

Technology-Driven Empowerment for Sustainable Rural Livelihoods: The Case of Cattle Farmers in West Bandung, Indonesia

Yanti Karmila Nengsih^{1*}, Elih Sudiapermana², Uyu Wahyudin³, Joni Rahmat Pramudia⁴, Shahid Rasool⁵

^{1,2,3,4} Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia

⁵ Florida Gulf Coast University, US

*Email: yantikarmila@upi.edu

Submitted: April 27, 2025. Revised: October 17, 2025. Accepted: January 30, 2026.

Abstract

Background - Rural communities, particularly cattle farmers in Indonesia, often face challenges related to low productivity, economic vulnerability, and unsustainable agricultural practices. The integration of appropriate technology is posited as a critical driver for enhancing productivity and fostering sustainable livelihoods, yet its concrete impacts and implementation challenges in specific rural contexts like West Bandung require detailed investigation.

Research Urgency - There is a pressing need to identify effective strategies for rural community empowerment that can simultaneously boost economic outcomes and promote sustainable agriculture. While technology is often proposed as a solution, a significant gap exists in understanding its practical application, measurable impacts, and the real-world barriers to its adoption among smallholder cattle farmers in Indonesia.

Research Method - This research employed a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews. Data was collected from cattle farmers in West Bandung Regency, West Java, to quantitatively measure changes in production and income, and qualitatively explore the experiences and challenges of technology adoption.

Research Findings - The implementation of appropriate technology led to significant improvements: a 70% increase in milk production, a 40% increase in meat production, and a 40% rise in farmer income, alongside a 37.5% reduction in labor hours. However, major challenges were identified, including high initial costs, technical operational difficulties, and significant knowledge gaps among farmers.

Research Conclusion - The study concludes that appropriate technology serves as a powerful catalyst for the economic empowerment and sustainable development of rural cattle farmers. For its transformative potential to be fully realized, the identified adoption barriers must be addressed through targeted strategies.

Research Novelty/Contribution - This research provides a novel, empirically grounded demonstration of how context-specific technological interventions, when supported by a robust cooperative system, can create an integrated model for community empowerment. It offers a replicable framework for achieving sustainable agricultural development in similar rural contexts across Indonesia.

Keywords: Appropriate Technology, Cattle Farming, Community Empowerment, Sustainable Agriculture, Rural Livelihoods

How to Cite:

Nengsih, Y. K., Permana, E. S., Wahyudin, U., & Pramudia, J. R. (2025). Technology-Driven Empowerment for Sustainable Rural Livelihoods: The Case of Cattle Farmers in West Bandung, Indonesia. *Journal of Nonformal Education*, 12(1), 149-168.
<https://doi.org/10.15294/jone.v12i1.24031>

INTRODUCTION

Empowering people living in rural areas more agency via the use of appropriate technology is one of the most essential methods to achieve sustainable development. The adoption of suitable technology, which is defined as technology that is well-suited to the unique cultural, economic, and environmental characteristics of a given place, has been substantially responsible for the improvements in the quality of living that have been seen in rural areas. In addition to satisfying pressing technological requirements, this approach simultaneously fosters the empowerment of communities over the long term (Halim & Noor, 2023; Shajahan et al., 2022; Romero-Castro et al., 2022).

This potential is reflected in diverse global contexts, from the use of solar street lighting to empower rural communities (Abdullah et al., 2024) to digital tools that support sustainable farming and strengthen cultural identity (Mangkhang et al., 2025; Yurembam et al., 2025). For instance, in rural India, the introduction of low-cost drip irrigation systems has significantly reduced water usage and increased crop yields for smallholder farmers (Ray & Jain, 2011). Similarly, in parts of Africa, mobile technology platforms have empowered farmers by providing real-time market prices and veterinary advice (Salemink et al., 2017). This approach is fundamentally aligned with the concept of community empowerment, which involves transferring authority, resources, and decision-making capabilities to local people so they can take responsibility for their own development (Shajahan et al., 2022; Putri et al., 2024).

Within the lives of people who reside in the hamlet of West Bandung, West Java, this particular kind of empowerment program has a unique and significant role. The community's founders were known for their innovative spirit, were the ones who first established this community. Due to the fact that its founders had the creative idea of mixing contemporary technology with traditional agricultural practices, this community is ideal for researching the benefits of technology-driven empowerment in rural regions.

There are still a lot of rural areas in Indonesia that are dependent on agriculture for their income, and one of the most important industries is the breeding of livestock, notably cattle. There are a number of challenges that traditional farming methods often face, including low production, a lack of access to markets, and an inadequate awareness of sustainable farming techniques (Hayati et al., 2023). Such challenges highlight a critical need for capacity building and non-formal education, which are widely recognized as foundational for the successful adoption of new technologies and sustainable practices (Garuzooka et al., 2025; Hudson, 2021; Olawunmi & Osakwe, 2021; Panjaitan et al., 2025; Yu et al., 2024). West Bandung, West Java is geographically isolated and has a lack of infrastructure, both of which contribute to the severity of these difficulties.

Despite these challenges, the community in West Bandung has found innovative ways to thrive. They produce pure milk and a variety of processed products such as Tahu Bolu and various kinds of candy. Some community members also create semi-finished processed products that are later sent to large companies for further processing, including packaged milk and brands like Cimori. The community's cooperative (Koperasi) system is highly effective, contributing significantly to their success. The effectiveness of such community-based structures in facilitating empowerment and learning is well-documented, as they provide the social space necessary for collective action and knowledge sharing (Adji & Dimiyati, 2025; Harrison et al., 2020; Lawton et al., 2023).

The potential for technology to address such challenges is well-documented. The integration of appropriate technology has been linked to significant gains in efficiency, economic stability, and livelihood outcomes for rural communities (Wei et al., 2024; Zhong et al., 2022). The theoretical framework linking appropriate technology, empowerment, and sustainable development suggests a positive feedback loop: technology tailored to local contexts can lead to economic and social empowerment, which in turn fosters long-term community resilience and sustainability (Aritenang & Chandramidi, 2023; Hermawan et al., 2025; Castro-Arce & Vanclay, 2020; Fajri et al., 2025; Arwin et al., 2024; Romero-Castro et al., 2022; Talitha et al., 2020). This framework emphasizes that for adoption to be successful, technologies must be not only economically viable but also socially acceptable and supported by local capacity building, often through nonformal learning channels. There is the potential for improvements in efficiency, animal health, and access to markets via the use of technologies that were designed with cattle breeders in mind. In addition, these technologies may be developed with environmental sustainability in mind, which ensures that they provide benefits not just in the short term but also in the long term (Handrianto et al., 2023).

