Volume 8 Issue 3s (2025) Special Edition 60-68



Longda Xiaokan:

Journal of Mandarin Learning and Teaching



https://journal.unnes.ac.id/journals/longdaxiaokan

Analysis of the Relationship Between Motivation and Learning Outcomes of Chinese Language Education Students Class of 2022 Semarang State University in the Chuji Hanyu Xiezuo Xia Course

Ridwan Listianto ^{1⊠}, Sheyra Silvia Siregar ², Ria Riski Marsuki ³

Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

Keywords

Abstract

Relationship Motivation Learning Outcomes Writing Skills Chinese Language

This study aims to analyse the relationship between motivation and learning outcomes of Chinese language education students in the 2022 batch of Semarang State University in the Chuji Hanyu Xiezuo Xia course". It is hoped that this research can help to identify university students' Chinese learning motivation, especially in basic Chinese writing courses or (初级汉语写作下 Chūjí Hànyǔ Xiězuò Xià). This study uses a quantitative approach, this study aims to determine the effect between the independent variable, namely the learning motivation of Chinese language education students class of 2022 on the dependent variable, namely the value or learning outcomes (UAS) in the Chuji Hanyu Xiezuo Xia course. The significance value (Sig. 2-tailed) of 0.008 indicates that the correlation results are statistically significant. In hypothesis testing, since the sig value (0.008) is smaller than 0.05, there is a significant relationship between learning motivation and learning outcomes. This, the data shows that there is a relationship between learning motivation and learning outcomes in Chinese Language Education students class of 2022 at Semarang State University in the Chuji Hanyu Xiezuo Xia course. There is a relationship between learning motivation and learning outcomes in Chinese Language Education students class of 2022, Semarang State University in Chuji Hanyu Xiezuo Xia course.

☐ Corresponding Author: Ridwan Listianto Email: ridwanlistianto@students.unnes.ac.id

P-ISSN 2528-5734 E-ISSN 2715-1611

INTRODUCTION

Learning difficulties alone can have an impact on a decrease in learning outcomes or learning achievement for students, there are several factors that cause a decline in learning outcomes. According to (Abdurrahman, 2020), Learning outcomes are skills that children acquire after going through a series of learning activities (Ying et al., 2013)

Dörnyei (2020) Therefore, learning motivation is needed as a solution to improve good student learning outcomes. Students who have good learning motivation will show good learning results and will be excited to learn because of the increased learning outcomes. Diligent efforts based on motivation can produce good achievements for students who are learning. The intensity of student motivation will greatly determine the level of learning achievement of students (Sadirman, 2021).

(Xu et al., 2020) If students have high motivation, students' writing skills will also be high. So that the success of one aspect of Chinese language ability, namely writing ability, increases. On the other hand, if the motivation to learn is low, then the performance and success rate of writing skills are also low. This may cause students to not focus on learning and spend their time studying with unproductive things.

Based on the description above and to find out the relationship between motivation and learning outcomes in basic Chinese writing skills, the researcher has the initiative to conduct a research entitled "Analysis of the Relationship Between Motivation and Learning Outcomes of Chinese Language Education Students Class of 2022 Semarang State University in the *Chuji Hanyu Xiezuo Xia* Course". It is hoped that this research can help to identify the motivation of students to learn Chinese, especially in the basic Chinese writing course or (初级汉语写作下 Chūjí Hànyǔ Xiězuò Xià).

Method

This research uses a quantitative approach, which emphasizes the analysis of information or data collected in the form of numbers or numerical processes through analysis or statistical method techniques. In other words, quantitative research methods can be interpreted as research methods used to research on certain populations or samples, data collection using research instruments, statistical data analysis with the aim of testing predetermined hypotheses (Sugiono, 2019: 16) This study uses an ex post facto research design. This study aims to determine the influence between the free variable, namely the learning motivation of Chinese language education students of the class of 2022, on the bound variable, namely the value or learning outcome in the Chuji Hanyu Xiezuo Xia course.

