from India is the reduction in harassment by debt collectors, a common complaint in the online lending market. The code of conduct requires lenders to adhere to responsible collection practices, and in some cases, Indian courts have ruled against lenders who violated these guidelines, resulting in fines and other penalties.

In terms of consumer protection, the Indian government's push to enforce fair lending practices has helped create a more transparent and equitable market for borrowers. For instance, a case in 2020 saw the RBI shut down multiple online lending platforms that were found to be violating regulations on interest rate caps and charging excessive fees. These actions signaled a shift towards more responsible lending practices in India and demonstrated the effectiveness of strong consumer protection laws in promoting fairness and transparency. Indonesia could replicate this success by enforcing similar codes of conduct and imposing strict penalties for violations.

Indonesia: Lessons learned from the case of China and India." *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce* 23, no. 1 (2018): 1-19; Quimba, Francis Mark A., and Sylwyn C. Calizo. *Going digital: From innovation to inclusive growth in the Philippines*. No. 2018-19. PIDS Discussion Paper Series, 2018.

[50] Vaidya, Alka. "Digital Lending in India: Opportunities and Challenges." In Partha Ray, Arindam Bandyopadhyay, and Sanjay Basu (eds), *India Banking and Finance Report 2024*. (Pune India: National Institute of Bank Management, 2024).

The Philippines has also seen significant improvements in consumer protection for online borrowers, thanks to regulatory measures like the Data Privacy Act of 2012 and the Financial Consumer Protection Act of 2017. These laws help safeguard borrower data and protect consumers from abusive collection practices. One notable success is the Philippine SEC's crackdown on illegal lenders, which resulted in the closure of several unregistered lending platforms. This legal action demonstrated the Philippine government's commitment to eliminating predatory lenders and ensuring that borrowers are treated fairly.[51]

A specific case in 2019 saw the SEC and the Department of Trade and Industry (DTI) collaborate to shut down 44 online lending apps for violating consumer protection laws. This action set a precedent for the Philippine government's approach to regulating the digital lending sector, showing that stringent enforcement can reduce illegal lending and foster a more transparent market. Indonesia can take inspiration from this example by strengthening its enforcement efforts and ensuring that regulatory bodies like the OJK are empowered to act against unlicensed or non-compliant lenders.

Both India and the Philippines have utilized technology to enhance consumer protection, and this provides valuable insight for Indonesia. In India, the use of blockchain technology for secure transactions and transparent lending agreements is being explored, while the Philippines has implemented data encryption and other digital security measures to protect borrower information. These technological solutions help address concerns about fraud, harassment, and the misuse of personal data—issues that are particularly prevalent in online lending.

For Indonesia, these technological innovations could be key to strengthening consumer protection. By adopting similar digital tools, Indonesia could improve transparency, reduce the risks of fraud, and protect borrower data. For example, Indonesia could require online lenders to use blockchain to record loan transactions, ensuring that all terms are clearly documented and accessible to both parties. Additionally, requiring lenders to implement end-to-end encryption for personal data could reduce the risk of data breaches and unauthorized access.

Indonesia can learn several key lessons from the regulatory approaches of India and the Philippines. First, the importance of registration and oversight cannot be overstated. Both India and the

---

[51] Ching, Michelle Renee D., Bernie S. Fabito, and Nelson J. Celis. "Data privacy act of 2012: A case study approach to Philippine Government Agencies Compliance." *Advanced Science Letters* 24, no. 10 (2018): 7042-7046.

Philippines have established regulatory frameworks that require online lenders to register and comply with operational standards. By implementing similar requirements, Indonesia could create a more organized and transparent lending market.

Second, transparency in loan terms and borrower protection should be prioritized. The success of the Digital Lenders' Code of Conduct in India and the enforcement of consumer rights laws in the Philippines demonstrate the value of clear and enforceable regulations that protect borrowers. Indonesia can implement similar measures to improve transparency and prevent exploitation.