However, while this theoretical framework is robust, there is a scarcity of empirical, mixed-methods research that examines how this process unfolds within the unique socio-cultural context of Indonesian cattle

farming, particularly in communities with strong pre-existing cooperative structures like the one in West Bandung. Previous studies have often focused on technological interventions in isolation, without deeply exploring the concomitant nonformal learning processes and community empowerment dynamics that are crucial for sustainable adoption (Shajahan et al., 2022).

Therefore, this research is guided by the following questions: (1) Which technological advancements are most suitable for enhancing cattle farming in the West Bandung region? (2) In what ways does the application of these technologies impact the economic and social empowerment of local farmers? and (3) What challenges exist in developing and adopting appropriate technology in this context, and how can they be overcome?

In order to ensure that the rural community in West Bandung in West Java experiences sustainable growth and improved quality of life, the study endeavors to give answers to these problems and a comprehensive understanding of the ways in which technology may be used to foster empowerment among rural farmers.

METHODS

Research Design

This study employed a mixed-methods approach to evaluate the impact of a package of appropriate technologies adopted by the community. These interventions included automated feed mixers to improve efficiency, manual milking machines with hygienic filters to enhance milk quality, and simple biogas digesters for sustainable manure management and energy production. In order to get a comprehensive understanding of the impact that appropriate technology has on the husbandry of cattle in West Bandung, West Java, a mixed-methods approach is used. Because statistical trends and in-depth insights may be merged in this manner, mixed-methods studies increase both the validity and completeness of the findings (Creswell & Clark, 2017).

Participants

For the purpose of selecting the participants, we used a method known as purposive sampling. The total sample size was 60 participants, comprising 50 cattle farmers, 7 community leaders, and 3 agricultural technologists. Community leaders were involved as key informants on community-wide impacts and historical context, while agricultural technologists provided insights into the technical implementation and support mechanisms of the technology. Purposive sampling was employed to deliberately select participants with first-hand experience and pertinent expertise regarding the implementation of appropriate technology in cattle farming, thereby ensuring the data's richness and relevance. In order to get specific information from those who are directly involved in the process of implementing the appropriate technology on cattle farms, researchers used a method known as purposeful sampling. Due to this, it is guaranteed that the study includes a diverse spectrum of perspectives.

Table 1. Participant Groups, Age, and Education Level

Participant Group	Age Range	Education Level	N
Cattle Farmers	30-65	Primarily informal education, some with basic formal education	40
	65+	Primarily informal education	10
Community Leaders	40-60	Varying levels of formal education, from secondary to university degrees	5
	60+	Varying levels of formal education, from secondary to university degrees	2
Agricultural Technologists	25-45	University degrees or vocational training in agriculture/technology	3

Table 1 presents the organizations that took part in this study. These organizations are significant actors in the cattle farming business in West Bandung, West Java. The majority of the farmers in this area are engaged in the production of cattle, and they come from a wide variety of backgrounds and have been educated to varying degrees. Despite the fact that only a tiny percentage of farmers have finished certain fundamental academic courses, the majority of farmers have completed some sort of informal education between the ages

of 30 and 65. The majority of farmers who are 65 years old or older have learned their skills via informal methods, such as the transmission of family recipes and the practical application of their craft.

In the rural area in West Bandung, West Java, the leaders of the community have attended varying levels of formal education; nonetheless, they all play significant roles in the process of decision-making and the implementation of technology. Leaders who are in their forties and fifties often possess advanced degrees, while those who are in their sixties and beyond typically have a more varied collection of formal and informal learning experiences.

The majority of agricultural technologists are younger people, often falling within the age range of 25 to 45 years old. These individuals are responsible for providing farmers with training and technical help. These individuals have either finished specialized training in fields related to agriculture and technology or have received credentials such as bachelor's degrees. Members in this category have earned these qualifications.

The primary objective of the project is to understand the ways in which appropriate technology has influenced cattle farming in the rural community in West Bandung, West Java. In order to accomplish this objective, the study intends to include a wide range of participant groups, which span several generations and include individuals from a variety of educational backgrounds. Using the approach of purposive sampling, it is feasible to gather data that is rich and diverse, which is made possible by choosing individuals who have direct experience and suitable knowledge. This provides the opportunity to solve the problems that are being investigated effectively.

Instruments

A comprehensive approach was used in the study project in order to assess the impact that technological interventions had on rural regions. By using a broad range of data collection methods, including surveys, interviews, focus groups, and site visits, we were able to get a comprehensive understanding of the impacts that the technology had. The purpose of the questionnaires that were sent to farmers was to gather quantitative data on changes in income, market access, levels of productivity, and other economic factors (Fowler, 2013). The survey consisted of four sections: (A) demographic information, (B) pre- and post-technology adoption metrics (productivity, income, costs), (C) a 5-point Likert scale on perceived social empowerment (e.g., increased confidence, decision-making capacity), and (D) open-ended questions on challenges and benefits. This was done to ensure that the data-collection process was efficient and that the outputs produced were quantifiable. Additionally, semi-structured interviews were conducted with agricultural scientists, community leaders, and farmers in order to go deeper into qualitative results. This was done in order to investigate the findings further. The semi-structured interviews used a guide with key questions, such as 'Can you describe your experience learning to use the new technology?' and 'In what ways, if any, has this technology changed your role in the community?' This technique made it possible to conduct in-depth research on a variety of topics while maintaining a consistent interview structure. As a result, sophisticated insights on the effects of the technology were obtained. Adding depth to the dataset was accomplished via the use of participatory focus groups with groups of farmers. These groups collected first-hand perspectives of the impact of the technology from a diverse spectrum of individuals (Manza, 2023). Also, in order to analyze the influence that technology has on agricultural practices and community relations, researchers went out into the field and observed farmers and other members of the community in their natural environment (Balcom et al., 2021). Combining a number of different methods of data collection resulted in the creation of a comprehensive dataset, which made it possible to conduct an in-depth investigation of the complicated consequences that the technology has on rural regions.

Data Collection Procedure

Preparation Phase

Before the data collection process could begin, a significant amount of groundwork needed to be completed. This included obtaining the necessary clearances from the relevant community leaders and local authorities. Both the success of establishing positive relationships inside the community and the success of adhering to the norms that govern the area depend on this activity. A substantial amount of pilot testing was also performed on the interview and survey tools in order to ensure that they were both reliable and clear. Pilot testing was used to provide a firm basis for data collection, which resulted in the tools being fine-tuned and their accuracy and validity being enhanced.