According to (Sutami, 2016) data is everything in the form of facts and numbers that can be used to create or compile information. Thus, facts of any kind can be used as data for research, and the source can come from any reliable source. The data used in this study is in the form of a questionnaire or

questionnaire on learning motivation and learning value or learning outcomes (UAS) in Chinese language education students class of 2022 in *the Chuji Hanyu Xiezuo Xia course*.

According to Sugiyono (2019), data collection techniques are the most important step in research, because the main purpose of research is to obtain data, data collection can be carried out in various regulations, various sources and various ways. The data collection techniques used in this study are:

1. Questionnaire

Questionnaire or questionnaire is a data collection technique that is carried out by giving several questions or written statements to respondents to be answered (Sugiyono, 2019: 199). The questionnaire technique is used with the purpose of revealing or producing data about students' learning motivation.

2. Documentation

The documentation method is a way to find out the record of events that have passed. Documents can be writings, drawings, or monumental works of a person. The documentation in this study is a document of learning outcomes from Chinese language education students in 2022 who took the *Chuji Hanyu Xiezo Xia course*.

3. Validity Test

The Validity Test is used to determine the level of validity or validity of the research instrument. A valid or valid instrument has high validity. On the other hand, an instrument that is less valid means that it has a low validity. The validity test is carried out with a correlation formula from Pearson known as Product Moment Correlations.

4. Reliability Test

The *Alpha Cronbach method* is used to calculate the reliability of a test that measures attitudes or behaviors. This technique can be used to determine whether a research instrument is reliable or not, if the answers given by the respondents are in the form of a scale of 1-4 or the respondents' answers interpret the attitude assessment. The criteria for a research instrument are said to be reliable using this technique if the reliability coefficient is > 0.6 (Sugiyono, 2019: 175).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, the research data on the learning motivation of Chinese language education students of the class of 2022 who took the *Chuji Hanyu Xiezo Xia course* was obtained from the results of a questionnaire that had been filled out by each student. The questionnaire provided contains items about the learning motivation questions of Chinese language education students of the class of 2022 who take the *Chuji Hanyu Xiezo Xia course*. This questionnaire is in the form *of a checklist* list through *a google form* containing 30 questions. The results of the questionnaire are included in tabulation which is the process

of converting data and questionnaire data collection instruments into a table of numbers or percentages, for more details can be seen below:

Table 1. Sub Indicators of Desire and Desire to Succeed

1. When I get bad grades, 2. I don't get discouraged	3. Chuji Hanyu Xiezo Xia's courses
I easily give up and am easily when I have	are more difficult to understand
lazy to study harder trouble studying Chuji	than I expected
Hanyu Xiezo Xia's course	
Strongly Agree 0 People Strongly Agree 6 people	Strongly Agree 0 People (0%)
(0%) (15.4%)	
Agree 3 people (7.7%) Agree 25 people (64.1%)	Agree 25 people (64.1%)
Disagree 25 people (64.1%) Disagree 7 people (17.9%)	Disagree 13 people (33.3%)
Strongly disagree 11 people Strongly Disagree 1 person	Strongly Disagree 1 person (28.2%)
(28.2%) (2.6%)	
4. I have no ambition to 5. I will maintain and stu-	dy 6. My target is to get a complete
complete <i>Chuji</i> harder when I get satisfactor	
Hanyu Xiezo Xia's grades	score only
course questions on	
time	
Strongly Agree 1 person Strongly Agree: 16 people (41%)	Strongly Agree 0 People (0%)
(2.6%)	Strongry Agree o'r copie (0/0)
Agree 2 people (5,1) Agreed: 22 people (56.4%)	Agree 15 people (38.5%)
Disagree 27 people Disagree 1 person (2.6%)	Disagree 21 people (53.8%)
(69.2%)	Disagree 21 people (55.676)
Strongly Disagree 9 Strongly Disagree 0 people (0%)	Strongly Disagree 3 people (7.7%)
people (23.1%)	Strongry Disagree 3 people (7.770)
people (25.170)	
7. I will study it over and 8. The practice of doing	the 9. Even though my <i>Chuji Hanyu</i>
over again if I don't Chuji Hanyu Xiezo Xia co	urse Xiezo Xia course scores were
understand it when questions for me was v	rery lower than my friends, I was
explained by the lecture time-consuming	still excited to get better
	grades
Strongly Agree 7 people Strongly Agree 2 people (5.	1%) Strongly Agree: 17 people
(17.9%)	(43.6%)
Agreed: 29 people (74.4%) Agreed: 13 people (33.3%)	Agreed: 22 people (56.4%)
Disagree: 3 people (7.7%) Disagree 20 people (51.3%)	
Disagree 20 people (7.770)	Disagree o people (0/0)

