Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan

https://journal.unnes.ac.id/journals/jpp

Implementation of Learning Plans Sharing and Jumping Tasks on Nominating Material for Inorganic Chemical Compounds to Grow Critical Thinking Skills

Eni Purwanti^{1*}, Asep Supriatna¹, Sumar Hendayana¹, Umi Husnun Nihayah²

¹Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia ²Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia

Abstract

This research aims to design and implement sharing and jumping task learning on inorganic compound nomenclature material to foster critical thinking skills. The applied didactical design research (Didactical Design Research) consists of 3 stages, namely: Didactical situation analysis before learning in the form of hypothetical didactical design including Didactical Pedagogical Anticipation (ADP), Metapedadidactical Analysis, and Retrospective Analysis after learning. The research subjects were 28 class X high school students. The research results found that: (1) The sharing and jumping task learning design on the nomenclature of inorganic compounds is quite effective in developing students' critical thinking skills. (2) Based on transcript analysis, most students can develop critical thinking skills, especially asking and answering clarifying/challenging questions. However, students have difficulty developing critical thinking skills in making and assessing valuable assessments. The sharing and jumping task learning design developed can be used as an alternative design in the nomenclature of inorganic compounds.

Keywords: didactical design (DDR), learning design, sharing and jumping tasks, critical thinking skills

INTRODUCTION

The 21st century is the century of knowledge, where information is widely spread and technology is developing. The abundance of information available anywhere and accessible at any time is a characteristic of the 21st century (R&D, 2013). The demands of the 21st century are students' abilities and skills including critical thinking, problem-solving, creativity, innovation, able to communicate and collaborate (Wijaya, Y., Sudjimat, D., & Nyoto, 2016). One of the skills that is very necessary in this era of globalization is critical thinking. In line with what was said by (Femiceyanti et al., 2019) critical thinking skills are one part of 21st-century innovation learning skills that enable students to handle future problems.

Critical thinking is part of scientific thinking because it is based on logic, clarity, and relevance (Rosyana et al., 2019). Through critical thinking, students can reason, understand and make complex decisions, understand the relationships between systems, and analyze and solve problems (Gazali & Dasna, 2023). Therefore, it is important to teach critical thinking skills in schools to equip students to think at a higher level so that they are useful in decision-making. Correspondingly (Siti Nuraeni et al., 2019) say someone who thinks critically is a person who is able and tends to believe and act according to his reasoning.

According to Ennis (Costa, 1991), Critical thinking skills are the process of building reasons or arguments to decide what to believe and do. This (Zoller, 1999) states that critical thinking is the ability to be results-oriented, rational, logical, think reflectively about beliefs, and then make decisions and be responsible for the decisions that have been taken. Learning to think critically guides students to develop other skills, such as a high level of concentration, the ability to analyze, and in-depth thought processes (Redhana, 2015).

However, in reality, in the world of education, learning in schools is still teacher-centered and does not maximize student involvement in learning activities. According to Sumarno (Zainal, 2014). One of the factors causing the ineffectiveness of science learning is that the learning process in Indonesia is still largely teacher-centered (Istiana, GA, Agung, NC, 2015).

Chemistry and critical thinking are two things that cannot be separated, chemistry contains complex concepts (Siti Nuraeni et al., 2019). However, some students still tend to find chemistry subjects difficult to learn. According to (Yusfiani, 2006), the difficulty of studying chemistry is because most of the chemistry is abstract, chemistry is a simplification of most objects that exist in this world, it is a mixture of complex and

complicated substances, chemistry is not just about solving problems consisting of numbers (numerical problems) which is an important part of studying chemistry.

One of the topics in chemistry is the subject of the nomenclature of compounds which requires more memorization. Nevertheless, However, the concept of compound nomenclature is still considered a difficult topic for teachers to teach and students to learn. This is in line with (Herlina, Heliawati, L., & Permana, 2019) stated that students who did not memorize the names of elements/ions had difficulty remembering the names of polyatomic compounds and were unable to differentiate between metal and non-metal elements.

Liliasari (Liliasari, 2002)States that the greatest difficulty in mastering the material is on the topic of the nomenclature of inorganic compounds. The results of his research showed that students' reasoning patterns did not develop too much.

Sharing and jumping task learning is not only able to improve cognitive abilities but also trains students' psychomotor and affective aspects and is not only used for students with high cognitive abilities but also benefits students with low cognitive abilities. (Jones, P. & Hammon, 2016). In line with (Fatimah, I., Hendayana, S., & Supriatna, 2018) the sharing task stage can facilitate collaboration between students, while the jumping task stage can facilitate students with high academic abilities to think at a higher or critical level. According to (Sato, 2013), the problem given in the jumping task section, namely applicative material from basic concepts taken from basic material that has been developed (exceeds textbook level).

Based on the description of the background of the problem, the research question is "How is the implementation of sharing and jumping task learning on inorganic compound nomenclature material to foster critical thinking skills?