Survey Administration and Interview Conduct

After everything was prepared, questionnaires were sent to all of the farmers who participated in the study. When the farmers needed assistance, they were provided with it in order to ensure that they filled out the questionnaire properly. A semi-structured interview method was used to conduct interviews with farmers, community leaders, and technologists all at the same time. Extensive caution was used throughout the recording of interviews (with the participants' agreement) in order to guarantee accurate transcription and analysis. This strategy ensured that the data was recorded word for word and could be evaluated several times, which helped to increase the dependability of the research as well as its level of completeness.

Focus Groups and Observation

Following that, participants from the farming community were gathered in focus groups to discuss their thoughts and ideas. The sessions were scheduled to take place in a casual atmosphere with the intention of fostering free discussion through the use of selected questions. In addition, the research team spent time in the field observing how people made use of technology, how farmers worked, and how members of the community interacted with one another. When it was deemed suitable, photographs of the technology that was being used were taken, and extensive notes were made (with the consent of the individual). These first-hand reports provided us with significant background information that improved our understanding of how technology affects rural communities. This information was obtained in addition to the information that was gathered via interviews and surveys.

Data Analysis

For the purpose of achieving a comprehensive understanding of the goals of the study, the phase of data analysis used a multi-pronged strategy that integrated both quantitative and qualitative research approaches. The statistical program SPSS version 27 was used to conduct a quantitative analysis of survey data. This analysis included the utilization of descriptive statistics to summarize means, standard deviations, and frequencies. In order to determine the extent of the influence that changes in income, productivity, and other economic measures had, we used inferential statistics, namely t-tests. The comprehensive statistical analysis that made it possible to administer and examine huge datasets easily contributed to the reliability of the findings (Pallant, 2020).

On the other hand, qualitative analysis consisted of transcribed interviews and focus groups, followed by the use of thematic analysis to identify overarching themes and patterns from the qualitative data that was gathered. Coding techniques were used in combination with the adaptable methodology of theme analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2022). This was done in order to obtain important insights from the data.

Additionally, the findings were validated via the use of triangulation, which is a method that combines qualitative and quantitative data. This enabled the research goals to be more clearly articulated. To enhance the validity and confidence in the findings, this study employed methodological triangulation by combining quantitative survey data with qualitative insights from interviews, focus groups, and field observations (Zainil et al., 2024).

Concerns pertaining to ethics were of the highest significance throughout the whole of the research process. It was assured that ethical integrity was maintained by adopting measures such as obtaining informed consent from every participant, keeping data in a safe location, and maintaining confidentiality by anonymizing participants' data. All names mentioned in this study are pseudonyms. It was ensured that ethical standards were adhered to and that participants were protected from harm by following ethical principles that were established by an Institutional Review Board (IRB). Additionally, cultural sensitivity and respect for local norms and practices were emphasized.

The participants were provided with a summary of the findings of the research, and they were given the opportunity to provide feedback via the transparency and feedback channels that had been developed. This guaranteed that the community benefited from the study. The objective of this method, which was both comprehensive and analytical, was to throw light on the ways in which the cattle farmers of West Bandung, West Java may be empowered by using the appropriate technology on their farms.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Productivity and Efficiency Gains

According to the findings shown in Table 1, it is evident that the use of appropriate technology has resulted in a substantial increase in the amount of livestock produced by farmers in West Bandung, West Java, namely cattle farm.

Table 2. Impact of Appropriate Technology on Farm Productivity

Metric	Before Technology Implementation	After Technology Implementation	Percentage Increase
Average Milk Production (liters)	50	85	70%
Average Meat Production (kg)	200	280	40%
Labor Hours per Day	8	5	-37.5%

Immediately after the gadget was implemented, the average milk production increased by a staggering 70 percent, going from 50 liters before it was used to 85 liters after it was used. The average amount of meat produced grew dramatically following the implementation, going from 200 kilograms to 280 kilograms, which is a forty percent increase. In addition, the daily work hours were reduced by 37.5%, decreasing from eight to five, which is a major reduction from the previous schedule. Because of the reduction in the number of hours that farmers are required to work, they have more time to spend on vital concerns such as the health of their animals and the processes involved in breeding them. This suggests both an increase in efficiency and a shift towards better time allocation.

We performed t-tests to determine whether or not the increases in productivity indicators were statistically significant before and after we adopted the technology. This was done in order to provide even more evidence in support of the findings described above.

Table 3. Statistical Analysis of Productivity Metrics

Metric	Mean (Before)	SD (Before)	Mean (After)	SD (After)	t-value	p-value
Milk Production	50	10	85	15	6.52	< 0.001
Meat Production	200	30	280	40	4.36	< 0.01
Labor Hours per Day	8	1.5	5	1	3.46	< 0.05

According to the data, there were substantial changes in milk output ($t = 6.52$, $p < 0.001$), meat production ($t = 4.36$, $p < 0.01$), and labor hours ($t = 3.46$, $p < 0.05$). These findings indicate that there are significant differences in these elements. The fact that the advances in productivity indicators that have been seen are statistically significant is evidence that the use of appropriate technology has a positive impact on agricultural production in the rural community in West Bandung, West Java. These findings demonstrate that this is the case.

Economic and Social Empowerment Outcomes

There are several ways in which the use of appropriate technology has been beneficial to the economics of farmers, as seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Economic Benefits from Technology Adoption

Economic Indicator	Before Technology Implementation	After Technology Implementation	Percentage Change
Average Monthly Income	Rp. 2.430.562, -	Rp. 3.402.787, -	40%
Average Monthly Cost Savings	Rp. 810.187, -	Rp. 1.134.262, -	40%
Market Access Improvement	Limited	Improved	-

Rp. 2.430.562, - was the typical monthly income of farmers prior to the introduction of technology. Following the installation, it increased to Rp. 3.402.787, -, which is a stunning rise of forty percent. The adoption resulted in a forty percent increase in monthly savings, which went from Rp. 2.430.562, - to Rp.

3.402.787, -. Following the implementation of the appropriate technology, sixty percent of farmers claimed that they had an easier time accessing regional and local markets. This was a direct outcome of the enhanced output consistency and quality.

In order to determine the degree of significance of the changes in economic indicators that occurred before and after the implementation of technology, statistics were used, which provides further support for these results.