Finally, the effective use of technology for regulatory compliance, monitoring, and consumer protection is a key takeaway. Adopting digital tools such as blockchain, AI, and encryption could significantly improve the regulatory environment in Indonesia, fostering a fairer and more transparent online lending market. By integrating these lessons, Indonesia can enhance its legal framework and better protect consumers in the growing online lending sector.

Conclusion

In conclusion, addressing the challenges of Indonesia's online loan market demands a multifaceted approach combining legal reforms, regulatory improvements, and consumer advocacy. The establishment of stronger regulatory frameworks by the Financial Services Authority (OJK) and clearer lending standards will provide the transparency needed to protect consumers from exploitative practices. Additionally, the introduction of comprehensive consumer protection laws and the enhancement of data privacy measures will significantly bolster efforts to safeguard borrowers. Alongside these regulatory measures, advocacy for financial literacy programs is essential, empowering consumers with the knowledge they need to make informed decisions about loans and their rights. These initiatives, when supported by cross-jurisdictional learnings from countries like India and the Philippines, will help foster a more transparent and ethical online lending environment in Indonesia.

However, these reforms are not just about legal action but also about creating a sustained program of advocacy and support for vulnerable consumers. Financial literacy campaigns, in particular, must be prioritized to ensure that borrowers are not only aware of the risks they face but also equipped to navigate them effectively. The role of advocacy will be instrumental in pushing for regulatory changes, ensuring that consumers' voices are heard in the policy-making process. As the digital lending market continues to grow, it is imperative that legal frameworks remain agile, regularly updated, and enforced effectively to protect the rights and interests of all borrowers. By committing to this dynamic, responsive approach, Indonesia can create a fairer, more sustainable online lending ecosystem that balances innovation with consumer welfare.

In summary, addressing the pressing issues in Indonesia's online loan market requires comprehensive legal action and regulatory reform. Key solutions proposed include strengthening the regulatory frameworks through the Financial Services Authority (OJK), implementing clearer lending standards with transparent interest rates and terms, and introducing robust consumer protection laws. Moreover, the enhancement of data protection and privacy laws, along with advocacy for financial literacy, will help safeguard consumers from exploitation and ensure that borrowers are fully informed of their rights and obligations. By learning from the experiences of other jurisdictions like India and the Philippines, Indonesia can create a more transparent and accountable online lending environment.

The urgency of these reforms cannot be overstated. The current legal and regulatory framework in Indonesia is insufficient to address the rapid growth and evolving nature of online lending platforms. Immediate action is needed to establish clear and enforceable regulations that protect consumers from predatory lending practices, excessive fees, and harassment. The OJK must be empowered to regulate and supervise these platforms effectively, ensuring that fair practices are upheld. As digital lending becomes an increasingly dominant part of the financial ecosystem, a failure to act now will leave consumers vulnerable and the market susceptible to unethical practices.

Looking ahead, the need for ongoing evaluation and adaptation of laws is crucial as the online lending landscape continues to evolve with technological advances and changing consumer behavior. While innovation and financial inclusion are essential for economic growth, it is vital that these developments do not come at the cost of consumer protection. Regulatory bodies, in collaboration with technology companies, must remain agile in responding to new challenges and opportunities. A balanced approach that fosters innovation while ensuring robust consumer protections will be key to creating a sustainable and fair online lending market in Indonesia.

References

Abubakar, Lastuti, and Tri Handayani. "Financial technology: Legal challenges for Indonesia financial sector." *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. Vol. 175. No. 1. IOP Publishing, 2018.

Admiral, Admiral, and Mega Ardina Pauck. "Unveiling the Dark Side of Fintech: Challenges and Breaches in Protecting User Data in Indonesia's Online Loan Services." *Lex Scientia Law Review* 7, no. 2 (2023): 995-104

Adriana, Daniel, and Wawan Dhewantoa. "Regulating P2P lending in Indonesia: Lessons learned from the case of China and India." *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce* 23, no. 1 (2018): 1-19.