METHOD

The research uses qualitative descriptive methods. This method was chosen because the researcher wanted to describe the details of an event in a particular situation and produce descriptive data in the form of written or spoken words from the subjects being observed.

The research design used in this research is Didactical Design Research (DDR). This design was chosen because researchers wanted to design and implement learning. DDR has 3 (three) stages, namely prospective analysis, metapedadidactic analysis, and retrospective analysis (Suryadi, 2013).

Critical thinking skills are analyzed based on qualitative descriptive data obtained from observations during the learning process which are recorded via audio and video using the Transcript Based Lesson Analysis (TBLA) method.

Participants

Participants in this research were 28 high school students in class X MIA.5. In this research, the learning design was created and implemented by researchers.

Research Instrument

The type of instrument used in this research is a non-test instrument. The non-test instruments used were interview guides, observation sheets, student worksheets, and documentation. Instrument content validation was carried out by two chemistry lecturers and one chemistry teacher.

Observation

Observations of the learning process regarding the implementation of the sharing and jumping task learning design were made by the researcher and implemented by the researcher himself. Observers in this research are one chemistry teacher and four people who are lesson study members.

Interview

The type of interview in this research is a semi-structural interview which aims to explore in-depth information from the research subjects. Interviews were conducted with teachers in the field of chemistry based on an interview guide sheet. Teacher interviews were conducted to find out the models, strategies, and methods commonly used in teaching as well as the difficulties experienced by students when taking part in learning, especially on the nomenclature of inorganic compounds. The results of teacher interviews were used as a reference in designing sharing and jumping task learning on the nomenclature of inorganic compounds to foster critical thinking skills in high school students.

Documentation

The documentation in this research is in the form of documents that teachers use in learning, such as lesson plans and teaching materials regarding the nomenclature of inorganic compounds. Documentation

studies were carried out before implementing the sharing and jumping task learning design, namely during personalization and recontextualization.

Student Worksheets (LKS)

Student worksheets (LKS) are used as a data collection tool to determine the quality of student's answers from the results of their critical thinking regarding shared tasks and jumping tasks. The questions contained in this assignment are critical "How" questions. Sharing task 1, namely, how to create nomenclature rules for binary ion compounds. Students are given formula data for a group of ionic compounds along with their names, students are asked to observe and create nomenclature rules from the results of these observations. Sharing task 2, how to make nomenclature rules for binary covalent compounds, and sharing task 3, how to make nomenclature rules for polyatomic compounds. Jumping task, students find the formula and name of chemical compounds on the market. Before implementation or use, the LKS and learning design are first validated by two expert lecturers.

Data analysis technique

Activities in data analysis include data reduction (organizing data), data display (making detailed descriptions), and conclusion drawing/verification (interpreting and concluding).