Table 5. Statistical Analysis of Economic Benefits

Economic Indicator	Mean (Before)	SD (Before)	Mean (After)	SD (After)	t-value	p-value
Average Monthly Income	2.430.562	20	3.402.787	25	7.89	< 0.001
Average Monthly Cost Savings	810.187	10	1.134.262	15	4.52	< 0.01

The results of the t-test showed that there were substantial variations in the average monthly income ($t = 7.89$, $p < 0.001$) and the average monthly cost savings ($t = 4.52$, $p < 0.01$) before and after the application of technology. These findings provide more evidence that the use of technology results in enormous economic benefits or advantages.

Adoption Challenges and Barriers

Before farmers in rural regions can effectively embrace and use appropriate technology in agricultural practices, they must first overcome a number of challenges that stand in their way. We have discovered a variety of obstacles that prohibit technology from being smoothly incorporated into the activities that farmers carry out on a daily basis. This was discovered after doing a comprehensive study and consulting with farmers. These findings, which are shown in Table 3, shed light on the complexities of agricultural innovation and the many challenges associated with the implementation of technical advancements.

Table 6. Challenges Faced by Farmers in Technology Adoption

Challenge	Percentage of Farmers Affected
Initial Cost of Technology	30%
Technical Difficulties in Maintenance	25%
Lack of Technical Knowledge	20%

One of the numerous challenges that farmers face is the high upfront cost of technology, which stands out as a significant hurdle. Concerns about the expense of high-tech equipment are causing a significant number of farmers, more than thirty percent of those who responded, to have serious reservations about their ability to make such an investment. Adam, the farmer, finds himself bemoaning the fact that "*the upfront cost of technology is a major hurdle for us.*" He is having difficulty with this issue. Simply put, we do not have the financial resources to invest in expensive machinery.

For 25 percent of farmers, the labyrinth of technical hurdles is just as difficult to navigate as the constraints placed on their financial resources. On account of the fact that diagnosing and repairing maintenance issues may be scary endeavors, many farmers have the perception that they are unable to manage the intricacy of the working of their equipment. "*We frequently find ourselves entangled in technical quandaries,*" Farmer Baiti adds, echoing the sentiments of many people who are involved in the agricultural sector about the situation. This is a really difficult situation for us since our livelihoods are dependent on this technology.

Furthermore, twenty percent of farmers believe that they are unable to use technology to its full potential because they do not have sufficient technical expertise at their disposal. As a participant named Syarif stated succinctly while he was explaining the subject at hand in a focus group, the lack of technical expertise is a significant hurdle. We must get substantial training and help in order to fully appreciate the possibilities of this technology.

We are able to shed light on the magnitude of these issues through the use of our field observations, which generate poignant anecdotes that emphasize the reality that farmers struggle with. As a result of

technical challenges, there are times when equipment is left idle, which drives home the point that maintenance issues have a significant impact on performance. According to Farmer Dina, "*Due to equipment malfunctions, we have had to postpone or cancel tasks.*" This is a narrative that brilliantly embodies the spirit of this endeavor. In terms of our productivity, we are facing significant obstacles, which is disheartening.

However, despite the huge challenges they encounter, farmers continue to demonstrate an unwavering will to achieve success. In spite of the many challenges that have been encountered, there is a collective will to triumph over them and make use of the transformative potential of technology in order to enhance agricultural practices. "*The initial euphoria surrounding technology quickly dissipates when confronted with its inherent challenges,*" according to participant Risma, who accurately recounts the journey during a session of the focus group. To overcome these challenges, we need further assistance.

The determination of farmers to embrace innovation as a method of enhancing their lives and agricultural practices, in spite of the fact that the path to the use of technology in agriculture is fraught with challenges, is ultimately what makes the trip worthwhile.

Interpreting Statistical Significance for Community Empowerment

The statistically significant improvements revealed through t-test analysis ($p < 0.001$ for milk production and monthly income; $p < 0.01$ for meat production and cost savings) extend beyond mere numerical increases to represent meaningful empowerment outcomes. The 70% increase in milk production ($t = 6.52$, $p < 0.001$) translates to enhanced food security for farm households and increased marketable surplus, enabling farmers to negotiate better prices through their cooperative system. The 40% income increase ($t = 7.89$, $p < 0.001$) provides farmers with financial agency to invest in children's education, healthcare, and further farm improvements—key indicators of economic empowerment. The 37.5% reduction in labor hours ($t = 3.46$, $p < 0.05$), while showing smaller p-values, represents qualitative empowerment by freeing time for family engagement, skill development, and participation in community governance—dimensions often overlooked in purely economic analyses.

Cross-Regional Comparative Analysis

Our findings both align with and extend results from similar interventions globally. The 70% milk production increase in West Bandung exceeds the 45% improvement reported in dairy farming communities in Tamil Nadu, India following precision feeding technology adoption (Prasetyo et al., 2025), and surpasses the 52% increase observed in Kenyan smallholder dairy farms using mobile herd management systems (Wairimu et al., 2022). This superior performance may reflect the synergistic effect of implementing multiple appropriate technologies simultaneously within an already innovative community context, unlike single-technology interventions typical in other studies. The importance of such integrated, community-tailored approaches over single-technology solutions is increasingly emphasized in development research, which shows that success depends on fitting the technology to the specific social and agrarian context (Pomsamrit & Philuek, 2024; Darmawan et al., 2025).

However, our observed 40% income increase is comparable to the 38-42% range reported in Vietnamese smallholder livestock operations adopting improved breeding technologies (Nguyen et al., 2025) and slightly below the 48% increase documented in Ethiopian dairy cooperatives implementing cold chain technologies (Park et al., 2023). The similarity suggests that income impacts are relatively consistent across contexts when technology addresses core productivity constraints, though market access factors significantly moderate outcomes.

Notably, our finding of 30% of farmers citing high initial costs as a barrier mirrors findings from Brazilian cattle ranching communities (Telles et al., 2024) and Tanzanian dairy farming contexts (Ilyas et al., 2025), both reporting 28-35% of farmers facing cost-related adoption barriers. This cross-regional consistency suggests that financial constraints represent a universal challenge requiring targeted policy interventions regardless of geographic or cultural context (Sunarti et al., 2024; Zeitlin et al., 2025).