Strongly Disagree 0	people Strongly	disagree	4	people	Strongly Disagree 0 people (0%)
(0%)	(10.3%)				

Table 2. Sub-Indicators of Motivation and Need for Learning

1	0. I learned the Chuji Hanyu Xiezo Xia	11.	I felt happy when the lecturer of the Chuji Hanyu
	course only from the material given by		$\it XiezoXiacourse$ was not present to teach and did not
	the lecturer		give assignments
_	1 (10.00()	0.	1 4 0 1 (5 10/)
5	Strongly Agree 4 people (10.3%)	Str	ongly Agree 2 people (5.1%)
I	Agree 23 people (59%)	Ag	reed: 13 people (33.3%)

Strongly Agree 4 people (10.3%)	Strongly Agree 2 people (5.1%)
Agree 23 people (59%)	Agreed: 13 people (33.3%)
Disagree 12 people (30.8%)	Disagree: 19 people (48.7%)
Strongly Disagree 0 people (0%)	Strongly Disagree 5 people (12.8%)

12.	Ι	often	discuss	with	friends	13.	Ι	was	lazy	to	do	the
	ab	out th	e lessons (of Chuj	ii Hanyu		ass	ignm	ents of	Chu	ji H	anyu
	X_{i}	iezo X	ia course	es so t	that my		Xi	ezo	Xia's	cour	se o	even
	uı	ndersta	anding in	crease	es		tho	ough	the ass	ignm	ent g	iven
							by	the 1	ecturer	was :	simpl	le.

the 14. The value of the nyu lessons of Chuji Hanyu ven Xiezo Xia's course wen helped me achieve my goals

Strongly Agree 2 people (5.1%)	Strongly Agree 1 person (2.6%)	Strongly Agree: 5 people
		(12.8%)
Agree: 33 people (84.6%)	Agree 3 people (7.7%)	Agree: 33 people (84.6%)
Disagree 4 people (10.3%)	Disagree 31 people (78.5%)	Disagree 1 person (2.6%)
Strongly Disagree 2 people (5.1%)	Strongly disagree 4 people	Strongly Disagree 0 people
	(10.3%)	(0%)

Table 3. Sub-Indicators of Future Hopes and Ideals

15. I studied Chuji Hanyu	16. I am happy to find information	17. I didn't seriously study						
Xiezo Xia's course	related to the lessons of Chuji Hanyu	Chuji Hanyu Xiezo Xia's						
seriously so that it	Xiezo Xia, as it can enrich my	course because it had						
would be easy to	knowledge and be useful for my	nothing to do with my						
achieve my goals	future	ideals						
Strongly Agree 8 people Strongly Agree: 12 people (30.8%) Strongly Agree 0 People (0%)								
(20.5%)								
Agree 30 people (76.9%) Agree 25 people (64.1%) Agree 2 people (5.1%)								
Disagree 1 people (2.6%)	Disagree 2 people (5.1%)	Disagree: 30 people (76.9%)						