Focusing questions Formulate questions Identify/formulate answer criteria Identify conclusions Identify conclusions Identify conclusions Identify conclusions Identify conclusions Identify conclusions Identify the stated reasons See similarities and differences Identify the stated reasons The "Why" question? What does it mean? What is not an example? Is this what you said? Is this what you said? Is this what you said? Disadvantages/problems/advantages Ability to give reasons Induction & assessing deductions Interpretation of statements; logical words "only", "or", "same", "on' neither. Concluding explanations & hypotheses Interpretation of statements; logical words "only", "or", "same", "on' neither. Concluding explanations & hypotheses Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/grouping Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Explain symbols definitions/principles Consequences/conse		1. Critical Thinking Skills Rubric	
Focusing questions Identify/formulate answer criteria Identify/formulate answer criteria Identify conclusions Identify the stated reasons See similarities and differences Identify & address irrelevance Identify & address irrelevance Identify & address irrelevance Identify Question? Ask & answer clarifying/challenging questions The "Why" question? What does it mean? What are the facts? Is this what you said? B this what you said? B this what you said? B this what you said? Assessing the credibility of a source Ability to give reasons Reports from observers, not other people Minimal conclusions are involved Interpretation of statements; logical words "only", "or", "same", "no" neither. Conduding explanations & involved Interpretation of statements Consider alternatives Consequences/consequences Acceptable applications/principles Consider alternatives Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Acceptable applications/principles Consider alternatives Classification/grouping Reporting a meaning Pay attention to the context Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Affirm consequences Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Agument from analogy Logical strategy	Critical Thinking Skills Indicator	Sub Indicator	Score
Analyze arguments Analyze argu			0 1
Analyze arguments Identify conclusions Identify the stated reasons	Focusing questions		
Identify the stated reasons See similarities and differences Identify & address irrelevance Identify & address irrelevance The "Why" questions The "Why" questions What does it mean? What is not an example? What is not an example? What are the facts? Is this what you said? Is this what you said? Is this what you said? Ability to give reasons Interpretation of statements; logical words "only", "or", "same", "not" neither. Induction & assessing induction General Statement Concluding explanations & hypotheses Acceptable applications/principles Consequences/consequences Acceptable applications/principles Consider alternatives Explain symbols/formulas & assess Classification/grouping Example/non-example Reporting a meaning Pay attention to the context Requires assumptions Reason not stated Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Defining the problem Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternatives solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Decide what to do Decide what to do Decide what to do Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy Logical strategy Decide what tender Deny Decided and			
See similarities and differences Identify & address irrelevance The "Why" question? "How" Questions What does it mean? What is not an example? Is this what you said? Seessing the credibility of a source Assessing the credibility of a source Disadvantages/problems/advantages Ability to give reasons Interpretation of statements; logical words "only", "or", "same", "not" neither. Concluding explanations & hypotheses Acceptable applications/principles Consequences/consequences Acceptable applications/principles Consider alternatives Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Example/non-example Reporting a meaning Determine meaning Pay attention to the context Reason not stated Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Defining the problem Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy	Analyze arguments		
Ask & answer clarifying/challenging questions Ask & answer clarifying/challenging questions The "Why" questions "How" Questions What does it mean? What is not an example? What are the facts? Is this what you said? Assessing the credibility of a source Assessing the credibility of a source Disadvantages/problems/advantages Ability to give reasons Ability to give reasons Ability to give reasons Ability to give reasons Reports from observers, not other people Minimal conclusions are involved Interpretation of statements; logical words "only", "or", "same", "not" neither. Concluding explanations & hypotheses Create & assess valuable judgments Create & assess valuable judgments Create & assess valuable judgments Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Example/non-example Reporting a meaning Determine meaning Pay attention to the context Reason not stated Requires assumptions Defining the problem Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Logical strategy Logical strategy			
Ask & answer clarifying/challenging questions "How" Questions What does it mean? What is not an example? What is not an example? What are the facts? Is this what you said? Assessing the credibility of a source Assessing the credibility of a source Assessing the credibility of a source Observe & assess observation reports Peduction & assessing deductions The protect of the people Minimal conclusions are involved Interpretation of statements; logical words "only", "or", "same", "not" neither. Induction & assessing induction Concluding explanations & hypotheses Create & assess valuable judgments Create & assess valuable judgments Acceptable applications/principles Consider alternatives Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Example/non-example Acceptable applications/principles Classification/grouping Example/non-example Reporting a meaning Determine meaning Pay attention to the context Reason not stated Requires assumptions Pedining the problem Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy			
#How" Questions What does it mean? What is not an example? What is not an example? What are the facts? Is this what you said? Assessing the credibility of a source Disadvantages/problems/advantages Bisthis what you said? Assessing the credibility of a source Ability to give reasons Reports from observers, not other people Minimal conclusions are involved Deduction & assessing deductions Interpretation of statements; logical words "only", "or", "same", "not" neither. Concluding explanations & hypotheses Create & assess valuable judgments Concluding explanations & hypotheses Consequences/consequences Acceptable applications/principles Consider alternatives Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Example/non-example Reporting a meaning Determine meaning Pay attention to the context Reason not stated Reason not stated Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy			
What does it mean? What is not an example? What is not an example? What are the facts? Is this what you said? Assessing the credibility of a source Disadvantages/problems/advantages	Ask & answer clarifying/challenging questions	The "Why" question?	
What is not an example? What are the facts? Is this what you said? Assessing the credibility of a source Disadvantages/problems/advantages Ability to give reasons Observe & assess observation reports Peduction & assessing deductions Deduction & assessing induction Tinction & assessing induction Tinction & assessing induction Background facts Create & assess valuable judgments Create & assess valuable judgments Explain symbols/formulas & assess Definitions/dimensions Acceptable applications/principles Consider alternatives Classification/grouping Acceptable applications/principles Classification/grouping Determine meaning Pay attention to the context Reagon not stated Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Defining the problem Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy		"How" Questions	