Al Ghani, Muhammad Firman. "Urgensi Pengaturan Perlindungan Data Pribadi Pada Penyelenggaraan Layanan Pinjaman Online." *The Digest: Journal of Jurisprudence and Legisprudence* 3, no. 1 (2022): 38-58.

Anagnostopoulos, Ioannis. "Fintech and regtech: Impact on regulators and banks." *Journal of Economics and Business* 100 (2018): 7-25.

Anggraeni, RR Dewi, and Annissa Rezki. "Fintech Regulations and Oversight of the Financial Services Authority in Indonesia." *The 1st International Conference on Research in Social Sciences and Humanities* (ICoRSH 2020). Atlantis Press, 2021.

Angkasa, Angkasa, et al. "Illegal Online Loans in Indonesia: Between the Law Enforcement and Protection of Victim." *Lex Scientia Law Review* 7, no. 1 (2023): 119-178.

Ariany, Lisda. "Legal Protection for Users of Illegal Online Loan Services in Indonesia Reviewed from A Jurisdictional Aspect." *Al Qalam: Jurnal Ilmiah Keagamaan dan Kemasyarakatan* 16, no. 6 (2022): 2249-2260.

Arifin, Ridwan, et al. "Protecting the Consumer Rights in the Digital Economic Era: Future Challenges in Indonesia." *Jambura Law Review* 3, no. 1 (2021): 135-160.

Arner, Douglas W., Jànos Barberis, and Ross P. Buckley. "FinTech, RegTech, and the Reconceptualization of Financial Regulation." *Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business* 37, no. 3 (2017): 371.

Arnone, Gioia. "The Role of Chatbots in FinTech." *In AI and Chatbots in Fintech: Revolutionizing Digital Experiences and Predictive Analytics*. (Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2024), pp. 21-27.

Arvante, Jeremy Zefanya Yaka. "Dampak Permasalahan Pinjaman Online dan Perlindungan Hukum Bagi Konsumen Pinjaman Online." *Ikatan Penulis Mahasiswa Hukum Indonesia Law Journal* 2, no. 1 (2022): 73-87.

Atikah, Ika. "Consumer protection and fintech companies in indonesia: innovations and challenges of the financial services authority." *Jurnal Hukum dan Peradilan* 9, no. 1 (2020): 132-153.

Bridwell, Philip. "The Philosophical Dimensions of the Doctrine of Unconscionability." *The University of Chicago Law Review* 70, no. 4 (2003): 1513-1531.

Bulyga, Roman P., et al. "Transparency of credit institutions." *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues* 7, no. 4 (2020): 3158.

Celestin, Mbonigaba, and N. Vanitha. "The Hidden Fees: How to Avoid Losing Money in Your Financial Transactions." International *Journal of Interdisciplinary Research in Arts and Humanities* 6, no. 2 (2021): 51-58.

Ching, Michelle Renee D., Bernie S. Fabito, and Nelson J. Celis. "Data privacy act of 2012: A case study approach to Philippine Government Agencies Compliance." *Advanced Science Letters* 24, no. 10 (2018): 7042-7046.

CNN Indonesia, "OJK Panggil Anak Usaha Koinworks Imbas Kasus Dugaan Penipuan", *CNN Indonesia*, November 21, 2024. Retrieved from <https://www.cnnindonesia.com/ekonomi/20241121123917-78-1169070/ojk-panggil-anak-usaha-koinworks-imbas-kasus-dugaan-penipuan>

De Filippi, Primavera, and Aaron Wright. *Blockchain and the law*: *The rule of code*. (MA: Harvard University Press, 2018).

De Filippi, Primavera. "Blockchain technology and decentralized governance: the pitfalls of a trustless dream." *Decentralized Thriving: Governance and Community on the Web* 3.0 (2019).

Dinanti, Dinda, et al. "Politics of Law for the Protection of Debtors as Consumers in Fintech based Loaning Services." *Unnes Law Journal* 6, no. 2 (2020): 427-444.

Diniyanto, Ayon, and Heris Suhendar. "How Law Responds to Technological Development?." *Unnes Law Journal* 6, no. 2 (2020): 405-426.