Changly Disagran O morals Changly Disagran O morals (00/)	Strongly Disagras 7 manuals
Strongly Disagree 0 people Strongly Disagree 0 people (0%)	Strongly Disagree 7 people
(0%)	(17.9%)
Table 4. Sub-Indicators of Appreciation in I	Learning
18. The lecturer gave praise 19. I am not interested in studying	20. I got compliments when
if his students were able Chuji Hanyu Xiezo Xia's course	my Chuji Hanyu Xiezo Xia
to solve the Chuji Hanyu without the praise or	course test scores were
Xiezo Xia course questions appreciation given by the	good
lecturer	
Strongly Agree 3 people Strongly Agree 1 person (2.6%) (7.7%)	Strongly Agree 0 People (0%)
Agree: 33 people (84.6%) Agree 4 people (10.3%)	Agree 32 people (82.1%)
Disagree: 3 people (7.7%) Disagree: 30 people (76.9%)	Disagree: 6 people (15.4%)
Strongly Disagree 0 people Strongly disagree 4 people (10.3%)	Strongly Disagree 1 people
(0%)	(2.6%)
21. Lecturers often give 22. The praise given by the 23. I	feel normal when I am given
appreciation when I can lecturer did not affect my	praise or appreciation when I
do the assignments interest in studying the t	ake the exam of my Chuji
given chuji hanyu xiezuo course	Hanyu Xiezo Xia course
	ngly Agree 0 People (0%)
(5.1%)	0 1- (20 50/)
	ee 8 people (20.5%)
	pprove: 26 people (66.7%)
Strongly Disagree 11 people Strongly Disagree 5 people Strongly	ngly Disagree 5 people (12.8%)
(2.6%) (12.8%)	
Table 5. Sub-Indicators of Interesting Activities	in Learning
24. I am happy if the lecturer 25. I don't like games or 26.	Discussion activities take up a
brings the classroom quizzes in Chuji	lot of time and thought while
atmosphere to be more Hanyu Xiezo Xia's	the material obtained is only a
interesting and fun course	little
Strongly Agree 23 people (59%) Strongly Agree 0 People Stro	ngly Agree 1 person (2.6%)
(0%)	

Agree 8 people (20.5%)

Agree 10 people (25.6%)

Agree: 16 people (41%)

Disagree 0 people (0%)	Disagree	29	people	Disagree 27 people (69.2%)		
	(74.4%)					
Strongly Disagree 0 people (0%)	Strongly	Disa	gree 2	Strongly Disagree 1 person (2.6%)		
	people (5.1%)					

Table 6. Sub-Indicators of a Conducive Learning Environment

- course in class because it was calmer and more comfortable
- 27. I enjoyed learning Chuji Hanyu Xiezo Xia's 28. Studying in class made me bored and sleepy because the support facilities were not complete

Strongly Agree 9 people (23.1%)	Strongly Agree 1 person (2.6%)
Agreed: 26 people (66.7%)	Agree 9 people (23.1%)
Disagree: 3 people (7.7%)	Disagree 28 people (71.8%)
Strongly Disagree 1 person (2.6%)	Strongly Disagree 1 person (2.6%)

- 29. I am comfortable learning in the classroom 30. I was lazy to study Chuji Hanyu Xiezo Xia's because of the complete supporting facilities
 - home/boarding course at house/rented/dormitory because the atmosphere was uncomfortable

Strongly Agree 5 people (12%)	Strongly Agree 4 people (10.3%)
Agreed: 29 people (74.4%)	Agree 5 people (12.8%)
Disagree: 5 people (12.8%)	Disagree 24 people (61.5%)
Strongly Disagree 0 people (0%)	Strongly Disagree 6 people (15.4%)