Assessing the credibility of a source Assessing the credibility of a source Observe & assess observation reports Observe & assess observation reports Deduction & assessing deductions Interpretation of statements; logical words "only", "or", "same", "not" neither. Induction & assessing induction Concluding explanations & hypotheses Create & assess valuable judgments Create & assess valuable judgments Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Explain symbols of a course of action Identify assumptions Deciding on a course of action Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy		What does it mean?	
Assessing the credibility of a source Assessing the credibility of a source Absility to give reasons Observe & assess observation reports Reports from observers, not other people Minimal conclusions are involved Deduction & assessing deductions Interpretation of statements; logical words "only", "or", "same", "not" neither. Induction & assessing induction Create & assess valuable judgments Create & assess valuable judgments Create & assess valuable judgments Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Explain symbols formulas & assess Acceptable applications/principles Consider alternatives Consider alternatives Explain symbols/formulas & assess Acceptable applications/principles Consider alternatives Reporting a meaning Petermine meaning Pay attention to the context Requires assumptions Reason not stated Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy		What is not an example?	
Assessing the credibility of a source Ability to give reasons Reports from observers, not other people Minimal conclusions are involved Deduction & assessing deductions Induction & assessing induction Create & assess valuable judgments Create & assess valuable judgments Create & assess valuable judgments Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Explain symbols/formulas & assess Classification/grouping Explain symbols/formulas & assess Reporting a meaning Determine meaning Pay attention to the context Reason not stated Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Interact with other people Interact with other people Argument from analogy Logical strategy Argument from analogy Logical strategy		What are the facts?	
Observe & assess observation reports Observe & assess observation reports Reports from observers, not other people Minimal conclusions are involved Interpretation of statements; logical words "only", "or", "same",		Is this what you said?	
Ability to give reasons	Assessing the credibility of a source	Disadvantages/problems/advantages	
Minimal conclusions are involved Interpretation of statements; logical words "only", "or", "same", "not" neither.			
Minimal conclusions are involved Interpretation of statements; logical words "only", "or", "same", "not" neither.	Observe & assess observation reports	Reports from observers, not other people	
Deduction & assessing deductions	•	Minimal conclusions are involved	
Induction & assessing induction Create & assess valuable judgments Create & assess valuable judgments Create & assess valuable judgments Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Explain symbols/formulas & assess Classification/grouping Example/non-example Reporting a meaning Determine meaning Pay attention to the context Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Defining the problem Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy	Deduction & assessing deductions	Interpretation of statements; logical words "only", "or", "same",	
Create & assess valuable judgments Create & assess valuable judgments Background facts Consequences/consequences Acceptable applications/principles Consider alternatives Classification/grouping Example/non-example Reporting a meaning Determine meaning Pay attention to the context Reason not stated Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Defining the problem Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy	<u> </u>	"not" neither.	
Create & assess valuable judgments Consequences/consequences Acceptable applications/principles Consider alternatives Consider alternatives Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Example/non-example Reporting a meaning Determine meaning Pay attention to the context Identify assumptions Reason not stated Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Defining the problem Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy	Induction & assessing induction	General Statement	
Explain symbols/formulas & assess	Ŭ.	Concluding explanations & hypotheses	
Acceptable applications/principles Consider alternatives Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Example/non-example Reporting a meaning Determine meaning Pay attention to the context Identify assumptions Reason not stated Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy	Create & assess valuable judgments	Background facts	
Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Example/non-example Reporting a meaning Determine meaning Pay attention to the context Identify assumptions Reason not stated Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Deciding the problem Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy		Consequences/consequences	
Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions/dimensions Example/non-example Reporting a meaning Determine meaning Pay attention to the context Identify assumptions Reason not stated Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Defining the problem Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy		Acceptable applications/principles	
definitions/dimensions Example/non-example Reporting a meaning Determine meaning Pay attention to the context Identify assumptions Reason not stated Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Defining the problem Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy		Consider alternatives	
Reporting a meaning Determine meaning Pay attention to the context Identify assumptions Reason not stated Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Defining the problem Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy	Explain symbols/formulas & assess	Classification/grouping	
Determine meaning Pay attention to the context Identify assumptions Reason not stated Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Defining the problem Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy	definitions/dimensions	Example/non-example	
Pay attention to the contextIdentify assumptionsReason not statedRequires assumptionsDeciding on a course of actionDefining the problemSelect criteria to assess possible solutionsDecide on alternative solutionsDecide what to doInteract with other peopleAffirm consequencesDenyStarting questionsObscurityArgument from analogyLogical strategy		Reporting a meaning	
Identify assumptionsReason not statedDeciding on a course of actionDefining the problemSelect criteria to assess possible solutionsDecide on alternative solutionsDecide what to doInteract with other peopleAffirm consequencesDenyStarting questionsObscurityArgument from analogyLogical strategy		Determine meaning	
Requires assumptions Deciding on a course of action Defining the problem Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy		Pay attention to the context	
Deciding on a course of action Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy	Identify assumptions	Reason not stated	
Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy		Requires assumptions	
Select criteria to assess possible solutions Decide on alternative solutions Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy	Deciding on a course of action	Defining the problem	
Decide what to do Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy	<u> </u>	Select criteria to assess possible solutions	
Interact with other people Affirm consequences Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy		Decide on alternative solutions	
Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy		Decide what to do	
Deny Starting questions Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy	Interact with other people	Affirm consequences	
Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy			
Obscurity Argument from analogy Logical strategy		Starting questions	
Argument from analogy Logical strategy			
Logical strategy			
		Logical strategy	
		Presentation	