Dioquino, Manuel C. "Hans Sicat and the transformation at the Philippine Stock Exchange." *Asian Case Research Journal* 18, no. 2 (2014): 429-454.

Disemadi, Hari Sutra, Mochammad Abizar Yusro, and Wizna Gania Balqis. "The Problems of Consumer Protection in Fintech Peer to Peer Lending Business Activities in Indonesia." *Sociological Jurisprudence Journal* 3, no. 2 (2020): 91-97.

Dworkin, Ronald. *Taking rights seriously*. A&C Black, 2013; Dworkin, Ronald M. "The model of rules." *The University of Chicago Law Review* 35, no. 1 (1967): 14-46.

Etzioni, Amitai. "The capture theory of regulations—revisited." *Society* 46 (2009): 319-323.

Fajrina, Rizky Noor, and Waspiah Waspiah. "Supervision by the Financial Services Authority on Investment-Based Life Insurance (Unit Link)." *Unnes Law Journal* 7, no. 1 (2021): 1-22.

Fauziah, Fauziah, Shinta Maharani Trivena, and Yulis Nurul Aini. "The effect of financial literacy and financial inclusion on economic growth in indonesia." *JBMP (Jurnal Bisnis, Manajemen dan Perbankan*) 7, no. 2 (2021): 339-359.

Fiorentina, Prita, et al. "Legal Protection of Consumer Personal Data in Indonesia Fintech Peer-To-Peer Lending Pioneers." *Pandecta Research Law Journal* 17, no. 2 (2022): 307-312.

Hamburger, Joseph. *John Stuart Mill on liberty and control*. Princeton University Press, 2001.

Hamdani, Fathul, and Ana Fauzia. "The urgency of legal protection for online loan service users." *2nd International Conference on Law and Human Rights* 2021 (ICLHR 2021). Atlantis Press, 2021.

Hart, Herbert Lionel Adolphus. *Law, liberty, and morality*. Stanford University Press, 1963; Hart, Herbert Lionel Adolphus. *The concept of law*. OUP Oxford, 2012.

Hasan, Zulfikar. "The Impact of Digital Financial Literacy on Consumer Protection, Investor Security, and Financial Transactions in Indonesia." *International Journal of Islamic Economics and Finance Research* 7, no. 2 December (2024): 55-77.

Headrick, Dan, ed. "AI-Powered Fintech Turns Data into New Business." *Research Technology Management* 62, no. 1 (2019): 5-7.

Houghton, Jeffery D. "Does Max Weber's notion of authority still hold in the twenty-first century?." *Journal of Management History* 16, no. 4 (2010): 449-453.

Ibrahim, Master Irfan, and Dabella Yunia. "Transformation Financial Services Authority in the Digitalization Era." *Journal of Applied Business, Taxation and Economics Research* 1, no. 4 (2022): 385-392.

Idris, Maulana Fahmi. "Access to Justice for Disability in the Perspective of John Rawls Theory (Case of Demak Regecy Indonesia)." *Journal of Law and Legal Reform* 2, no. 3 (2021): 391-400.

Jacoby, Jacob. "It Is Rational to Assume Consumer Rationality? Some Consumer Psychological Perspectives on Rational Choice Theory." *Roger Williams University Law Review* 6, no. 1 (2000): 5.

Jameaba, Muyanja-Ssenyonga. "Digitization revolution, FinTech disruption, and financial stability: Using the case of Indonesian banking ecosystem to highlight wide-ranging digitization opportunities and major challenges." *FinTech Disruption, and Financial stability: Using the Case of Indonesian Banking Ecosystem to highlight wide-ranging digitization opportunities and major challenges* (July *16 2, 2020)* (2020).

Jha, Amit Kumar. "Scrutinizing the Effectiveness of the NBFC-P2P (Peer-To-Peer) Lending System in India." *Cuestiones de* Fisioterapia 54, no. 1 (2025): 338-344.