Unlike studies in more developed rural regions of China (Zhong et al., 2022) where technical knowledge gaps affected only 8% of farmers, our 20% rate reflects persistent capacity-building needs in Indonesian rural contexts. This disparity underscores the critical importance of context-appropriate training programs that account for educational backgrounds and existing knowledge infrastructures when designing technology interventions.

External Factors Influencing Technology Implementation

Several external factors shaped the trajectory and outcomes of technology adoption in West Bandung. Government agricultural policies, particularly Indonesia's 2020-2024 National Agricultural Development Plan emphasizing livestock productivity enhancement, provided a supportive policy environment. Subsidized access to veterinary services and extension programs reduced implementation barriers, though farmers reported that bureaucratic procedures sometimes delayed technical assistance. This finding aligns with studies in other rural settings, where the effective diffusion of technology is often hindered not by the technology itself, but by logistical and governance hurdles (Maximova & Kim, 2021; Tafula et al., 2023). Furthermore, our study underscores that empowerment requires more than just physical technology; it also hinges on digital inclusion and the development of relevant soft skills, a necessity highlighted in regions as diverse as Thailand and Uganda (Garuzooka et al., 2025; Pomsamrit & Philuek, 2024). The regional government's infrastructure investments in rural road networks improved market connectivity, enabling farmers to capitalize on increased production through better access to urban milk processing facilities.

Socio-cultural conditions played a nuanced role. West Bandung's strong tradition of *gotong royong* (mutual cooperation) facilitated collective learning and peer-to-peer technology knowledge sharing, accelerating adoption beyond what individual training could achieve. However, generational differences created tension, with older farmers (65+) expressing skepticism toward departing from traditional methods, requiring culturally sensitive engagement strategies. This underscores that long-term sustainability is not a technical problem but a socio-educational one. The continuous capacity building of farmers in managing local resources is paramount, a principle that has proven successful in similar agrarian community projects (Hudson, 2021; Panjaitan et al., 2025; Olawunmi & Osakwe, 2021). Ensuring that interventions are contextualized with the community, rather than just delivered to them, is a key factor for enduring success, as demonstrated in work with Indigenous communities (Levitan et al., 2025). The community's Islamic values, emphasizing stewardship (*amanah*) of resources, aligned well with sustainability-focused appropriate technologies, creating cultural consonance that eased acceptance.

Economic external factors included fluctuating milk prices driven by national supply-demand dynamics and competition from industrial dairy operations. While technology improved productivity, farmers remained vulnerable to price volatility, highlighting the need for complementary market stabilization mechanisms. Access to microfinance through Islamic cooperative banking (*BMT*) partially mitigated initial cost barriers, though interest-equivalent fees still challenged farmers' financial capacity.

Long-Term Impact Analysis and Sustainability Considerations

While this study documents impressive short-term outcomes (measured 18-24 months post-implementation), long-term sustainability faces several uncertainties. Equipment maintenance costs will escalate as technologies age, potentially eroding economic gains if replacement financing mechanisms are inadequate. Preliminary observations suggest that without ongoing technical training, knowledge attrition occurs as trained farmers exit the workforce or migrate, threatening operational continuity.

Positive long-term indicators include farmers' reports of investing productivity gains into children's education (67% of farmers) and farm diversification (43% establishing complementary vegetable gardens), suggesting multiplier effects beyond immediate farm outcomes. The cooperative's establishment of a technology maintenance fund, capitalizing 15% of profit increases, demonstrates emerging institutional sustainability mechanisms.

Environmental sustainability appears promising, with reduced chemical usage (due to improved animal health management) and better waste management practices. However, increased herd sizes enabled by higher productivity may strain local water resources and grazing lands in the long term, requiring landscape-level planning. Climate change impacts—particularly erratic rainfall affecting feed crop production—represent an external vulnerability not yet adequately addressed in current technology configurations.

The long-term social fabric impacts appear overwhelmingly positive. Enhanced economic stability has reduced out-migration of young adults (community leaders report 40% reduction in youth migration), strengthening intergenerational knowledge transfer and community cohesion. Women's participation in farm management has increased (32% of technology-adopting farms now have women in decision-making roles versus 18% pre-intervention), suggesting positive gender empowerment trajectories. However, wealth differentiation between technology adopters and non-adopters could generate social tensions requiring proactive community dialogue and inclusive extension strategies.

Theoretical Implications and Framework Refinement

This study's findings prompt critical refinements to existing theoretical frameworks linking appropriate technology, community empowerment, and sustainable development. While appropriate technology framework emphasizes technical fit with local conditions, our results reveal that *social infrastructure*—particularly pre-existing cooperative structures and community innovation culture—functions as a crucial mediating variable determining technology outcomes. The West Bandung community's superior results compared to other Indonesian rural contexts (Hayati et al., 2023) cannot be explained by technical factors alone; rather, the synergy between technological appropriateness and social capital emerges as the critical success factor.

Our findings extend Speer and Hughey's (1995) community empowerment theory by demonstrating that empowerment operates simultaneously across multiple interconnected dimensions rather than sequentially. Economic empowerment (increased income), psychological empowerment (enhanced self-efficacy reported in interviews), and social empowerment (strengthened cooperative structures) occurred concurrently and reinforced each other. This contrasts with linear empowerment models suggesting economic improvements precede social and psychological changes. The implication is that technology interventions should be designed to trigger multiple empowerment dimensions simultaneously through integrated programming.

The significant reduction in labor hours (-37.5%) deserves particular theoretical attention as it represents a form of *temporal empowerment*—a dimension underexplored in empowerment literature. By liberating farmers from excessive labor demands, appropriate technology created space for participation in community governance, skill development, and family life. This temporal dimension connects to Sen's (1999) capability approach: technology enhanced not just what farmers could achieve economically, but expanded their freedom to pursue diverse valuable activities. Future empowerment frameworks should explicitly incorporate temporal agency as a distinct but interrelated dimension alongside economic, social, and psychological empowerment.

Challenging Assumptions: The Technology-Development Nexus

Our findings challenge several assumptions embedded in development discourse. First, the persistence of adoption challenges (30% citing cost barriers, 25% technical difficulties) despite demonstrated benefits refutes simplistic "technology as panacea" narratives. Appropriate technology is necessary but insufficient for empowerment; it requires embedding within comprehensive support ecosystems encompassing finance, training, and maintenance infrastructure. Development practitioners must resist technological determinism, recognizing that technology's empowerment potential is contingent on social, economic, and institutional conditions (Hazizah et al., 2024; Vukmirović et al., 2025).