Information:

o = does not appear

1 = appears

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this chapter, the findings and discussion related to the research that has been presented are presented, namely, describing the form of learning design, implementation, and profile of critical thinking skills that emerged during the implementation of sharing and jumping task learning on the nomenclature of inorganic compounds.

Initial activity

Before learning begins, the teacher asks the student's attention to the future and the teacher reminds them about the concept that the students had previously acquired, namely the concept of the periodic system of elements (SPU). The complete activity can be seen in Figure 1 below.



Figure 1. Teacher demonstration regarding the periodic system of elements (SPU)

The teacher displays the periodic system of elements and asks students to observe the elements contained in the periodic system. Students are invited to think to predict if these elements combine to form compounds, and then how many compounds will be formed. An excerpt from the dialogue in the apperception activity is as follows.

Subject time conversation

Subje	Subject time conversation				
06.06	G	This is the periodic table, right? try to pay attention to the periodic table of these elements! There are lots of elements			
06.15	G	Take a look, and try to estimate that if these elements combine to form bonds, how many compounds will be			
00.10	•	formed?			
06.26	SS	Lots			
06.00	0	Try to estimate if there are a lot of compounds formed, even thousands of compounds, so how do we			
06.30	G	differentiate them?			
06.44	S ₅	Give me a name			
06.47	G	Anything else, anyone else wants to add?			
	Ŭ	They thing else, any one else wants to date.			
06.50	S9	Different colors			

Core activities

In the core activity, the teacher distributes student worksheets (LKS) to each student with the hope that students can be responsible for the assignments given.

Sharing tasks 1

In sharing task 1, students are given 10 minutes to discuss, the teacher sets a timer to train discipline so that learning is more effective and efficient. Then the remaining 10 minutes are used for student presentations. An excerpt from the dialogue from sharing task 1 can be seen in the following excerpt.

Time Subject of conversation

10.55	G	Mom, give me 10 minutes. To make it more effective, set a timer
11.03	S26	Just ask, ma'am, I don't understand, ma'am
11.08	S26	How is this?
11.12	S25	Yes, behind it there is an ida ida like that. I just forgot to look. The problem is that it is added with the name ida ida, the rule of tea.

11.26 S26	What is this?	
11.27 S27	Na is sodium. Cl is chlorine. Then it becomes sodium chloride. Add ida like that behind it	

From the excerpt from the dialogue that occurred in group seven, students have begun to find a tendency for repeated words, namely ida, in the names of these compounds. However, students are still confused about making nomenclature rules. It can be seen that students have only been able to identify the names of the elements of these compounds, but have not been able to classify these compounds into metals and non-metals. So the teacher needs help, and the teacher assists according to initial predictions. After that, they are expected to be able to do it independently. The teacher assists in the form of leading questions.

Sharing tasks 2

In sharing task 2, students work on the task of creating nomenclature rules for binary covalent compounds. Students observe a group of compounds, namely covalent compounds consisting of CO_2 (Carbon dioxide), NO_2 (Nitrogen dioxide), CCl_4 (Carbon tetrachloride), N_2O_4 (Dinitrogen tetroxide), and SF_6 (Sulfur hexafluoride). An excerpt from the dialogue from the sharing task 2 activity can be seen in the following excerpt.

ect

	Time of conversation subject				
24.04	G	Okay. Now move on to the second sharing task.			
24.17	S11	Mono, di, tri, tetra			
24.20	S12	One is mono			
24.28	S11	Those are metals and non-metals, right?			
24.29	S12	Just a moment			
24.33	S12	Composed of non-metals and non-metals with the naming starting from the non-metal element followed by the non-metal element and given the suffix ida.			
25.14	S9	How, how, how?			
25.20	S10	One point one point yes			
25.36	S9	Is it nonmetal with nonmetal?			
25.37	S12	Yes Starting from non-metals and followed by more non-metals.			
26.39	S11	Huh, why is it happening again?			
26.42	S11	Chloride, carbon dioxide, tetrachloride			

From the excerpt from the dialogue that occurred in group three, it can be seen that students have been able to find the rules for nominating binary covalent compounds, even though they are not complete. Students state the nomenclature rules for binary covalent compounds, starting with non-metal, then non-metal, and ending with Ida.

Sharing tasks 3

In this sharing task activity, students investigate a group of compounds consisting of polyatomic ions and then make rules from the results of their observations. These groups of compounds are Na_2SO_4 (Sodium sulfate), $FeCO_3$ (Iron (II) Carbonate), $Ca(OH)_2$ (Calcium hydroxide), NH_4Cl (Ammonium chloride), and $Mg(NO_3)_2$ (Magnesium nitrate). Excerpts of student dialogue in sharing task 3 can be seen in the following excerpt.