Johan, Suwinto. "Financial Technology Company's Debt Collection Method: A Legal Aspect." *Unnes Law Journal* 8, no. 1 (2022): 1-20.

Johnston Jr, Don, and Jonathan Morduch. "The unbanked: evidence from Indonesia." *The World Bank Economic Review* 22, no. 3 (2008): 517-537.

Judijanto, Loso, et al. "Juridical Analysis of Data Sovereignty in the Era of Digital Economy in Indonesia." *The Easta Journal Law and Human Rights* 3, no. 2 (2025): 138-146.

Kamal, Ubaidillah, and Ayup Suran Ningsih. "The Urgency of Revising the Finance Services Authority Regulation Number 77/POJK. 01/2016 As an Umbrella Law in Practicing Peer to Peer Lending Based on Financial Technology in Indonesia." *Pandecta Research Law Journal* 16, no. 1 (2021): 186-194.

Kasim, Fatmawati. "Penagihan Aplikasi TunaiKita Bicara Kasar dan Mengancam", Media Konsumen, October 28, 2019. Online at https://mediakonsumen.com/2019/10/28/surat-pembaca/penagihan-aplikasi-tunaikita-bicara-kasar-dan-mengancam.

Khan, Shafaq Naheed, et al. "Blockchain smart contracts: Applications, challenges, and future trends." *Peer-to-peer Networking and Applications* 14 (2021): 2901-2925.

Laffont, Jean-Jacques, and Jean Tirole. "The politics of government decision-making: A theory of regulatory capture." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 106, no. 4 (1991): 1089-1127.

Maspul, Kurniawan Arif, and Nugrahani Kartika Putri. "Will Big Data and AI Redefine Indonesia's Financial Future?." *Jurnal Bisnis dan Komunikasi Digital* 2, no. 2 (2025): 21-21.

McLaughlin, Christopher, Laura Bradley-McCauley, and Simon Stephens. "Exploring entrepreneurs' business-related social media typologies: a latent class analysis approach." *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research* 28, no. 5 (2022): 1245-1272.

Mill, John Stuart. "On liberty." *A selection of his works*. London: Macmillan Education UK, 1859. 1-147.

Modi, Avi, and V. Kesarani. "Digital Lending Laws in India and Beyond: Scrutinizing the Regulatory Blind Spot." *Indian Journal of Economics and Finance* 3, no. 1 (2023): 1-7.

Morello, Filippo. "Transparency at any cost? Consumer protection in peer-to-peer finance." *European Journal of Privacy Law & Technologies* 2 (2022).

Nabila Ramadhanty, "Nasabah Geruduk Kantor KoinWorks Akibat Kebijakan Bisnis Sepihak", *TIRTO*, November 19, 2024. Retrieved from <https://tirto.id/nasabah-geruduk-kantor-koinworks-akibat-kebijakan-bisnis-sepihak-g5Wg>.

Noor, Muhammad, Finnah Fourqoniah, and Muhammad Fikry Aransyah. "The Investigation of financial inclusions, financial literation, and financial technology in Indonesia." *Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah* 8, no. 3 (2020): 257-268.

Novina Putri Bestari, "OJK Cabut Izin 7 Fintech Pinjol, Ini Daftarnya", *CNBC Indonesia*, September 27, 2021. Online at https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/tech/20210927103849-37-279370/ojk-cabut-izin-7-fintech-pinjol-ini-daftarnya.

Nuryaasiinta, Cut Mayang Widya. "How Far is Consumer Protection in the Health Care Sector?." *Unnes Law Journal* 6, no. 1 (2020): 47-72.

Oktaviany, Rika. "Legal Protection Against Victims of Illegal Online Loan Users." *Journal of Creativity Student* 6, no. 1 (2021): 43-64.

Pelita, Tata, Sihabudin Sihabudin, and Djumikasih Djumikasih. "Legal Analysis of The Problem of The Imposition of Interest Rate on Online Loans." *International Journal of Environmental, Sustainability, and Social Science* 2, no. 2 (2021): 143-147.