Second, the differential adoption patterns across age groups complicate assumptions about "resistant traditional farmers." Our qualitative data reveal that older farmers' reluctance stemmed not from irrationality but from realistic risk assessment given their limited financial buffers and shorter time horizons for recouping investments. This suggests that empowerment-oriented interventions must offer differentiated approaches rather than one-size-fits-all solutions, possibly including risk-sharing mechanisms or modified technologies requiring lower initial investments for vulnerable subgroups.

Third, the emergence of secondary challenges—wealth differentiation between adopters and non-adopters, concerns about environmental carrying capacity with increased herd sizes—demonstrates that development interventions create new complexities even as they resolve existing problems. Sustainable rural development requires anticipatory governance mechanisms and adaptive management approaches that continuously address emergent challenges rather than assuming technology implementation represents a final solution.

Methodological Contributions and Mixed-Methods Insights

This study's mixed-methods approach yielded insights unavailable through either method alone, contributing methodologically to rural development research. Quantitative metrics documented the magnitude of productivity and economic changes, providing evidence of impact scale necessary for policy advocacy and resource allocation decisions. However, qualitative data revealed the *mechanisms* through which technology generated these outcomes—the learning processes, social negotiations, and adaptive problem-solving that enabled effective implementation.

Critically, triangulation exposed divergences that refined our understanding. While survey data showed 60% of farmers reporting improved market access, interviews revealed that improvements were uneven, with farmers closer to transportation routes benefiting disproportionately. This nuance, invisible in aggregate statistics, has important implications for equitable development planning. Similarly, quantitative data on reduced labor hours became meaningful only when qualitative insights revealed how farmers utilized freed time—some for productive activities (skill training, farm diversification) and others for leisure and family engagement. Both outcomes represent empowerment, but they have different development implications requiring recognition.

The focus group method proved particularly valuable for surfacing collective challenges and community-level dynamics that individual interviews might miss. For instance, discussions revealed emerging tensions around technology maintenance responsibilities within the cooperative, with some members perceiving unequal contribution-benefit ratios. These relational dimensions are crucial for long-term sustainability yet are rarely captured in standard evaluation frameworks.

Future research should continue embracing methodological plurality, recognizing that complex social phenomena like empowerment resist reduction to single metrics. However, researchers must also address a limitation in our approach: the potential for social desirability bias, particularly in focus groups where farmers might hesitate to express criticisms publicly. Combining multiple qualitative methods (individual interviews, focus groups, participant observation) as we did helps mitigate this, but researchers should remain reflexive about power dynamics shaping data collection processes.

Policy and Practice Implications

These findings generate several actionable implications for policymakers, development organizations, and agricultural extension systems. First, financial support mechanisms must move beyond conventional agricultural credit to offer technology-specific financing products with flexible repayment schedules aligned with livestock production cycles. The 30% of farmers citing cost barriers suggests market failures that require public intervention, possibly through subsidized leasing arrangements or cooperative equipment-sharing models that distribute costs across multiple farmers.

Second, technical training programs require fundamental redesign. Current extension approaches typically offer one-time workshops, yet our findings on knowledge gaps (20% of farmers) and technical difficulties (25% of farmers) suggest needs for ongoing, embedded support. A promising model emerging from our observations involves creating community-level 'technology champions'—farmers receiving intensive training who then provide peer support within their networks. This leverages social learning processes while being more cost-effective than sustaining external technicians. This model of creating local "champions" is a form of community-based learning that empowers from within, a strategy shown to be highly effective for strengthening democracy and cultural identity, as seen in rural Thailand (Mangkhang et al., 2025). Ultimately, our findings confirm that integrating rural development, education, and management is not just beneficial but essential for crafting sustainable and inclusive outcomes (Yu et al., 2024).

Third, technology development and selection processes should include participatory elements ensuring end-user input. Several farmers noted that equipment design didn't fully account for local conditions (e.g., variations in barn configurations, local cattle breeds' characteristics). Involving farmers in technology adaptation ensures better contextual fit and builds ownership, potentially reducing adoption barriers.

Fourth, policy frameworks should incentivize technology providers and equipment manufacturers to establish local maintenance networks rather than assuming farmers will travel to distant service centers. The 25% facing technical difficulties could be substantially reduced through regionally distributed maintenance infrastructure. Public-private partnerships might catalyze such infrastructure where market incentives alone prove insufficient.

Fifth, government agricultural planning should recognize the complementarity between technology interventions and market infrastructure development. Technology-driven productivity increases risk glutting local markets or enriching middlemen rather than farmers unless accompanied by investments in processing facilities, cold storage, and direct market linkages. Integrated rural development strategies addressing production and market dimensions simultaneously will maximize empowerment outcomes.

Connecting to Broader Sustainable Development Discourse

This study's findings resonate with global sustainable development priorities articulated in the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDG 1 (No Poverty), SDG 2 (Zero Hunger), SDG 8

(Decent Work and Economic Growth), and SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production). The income increases and enhanced food security directly contribute to poverty reduction and hunger elimination. The improved productivity with reduced labor hours represents decent work principles—more output per unit of human effort, allowing for better work-life balance.

However, our findings also illuminate tensions between SDGs requiring careful navigation. Increased livestock productivity (SDG 2) may conflict with climate action (SDG 13) if scaling up leads to higher methane emissions or deforestation for pasture expansion. The appropriate technology paradigm must evolve to integrate climate considerations explicitly, perhaps prioritizing technologies that simultaneously enhance productivity and reduce environmental footprints—such as biogas systems capturing methane for energy, or feed technologies reducing enteric fermentation.

The global discourse on agricultural transformation increasingly emphasizes agroecology and nature-based solutions. Our study suggests appropriate technology should be conceptualized as complementary to, not contradictory with, agroecological principles. Technologies enhancing animal welfare, improving manure management for soil fertility, or optimizing resource use can support agroecological transitions. The false dichotomy between 'traditional' and 'technological' approaches obscures opportunities for synergistic integration.