Time of conversation subject

46.25	S2	How to create nomenclature rules for polyatomic compounds.		
47.32	S1	Ooh if the cation behind it has the word U		
47.43	S1	For anions, there are at and ida		
47.51	S4	Negative anion yes		
48.44	S ₃	That's what you're looking for keep asking. Too much looking		
51.00	S1	1, determine the cation and anion		
51.04	S1	2, if it's a cation, give it the suffix um, if it's an anion, give it the suffix at		
51.20	S_3	Here it is		
51.29	S ₃	Naming starts from positive ions and continues with negative ions		
51.34	S ₃	This is for non-metals that have more than one charge written in Roman numerals		
51.40	S1	What is Rome?		
51.41	S3	Do not know		
52.18	S1	Pretentious hurry up		
53.04	S2	Is this anion?		
53.06	S4	Yes, this is anion, cation		

From the excerpt from the dialogue that occurred in group one, it can be seen that the students actively discussed and cared about their friends. Students can discover the nomenclature rules for polyatomic compounds. In sharing task three, the teacher's assistance began to decrease because students had begun to be able to do it themselves so the teacher's assistance was only for groups that needed help.

Jumping tasks

In this activity, students work on applicable assignments. Students are assigned to find the formula and chemical names of compounds on the market. These compounds are battery water, baking soda, chalk, English salt, and borax. Excerpts of student dialogue in the jumping task activity can be seen in the following excerpt.

Time of conversation subject

ι	inject		
	78.15	G	Write the formula and the chemical name
	78.42	S22	Use the chemical name
	78.49	S21	Sulfa acid
	79.07	S21	Sodium hydrogen carbonate
	80.36	S23	What's this? Carbonet?
	80.45	S22	Calcium hydroxide
	81.11	S22	Magnesium sulfate
	81.48	S24	Eh, let's ask, wow
	81.50	S23	What is that?
	81.40	S23	How come there are so many?
	81.42	S23	This is a password
	81.54	S22	Yes, right. This is my writing
	82.39	S23	So what else do you do, right?
	82.41	S21	Na4B2
	82.42	S23	Why add more? Which one?
	82.45	S21	Borax

From the excerpt from the dialogue that occurred in group six, initially, the students thought they were only looking for the chemical formula but not the chemical name. After all students have finished working on the jumping task, the teacher asks students to present the results of their group discussion.

Meanwhile, critical thinking skills grow in activities tasks one as focusing questions, analyzing arguments, asking and answering clarifying/challenging questions, assessing the credibility of a source, observing and assessing observation reports, deduction and assessing deductions, induction and assessing induction, explaining symbols/formulas & assessing definitions & dimensions, identifying assumptions, decide on a course of action, and interact with others. The design form for sharing task 1 to develop students' critical thinking skills can be seen in Table 2. below.

Table 2. Form	n of Sharing	Task 1 in	Core Activities

Table 2 . Form of Sharing Task 1 in Core Activities						
Predicting Student Responses Teacher Anticipation CTS indicator						
Sharing task I: How do you create nomenclature rules for ionic compounds? (20 minutes)						
Nomenclature rules:	Observe	the following groups of	Focusing questions			
Metal element + non-metal	compounds	s, then conclude what the	Analyze arguments			
element + suffix –ide	nome	enclature rules are.	Ask and answer			
	Chemical	Chemical Name	clarifying/challenging questions			
	Formula		Assessing the credibility of a source			
	NaCl	Sodium Chloride	Observe and assess observation			
	LiBr	Lithium Bromide	reports Deduction and assessing			
	NaI Sodium Iodide		deductions			
	SnO Stano Oxide		Induction and assessing induction Explain symbols/formulas & assess			
	SnO ₂ Stani Oxide		definitions & dimensions			
			Identify assumptions			
	Ionic com	pounds consist of what	Deciding on a course of action			
		elements?	Interact with other people			
	Try to pay attention to the tendency to					
name these compounds. The first						
name mentioned is the name for the						
metal or non-metal element. The						
		me? Then what word is				
	add	ed to the ending?				

In sharing task 2, the predictions of students' responses were corrected by adding students' predictions stating that naming binary covalent compounds is preceded by the element that is more electropositive and

followed by the more electronegative element, the atom in front is called according to the name of the element followed by the name of the next element and given the suffix ida., the number of atoms is referred to as a prefix using Latin numbers. Predicting the next response, students stated that the naming starts with a nonmetal element followed by a non-metal element and is given the suffix ida. If two non-metal elements can form more than two types of compounds then the Greek prefix is used.

So, There are additional predictions for student responses regarding making nomenclature rules for covalent binary compounds, namely; 1) Number of non-metals + number of non-metals + suffix -ide, 2) Shows the number of atoms of the element in the compound, 3) naming covalent binary compounds is preceded by the more electropositive element and followed by the more electronegative element, the atom in front is called according to the name of the element followed by with the name of the next element and given the suffix ida, the number of atoms is referred to as a prefix using Latin numbers, 4) naming starts with the non-metal element followed by the non-metal element and given the suffix ida, if two non-metal elements can form more than two types of compounds then the language prefix is used Greece.

Meanwhile, critical thinking skills that grow in sharing task 2 activities are focusing on questions, analyzing arguments, asking and answering clarifying/challenging questions, assessing the credibility of a source, observing and assessing observation reports, deducting and evaluating deductions, inducing and assessing induction, and explaining symbols. /formula & assess definitions & dimensions, identify assumptions, decide on a course of action, and interact with others. The design form for sharing task 2 to develop student's critical thinking skills can be seen in Table 3 below.