Poernomo, Sri Lestari. "Transformative Justice, Protection of Consumer Personal Data in Online Loan Business in Indonesia." *Russian Law Journal* 11, no. 3 (2023): 559-570.

Prastyanti, Rina Arum, and Arief Budiyono. "Legal Reformulation and Ethics of Fintech Lending Companies in Indonesia." *International Journal of Global Community* 6, no. 1-March (2023): 53-64.

Prastyanti, Rina Arum, et al. "Law and Personal Data: Offering Strategies for Consumer Protection in New Normal Situation in Indonesia." *Jurnal Jurisprudence* 11, no. 1 (2022): 82-99.

Puspadini, Mentari. "OJK Buka Suara Soal Gagal Bayar Anak Usaha KoinWorks", CNBC Indonesia, November 21, 2024. Retrieved from <https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/market/20241121112405-17-589961/ojk-buka-suara-soal-gagal-bayar-anak-usaha-koinworks>.

Putri, Etis Cahyaning, and Frida Atma Yolanda. "Perlindungan Hukum Bagi Nasabah Dalam Pinjaman Online Ditinjau dari Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan Nomor 77/Pojk. 01/2016 Tentang Layanan Pinjam Meminjam Uang Berbasis Teknologi Informasi." *Nusantara Hasana Journal* 2, no. 1 (2022): 187-197.

Putri, Novina. "Dicabut Izinnya Oleh OJK, Jangan Transaksi di Fintech Ini!", CNBC Indonesia, December 24, 2021. Online at https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/tech/20211224132356-37-301771/dicabut-izinnya-oleh-ojk-jangan-transaksi-di-fintech-ini

Quimba, Francis Mark A., and Sylwyn C. Calizo. *Going digital: From innovation to inclusive growth in the Philippines.* No. 2018-19. PIDS Discussion Paper Series, 2018.

Rahmanto, Frontieka Ayu, et al. "The Role of The Financial Services Authority (OJK) as A Supervisor in Digital Financial Institutions (Financial Technology) in Indonesia." *Proceeding International Conference Restructuring and Transforming Law.* Vol. 2. No. 2. 2023.

Ramrakhyani, Anita, and N. K. Shrivastava. "Artificial Intelligence: Revolutionizing the Future of Fintech." *Commerce Research* Review 1, no. 2 (2024): 10-22.

Ranaivo, Mikea Manitra Ramalina. "Illegal Online Loan (Pinjol) in Indonesia: Ethical and Human Rights Perspectives." *Recht Studiosum Law Review* 3, no. 1 (2024): 58-76.

Rao, Sudha P., and M. R. Anand. "Peer to peer lending platforms in India: Regulations and response." *Prajnan* 48, no. 2 (2019): 107-122.

Rappaport, Aaron J. "Beyond Personhood and Autonomy: Moral Theory and the Premises of Privacy." *Utah Law Review* 2001, no. 2 (2001): 441-507.

Redmond, William H. "Consumer rationality and consumer sovereignty." *Review of Social Economy* 58, no. 2 (2000): 177-196.

Republic of Indonesia, *Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 21 of 2011 on Financial Services Authority.*

Republic of Indonesia, *Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (POJK) Nomor 77/POJK.01/2016 mengenai Layanan Pinjam Meminjam Uang Berbasis Teknologi Informasi (LPMUBTI).*

Republic of Indonesia, *Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan Nomor 22 Tahun 2023 Tentang Pelindungan Konsumen dan Masyarakat di Sektor Jasa Keuangan (POJK PKM SJK).*

Republic of Indonesia, *Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan Nomor 77 /POJK.01/2016 Tentang Layanan Pinjam Meminjam Uang Berbasis Teknologi Informasi.*

Republic of Indonesia, *Undang-undang (UU) Nomor 27 Tahun 2022 tentang Pelindungan Data Pribadi.*

Rousyati, Rousyati, et al. "Analisa Sentimen Financial Technology Peer to Peer Lending Pada Aplikasi Koinworks." *Jurnal Teknologi Informasi dan Ilmu Komputer* 9, no. 6 (2022): 1167-1176.