Finally, this research contributes to understanding pathways through which the COVID-19 pandemic's disproportionate impacts on rural communities might be mitigated. Technology-enabled productivity improvements enhance economic resilience, while reduced physical labor requirements may benefit aging rural populations and those with health vulnerabilities. However, technology interventions must be coupled with strengthened rural healthcare and social protection systems to address comprehensive well-being rather than narrowly economic dimensions.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study enhance understanding of how rural communities can gain greater agency through the adoption of appropriate and context-sensitive technologies. Technology-driven solutions demonstrate strong potential to advance sustainable development, improve productivity, strengthen economic outcomes, and build resilience among rural populations. In the case of cattle farming in West Bandung, West Java, the application of appropriate technology significantly improved production performance and generated positive economic impacts for farmers. Although barriers such as high initial investment costs and technical difficulties remain, the persistence and adaptability of farmers indicate that technology can serve as an empowering tool in rural settings. The study's rigorous mixed-methods approach provides valuable insights for policymakers and practitioners. Policymakers are encouraged to establish subsidized loan schemes to reduce financial barriers, while practitioners should design non-formal education programs emphasizing technical troubleshooting skills and financial literacy to enhance local capacity. However, the study is limited to a specific context and relies partly on self-reported and cross-sectional data, which may introduce bias and restrict long-term analysis. Future research should employ longitudinal designs, conduct comparative studies across regions in Indonesia, and develop structured non-formal training models to support sustainable technology adoption in rural agricultural communities.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, A. Z., Azizan, N., & Bohari, Z. (2024). Implementation of solar street lighting for empowering rural communities. *Bio Web of Conferences*, 137, 03001. <https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/202413703001>
- Adji, R., & Dimiyati, D. (2025). The role of communication in influencing the entrepreneurial intention of smallholder sheep farming in West Java. *FIRM Journal of Management Studies*, 10(1), 240-253. <http://dx.doi.org/10.33021/firm.v10i1.6064>
- Aritenang, A. F., & Chandramidi, A. N. (2023). The spatial effects of fiscal decentralization on regional convergence: the case of regions in Indonesia. *GeoJournal*, 88(2), 2011-2030. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10708-022-10724-2>
- Arwin, A., Kenedi, A. K., Anita, Y., Hamimah, H., & Zainil, M. (2024). STEM-based digital disaster learning model for disaster adaptation ability of elementary school students. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 13(5), 3248–3258. <http://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v13i5.29616>

- Balcom, S., Doucet, S., & Dubé, A. (2021). Observation and institutional ethnography: Helping us to see better. *Qualitative Health Research*, 31(8), 1534-1541. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10497323211015966>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2022). Conceptual and design thinking for thematic analysis. *Qualitative Psychology*, 9(1), 3-26. <https://doi.org/10.1037/qup0000196>
- Castro-Arce, K., & Vanclay, F. (2020). Transformative social innovation for sustainable rural development: An analytical framework to assist community-based initiatives. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 74, 45-54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2019.11.010>
- Creswell, J. W., & Clark, V. L. P. (2017). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research*. Sage Publication.
- Darmawan, D., Hadiyanti, P., Wibowo, S., Syah, R., & Abdillah, M. F. (2025). Development of digital learning media for community-based education: A collaborative innovation and literacy framework. *Journal of Nonformal Education*, 11(2), 289-300. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jone.v11i2.32060>
- Fajri, S. R., Citrawathi, D. M., Adnyana, P. B., Arnyana, I. B. P., Sarnita, F., Fajri, N., & Fitriani, H. (2025). The strategic role of conservation education in efforts to improve biodiversity literacy: A systematic review. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 22(2), 374-392. <https://doi.org/10.36681/tused.2025.019>
- Fowler Jr, F. J. (2013). *Survey research methods*. Sage Publication.
- Garuzooka, F. J., Nuwagaba, E. L., Mudondo, C., & Ngaka, W. (2025). Competences acquired in garages by tvet graduates of motor vehicle mechanics in Uganda: A mixed bag of promises and frustrations. *African Educational Research Journal*, 13(1), 36-45. <https://doi.org/10.30918/AERJ.131.24.062>
- Handrianto, C., Jusoh, A. J., Rashid, N. A., Imami, M. K. W., Wahab, S., Rahman, M. A., & Kenedi, A. K. (2023). Validating and testing the teacher self-efficacy (TSE) scale in drug education among secondary school teachers. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 22(6), 45-58. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.22.6.3>
- Halim, A. A., & Noor, M. M. (2023). Assessing rural community empowerment through community internet centre: Using asset mapping and surveys method. *International Journal on Informatics Visualization*, 7(1), 265-272. <https://doi.org/10.21108/JOIV.2023.3.1.1343>
- Harrison, U., Ollis, T., & Ryan, C. (2020). Practice in the social space of neighbourhood houses: Community, relationships and adult learning. *Australian Journal of Adult Learning*, 60(3), 467-491. <https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/aeipt.228395>
- Hayati, S., Yusuf, M. N., & Andrie, B. M. (2023). Strategi pengembangan agroindustri keripik sukun di kecamatan Baregbeg kabupaten Ciamis. *Jurnal Agristan*, 5(2), 340-353. <https://doi.org/10.37058/agristan.v5i2.8486>
- Hazizah, N., Rusdinal, R., Ismaniar, I., & Rahman, M. A. (2024). Warrior kids' games on improving the self-efficacy abilities and fine motor skills of 5-6 years old children. *Retos*, 56, 639-647. <https://doi.org/10.47197/retos.v56.104892>
- Hermawan, Y., Santi, F. U., Septiantoko, R., & Utami, R. B. (2025). Sustainable empowerment strategies in rural areas: A qualitative study on women farmer groups. *Journal of Nonformal Education*, 11(2), 301-309. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jone.v11i2.23271>
- Hudson, H. (2021). It matters how you 'do'gender in peacebuilding: African approaches and challenges. *Insight on Africa*, 13(2), 142-159. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0975087820987154>
- Ilyas, I., Shofwan, I., & Yusuf, A. (2025). Multimodal-based technopreneurship learning model to increase entrepreneurial creativity in equality education. *Journal of Nonformal Education*, 11(2), 247-260. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jone.v11i2.33772>
- Lawton, B., MacDonald, E. J., Storey, F., Hawkes, D., & Saville, M. (2023). A model for empowering rural solutions for cervical cancer prevention (He Tapu Te Whare Tangata): Protocol for a cluster randomized crossover trial. *JMIR Research Protocols*, 12, e51643. <https://doi.org/10.2196/51643>
- Levitan, J., Perez, J., Velasquez, A., Bello, S., Loayza, J., Espinoza, R., ... & Johnson, K. M. (2025). Contextualizing e-learning experiences with indigenous communities: A practical, research-based approach. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 26(2), 268-285. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v26i2.7830>
- Mangkhang, C., Bisithpattanasara, P., Poonrungrote, A., Boriharnthanachot, O., & Wongchai, S. (2025). Community-based learning tools for strengthening democracy through the transmission of cultural identity: The case of ethnics pga k'nyau food culture in special socio-cultural zones of Chiang Mai, Thailand. *Higher Education Studies*, 15(2), 426-436. <https://doi.org/10.5539/hes.v15n1p45>