Table 3. Form of Sharing Task 2 in Core Activities				
Predicting Student Responses	Teache	er Anticipation	CTS indicator	
Sharing task 2:How do you cre	eate nomenclature (30 minutes)	rules for covalent compo	ands?	
Number of nonmetals + number of nonmetals + suffix -ide. Shows the number of element atoms in the compound.	compounds, th	following groups of nen conclude what the lature rules are.	Focusing questions Analyze arguments Ask and answer clarifying/challenging	
The naming of covalent binary compounds is preceded by the more electropositive element and	Chemical Formula	Chemical Name	questions Assessing the credibility	
followed by the more electronegative element, the	CO ₂	Carbon dioxide	of a source	
atom in front is called according to the name of the element followed by the name of the next	NO2	Nitrogen dioxide	Observe and assess observation reports	
element and is given the suffix ida, the number of	CCl4	Carbon tetrachloride	Deduction and assessing	
atoms is referred to as a prefix using Latin numbers.	N2O4	Dinitrogen tetroxide	deductions Induction and assessing	
The name starts with a non-metal element	SF6	Sulfur hexafluoride	induction	
followed by a non-metal element and is given the suffix ida. If two non-metal elements can form more than two types of compounds, the Greek prefix is used.	Try to pay atten name these comp name mentione Then what word Are such	oounds consist of what lements? Ition to the tendency to counds. What is the first ed? The second name? is added to the ending? In rules enough? ords di, tetra, and hexa	Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions & dimensions Identify assumptions Deciding on a course of action Interact with other people	

Meanwhile, critical thinking skills that grow in sharing task 3 activities are focusing on questions, analyzing arguments, asking and answering clarifying/challenging questions, observing and assessing observation reports, deducting and assessing deductions, induction and assessing induction, explaining symbols/formulas & assessing definitions. & dimensions, deciding on a course of action, and interacting with others. The design form for sharing task 3 to develop student's critical thinking skills can be seen in Table 4 below.

mean.

Observe and assess

observation reports

Table 4. Form of Sharing Task 3 in Core Activities Predict student responses Teacher anticipation CTS indicator Sharing task 3: How do you create nomenclature rules for polyatomic compounds? (40 minutes) Transition metal name (Biloxi) + Observe the following groups of compounds, then Focusing questions nonmetal name (anion) conclude what the nomenclature rules are. Analyze arguments Cation name + anion name + Ask and answer Chemical Chemical Name ida/at/it. Formula clarifying/challenging Naming starts from the name of questions Na₂SO₄ Sodium Sulfate the cation (metal) followed by

Sodium Sulfite

Na₂SO₃

the polyatomic anion, the

number of an atom is written with Roman numerals after the atom is written.

Starting with writing polyatomic ions which have a positive charge and continuing with writing polyatomic ions which have a negative charge and ending with the words ida/at. The prefix hypo is given to one type of compound that has fewer anions, and the prefix per anion is more.

Fe(OH) ₂	Iron(II) Hydroxide
Fe(OH) ₃	Iron(III) Hydroxide
Ca(ClO) ₂	Calcium Hypochlorite
	V 1
Ca(ClO ₂) ₂	Calcium Chlorite
Ca(ClO ₃) ₂	Calcium Chlorate
Ca(ClO ₄) ₂	Calcium Perchlorate

What is meant by a polyatomic ion? Try to group which are the cations, and which are the anions.

Take a look at what the Roman numerals on the iron compounds indicate.

Try to pay attention to the tendency to name these compounds. What is the first name mentioned? The second name? Then what word is added to the ending? Try to pay attention to the name of the compound! Some compounds end in –ide and some end in –at or –it. Take a look again! Some compounds start with -hypo and some start with -per.

Deduction and assessing deductions
Induction and assessing induction
Explain symbols/formulas & assess definitions & dimensions
Deciding on a course of action
Interact with other people

Critical thinking skills that grow in jumping task activities are analyzing arguments, asking and answering clarifying/challenging questions, assessing the credibility of a source, explaining symbols/formulas & assessing definitions & dimensions, deciding on a course of action, and interacting with other people. The form of jumping task design to develop students' critical thinking skills can be seen in Table 5 below.

Table 5. Form of Jumping Task in Core Activities

Predict student responses	Teacher anticipation	CTS indicator
Jumping tasks: How to determine the chemical formula and name of battery		Analyze arguments
water, baking soda, chalk, English salt, and borax? (20 minutes)		Ask and answer clarifying/challenging
		questions
		Assessing the credibility of a source
		Explain symbols/formulas & assess
		definitions & dimensions
		Deciding on a course of action
		Interact with other people

CONCLUSION

Based on the results and discussion, the sharing and jumping task learning design on the nomenclature of inorganic compounds are quite effective in fostering critical thinking skills, especially on the indicator "asking and answering clarifying/challenging questions". However, critical thinking skills are difficult to grow in the indicator of "making and judging valuable judgments". Through the implementation of sharing and jumping task learning designs on the nomenclature of inorganic compounds, the quality of learning can be improved and students' critical thinking skills can be developed. Future researchers can develop sharing and jumping task learning designs on other chemical materials and broader research subjects.