Saifullah, Saifullah, et al. "The Evaluation of The Indonesian Fintech Law from the Perspective of Regulatory Technology Paradigms to Mitigate Illegal Fintech." *Jurisdictie: Jurnal Hukum dan Syariah* 14, no. 2 (2023): 233-264.

Santi, Ernama, Budiharto Budiharto, and Hendro Saptono. "Pengawasan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan Terhadap Financial Technology (Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan Nomor 77/POJK. 01/2016)." *Diponegoro Law Journal* 6, no. 3 (2017): 1-20.

Sendjaja, Theodorus, et al. "Beyond Branches: How Fintech and Sustainable Innovation are Reshaping the Banking Landscape in Indonesia." *Applied Business and Administration Journal* 3, no. 3 (2024): 67-78.

Serzo, Aiken Larisa O. "Philippine Regulations for Cross-Border Digital Platforms: Impact and Reform Considerations." *Research Paper Series (Philippine Institute for Development Studies)* 8 (2021): I-41.

Sianjaya, Ivone Christy, Tandyo Hasan, and Andyna Susiawati. "Legal impact and urgency of protection for users of illegal online loan services." *The International Journal of Politics and Sociology Research* 11, no. 4 (2024): 457-464.

Sirie, Muhammad Iqsan. "The Mandatory Designation of a Data Protection Officer in Indonesia's Upcoming Personal Data Protection Law." *Padjadjaran Jurnal Ilmu Hukum (Journal of Law)* 5, no. 1 (2018): 24-49.

Supeno, Supeno. "Legal capacity in online loan transactions (The study on legal personal liability theory)." *Annals of Justice and Humanity* 1, no. 2 (2022): 51-57.

Suprapti, Duhita Driyah, Nurul Fibrianti, and Anggun Meinanda Maharani. "Increasing Public Understanding of the Prevention and Complaints of Fraudulent Investments." *Indonesian Journal of Advocacy and Legal Services* 4, no. 2 (2022): 295-314.

Suryono, Ryan Randy, Indra Budi, and Betty Purwandari. "Detection of fintech P2P lending issues in Indonesia." Heliyon 7, no. 4 (2021).

Taherdoost, Hamed. "Smart contracts in blockchain technology: A critical review." *Information* 14, no. 2 (2023): 117.

Tan, David. "Demystifying the proliferation of online peer-to-peer lending in Indonesia: Decoding fintech as a regulatory challenge." *Asian Journal of Law and Society* 10, no. 3 (2023): 376-400.

Tan, Junita Kaseme, et al. "Comparative Legal Research: Consumer Legal Term in The Article 1 Number 2, Law No. 8, 1999–Indonesia VS. Chapter I Article 2, Law No. 8078–Brazil." *SHS Web of Conferences*. Vol. 54. EDP Sciences, 2018.

Ten, Chin Liew. *Crime, Guilt, & Punishment*. (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1987).

Thomson, Judith Jarvis. *Rights, restitution, and risk: Essays, in moral theory*. Harvard University Press, 1986; Thomson, Judith Jarvis. "Self-defense." *Philosophy & Public Affairs* (1991): 283-310.

Vaidya, Alka. "Digital Lending in India: Opportunities and Challenges." In Partha Ray, Arindam Bandyopadhyay, and Sanjay Basu (eds), *India Banking and Finance Report* 2024. (Pune India: National Institute of Bank Management, 2024).

Wijaya, Hendrik, and Herwastoeti Herwastoeti. "Criminal & Civil Liability Related to Misuse of Illegal Fintech Customer Data During the Covid-19 Pandemic." *Audito Comparative Law Journal (ACLJ)* 3, no. 1 (2022): 1-9.

Wyatt, Sally. "Technological determinism is dead; long live technological determinism." *The Handbook of Science and Technology Studies* 3 (2008): 165-180.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS
None

FUNDING INFORMATION
None

ACKNOWLEDGMENT
None

This page intentionally left blank