- Manza, J. (2023). Sociology for beginners. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 49, 241-261. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-030420-124148>
- Maximova, M., & Kim, Y. H. (2021). The effective diffusion of educational robotics in rural areas: a case study in the Sakha Republic of Russia. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 10(1), 145-159. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.10.3.1359>
- Nguyen, D. H., & Nguyen, D. D. (2025). Green economy development solutions for Vietnam's livestock sector. *International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Research*, 9(6), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.51505/IJEBMR.2025.9612>
- Olawunmi, K., & Osakwe, G. N. (2021). COVID-19: Ensuring Continuity of Learning during Scholastic Disruption in Tertiary Institutions in Nigeria. *World Journal of Education*, 11(3), 30-38. <https://doi.org/10.5430/wje.v11n4p23>
- Pallant, J. (2020). *SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using IBM SPSS*. Routledge.
- Panjaitan, A. P. A., Telaumbanua, E. H., Lumbantobing, R., Tindaon, W., Rajagukguk, P., Saragih, R., ... & Ayu, S. F. (2025). Capacity building of farmers in managing and utilizing local agrarian resources through the dissemination of sustainable farming techniques in Sampuran Village, Muara District, North Tapanuli Regency. *Journal of Saintech Transfer*, 8(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.32734/jst.v8i1.19787>
- Park, S. Y., Huh, C. S., & Chemere, B. (2023). Constraints and prospective of dairy value chain in Ethiopia: A review. *Animal Industry and Technology*, 10(1), 1-27. <https://doi.org/10.5187/ait.2023.10.1.1>
- Pomsamrit, N., & Philuek, W. (2024). A framework of using digital technology for empowering people in Thailand's rural areas: Case of Nakhon Sawan, Uthai Thani, and Chainat province. *Shanlax International Journal of Education*, 12(4), 25-33. <https://doi.org/10.34293/education.v12i4.7954>
- Prasetyo, I., & Kusumawardani, E. (2025). Lifelong Learning as a pathway: Strengthening rural communities for the SDGs. *Journal of Nonformal Education*, 11(2), 269-278. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jone.v11i2.31241>
- Putri, L. D., Rozi, M. F., & Rahman, M. A. (2024). A conceptual family partnership model with Paud institutions in developing the potential of early children based on blended learning. *Ensaio: Avaliação e Políticas Públicas em Educação*, 32(125), e0244444. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-40362024003204444>
- Romero-Castro, N., Miramontes-Viña, V., & López-Cabarcos, M. Á. (2022). Understanding the antecedents of entrepreneurship and renewable energies to promote the development of community renewable energy in rural areas. *Sustainability*, 14(3), 1234. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031234>
- Shajahan, K., Sahati, W. Z., & Radović, M. M. (2022). Women empowerment through agricultural technology: case study of Mymensingh-Bangladesh. *International Review*, (3-4), 57-66. <https://doi.org/10.5937/intrev2204059M>
- Sunarti, V., Jamaris, J., Solfema, S., Iswari, M., Hidayati, A., & Rahman, M. A. (2024). Evaluating the effectiveness of a blended learning system for developing technological andragogical content knowledge (TACK) in community educators. *Encontros Bibli*, 29, e96419. <https://doi.org/10.5007/1518-2924.2024.e96419>
- Tafula, J. E., Justo, C. D., Moura, P., Mendes, J., & Soares, A. (2023). Multicriteria decision-making approach for optimum site selection for off-grid solar photovoltaic microgrids in Mozambique. *Energies*, 16(6), 2894. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en16062894>
- Talitha, T., Firman, T., & Hudalah, D. (2020). Welcoming two decades of decentralization in Indonesia: a regional development perspective. *Territory, Politics, Governance*, 8(5), 690-708. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21622671.2019.1601595>
- Telles, T. S., Bichel, A., Zanin, E., Pellini, T., & Pontes, L. D. S. (2024). Livestock changes in Brazil and sustainable intensification challenges. *Agronomy*, 14(10), 2429. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy14102429>
- Vukmirović, M., Đukić, A., Lazarević, E. V., & Krstić-Furundžić, A. (Eds.). (2025). *Digital dreams, urban realities: Crafting sustainable, inclusive, and beautiful cities*. Springer Nature.
- Wairimu, E., Mburu, J., Ndambi, A., & Gachui, C. (2022). Factors affecting adoption of technical, organisational and institutional dairy innovations in selected milksheds in Kenya. *Agrekon*, 61(3), 324-338. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03031853.2022.2090972>
- Wei, H., Xu, W., Kang, B., Eisner, R., Muleke, A., Rodriguez, D., ... & Harrison, M. T. (2024). Irrigation with artificial intelligence: Problems, premises, promises. *Human-Centric Intelligent Systems*, 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44230-024-00072-4>

- Yu, Y., Appiah, D., Zulu, B., & Adu-Poku, K. A. (2024). Integrating rural development, education, and management: Challenges and strategies. *Sustainability*, 16(15), 6474. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16156474>
- Yurembam, G. S., Pramanik, M., Yengkokpam, R. D., Hemochandra, L., Chakma, B., Jhahharia, D., & Patle, G. T. (2025). Integration of digital innovation in urban farming: A review towards advancing sustainable food security in South and Southeast Asian cities. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering*, 62(2), 494-517. <https://doi.org/10.52151/jae2025622.1937>
- Zainil, M., Kenedi, A. K., Rahmatina, & Indrawati, T. (2024). The influence of STEM-based digital learning on 6C skills of elementary school students. *Open Education Studies*, 6(1), 20240039. <https://doi.org/10.20448/jeelr.v10i1.4336>
- Zeitlin, A., Mathenjwa, T., Zuma, T., Shahmanesh, M., & Blandford, A. (2025). Creating a usable and effective digital intervention to support men to test for HIV and link to care in a resource-constrained setting: Iterative design based on a person-based approach and human computer interaction methods. *JMIR Formative Research*, 9, e65185. <https://doi.org/10.2196/65185>
- Zhong, Y. P., Tang, L. R., & Li, Y. (2022). Role of digital empowerment in developing farmers' green production by agro-tourism integration in Xichong, Sichuan. *Agriculture*, 12(11), 1761. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12111761>