REFERENCES

- Costa, A. L. (1991). Developing Minds A Resource Book for Teaching Thinking. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development. *Education Resources Information Center (ERIC)*.
- Fatimah, I., Hendayana, S., & Supriatna, A. (2018). Didactical design based on sharing and jumping tasks for senior high school chemistry learning. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1013(1).
- Femiceyanti, I., Rahardjo, S. B., & Yamtinah, S. (2019). Pengembangan Modul Kimia Berbasis Inkuri Terbimbing Pada Materi Larutan Penyangga Untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Dan Keterampilan Proses Sains Siswa Kelas Xi Ipa Sma. *INKUIRI: Jurnal Pendidikan IPA*, 8(2), 198. https://doi.org/10.20961/inkuiri.v8i2.37758
- Gazali, F., & Dasna, I. W. (2023). Meningkatkan Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Siswa dalam Pembelajaran Kimia. *Edukatif*: *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, *5*(3), 1401–1410. https://doi.org/10.31004/edukatif.v5i3.4290
- Herlina, Heliawati, L., & Permana, I. (2019). NoMeningkatkan Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Dan Kreatif Siswa Melalui Pembelajaran Tata Nama Senyawa Kimia Dengan Menggunakan Media Piringan KationAnion. *Journal of Science Education And Practice*, 3(1), 19–32.
- Istiana, G.A., Agung, N.C., & J. S. S. (2015). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Discovery Learning Untuk

- Meningkatkan Aktivitas dan Prestasi Belajar Pokok Bahasan Larutan Penyangga pada Siswa Kelas XII IPA Semester II SMA Negeri 1 Ngemplak Tahun Pelajaran 2013/2014. *Jurnal Pendidikan Kimia (JPK)*, 10(1), 65–73.
- Jones, P. & Hammon, J. (2016). Dialogic teaching in conversation with educational linguistics. *Research Papers in Education*, *31*(1), 1–4.
- Liliasari. (2002). Pengembangan Model Pembelajaran Kimia Untuk Meningkatkan Strategi Kognitif Mahasiswa Calon Guru Dalam Menerapkan Berpikir Konseptual Tingkat Tinggi.
- Litbang, K. (2013). Kurikulum 2013: Pergeseran Paradigma Belajar Abad-21. Litbang.Kemdikbud.Go.Id.
- Redhana, W. I. (2015). Menyiapkan Lulusan FMIPA yang Menguasai Keterampilan Abad XXI. *Proceedings Seminar Nasional FMIPA UNDIKSHA*.
- Rosyana, W., Ashadi, A., & Mulyani, S. (2019). PENGEMBANGAN INSTRUMEN PENILAIAN THREE-TIER MULTIPLE CHOICE (Three-TMC) UNTUK MENGUKUR KETERAMPILAN BERPIKIR KRITIS SISWA PADA MATERI KIMIA LARUTAN PENYANGGA KELAS XI SMA. *INKUIRI: Jurnal Pendidikan IPA*, 8(1), 48. https://doi.org/10.20961/inkuiri.v8i1.31814
- Sato. (2013). Mereformasi Sekolah: Konsep Dan Praktek Komunitas Belajar. *The Internasional Development Center of Japan Inc.*
- Siti Nuraeni, Tonih Feronika, & Luki Yunita. (2019). Implementasi Self-Efficacy dan Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Siswa Pada Pembelajaran Kimia di Abad 21. *Jambura Journal of Educational Chemistry*, 1(2), 49–56. https://ejurnal.ung.ac.id/index.php/jjec/article/view/2553/1596
- Wijaya, Y., Sudjimat, D., & Nyoto, A. (2016). Transformasi Pendidikan Abad 21 Sebagai Tuntutan Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia di Era Global. *Jurnal Pendidikan Universitas Malang*.
- Yusfiani, dan S. (2006). Pengembangan dan Standarisasi Buku Ajar Kimia untuk SMA/MA Kelas XII Semester 1 Berdasarkan Standar Isi KTSP. *Jurnal Penelitian Bidang Pendidikan*, 17(1), 38–48.
- Zainal, Y. (2014). Desain Didaktis Berbantuan Lesson Analysis Sebagai Selfreflection Pada Pembelajaran Penerapan Konsep Koloid dalam Kehidupan Sehari- Hari.
- Zoller, U. (1999). Scaling Up Of Higher-Order Cognitive Skills-Oriented College Chemistry Teaching'. Journal Of Research In Science Teaching.