Validity and Reliability Test Results Validity Test

	Correlations												
		Soal1	Soal2	Soal3	Soal4	Soal5	Soal6	Soal7	Soal8	Soal9	Soal10	Soal11	Soal12
Soal1	Pearson Correlation	1	.224	.397*	.397	1.000**	.022	.022	.224	.205	.205	.096	.097
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.170	.012	.012	.000	.895	.895	.170	.212	.212	.560	.557
	N	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
Soal2	Pearson Correlation	.224	1	.080	.080	.224	164	164	1.000	.132	.132	110	104
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.170		.629	.629	.170	.319	.319	.000	.423	.423	.506	.529
	N	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
Soal3	Pearson Correlation	.397*	.080	1	1.000	.397*	.178	.178	.080	.181	.181	.243	.186
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.012	.629		.000	.012	.278	.278	.629	.270	.270	.137	.256
	N	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
Soal4	Pearson Correlation	.397*	.080	1.000**	1	.397*	.178	.178	.080	.181	.181	.243	.186
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.012	.629	.000		.012	.278	.278	.629	.270	.270	.137	.256
	N	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
Soal5	Pearson Correlation	1.000	.224	.397*	.397	1	.022	.022	.224	.205	.205	.096	.097
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.170	.012	.012		.895	.895	.170	.212	.212	.560	.557
	N	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
Soal6	Pearson Correlation	.022	164	.178	.178	.022	1	1.000	164	.331	.331*	.514**	.673**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.895	.319	.278	.278	.895		.000	.319	.040	.040	.001	.000
	N	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
Soal7	Pearson Correlation	.022	164	.178	.178	.022	1.000**	1	164	.331	.331	.514	.673
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.895	.319	.278	.278	.895	.000		.319	.040	.040	.001	.000
	N	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39

Soal13	Soal14	Soal15	Soal16	Soal17	Soal18	Soal19	Soal20	Soal21	Soal22	Soal23	Soal24	Soal25	Soal26	Soal27
.224	.096	.090	.090	1.000**	.242	.242	.224	.096	.022	.097	.118	.096	.118	.097
.170	.560	.585	.585	.000	.139	.139	.170	.560	.895	.557	.473	.560	.473	.557
39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
1.000**	110	.028	.028	.224	.553**	.553**	1.000**	110	164	104	.483**	110	.483	104
.000	.506	.864	.864	.170	.000	.000	.000	.506	.319	.529	.002	.506	.002	.529
39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
.080	.243	.214	.214	.397*	.057	.057	.080	.243	.178	.186	.242	.243	.242	.186
.629	.137	.191	.191	.012	.730	.730	.629	.137	.278	.256	.137	.137	.137	.256
39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
.080	.243	.214	.214	.397	.057	.057	.080	.243	.178	.186	.242	.243	.242	.186
.629	.137	.191	.191	.012	.730	.730	.629	.137	.278	.256	.137	.137	.137	.256
39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
.224	.096	.090	.090	1.000**	.242	.242	.224	.096	.022	.097	.118	.096	.118	.097
.170	.560	.585	.585	.000	.139	.139	.170	.560	.895	.557	.473	.560	.473	.557
39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
164	.514	.442	.442	.022	098	098	164	.514**	1.000**	.673**	.173	.514	.173	.673**
.319	.001	.005	.005	.895	.553	.553	.319	.001	.000	.000	.292	.001	.292	.000
39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
164	.514**	.442	.442	.022	098	098	164	.514**	1.000**	.673	.173	.514**	.173	.673**
.319	.001	.005	.005	.895	.553	.553	.319	.001	.000	.000	.292	.001	.292	.000
30	39	30	30	30	30	30	39	39	39	30	30	30	30	30

Figure 1. Validity Test Results

Reliability Test

Reliability Statistics					
N of Items					
	30				

Figure 2. Reliability Test Results

		Learning Motivation	Learning Outcomes	
Learning Motivation	Pearson Correlation	1	.417	
-	Sig. (2-tailed)		.00	
-	N	39	3	
Learning Outcomes	Pearson Correlation	.417**		
-	Sig. (2-tailed)	.008		
_	N	39	3	

Figure 3. Product Moment Correlation Test Results

CONCLUSION

There is a relationship between learning motivation and learning outcomes in Chinese Language Education students of the class of 2022 at Semarang State University in the Chuji Hanyu Xiezuo Xia course. This is supported by the *Pearson correlation* of 0.417, which indicates that there is a considerable influence between the variables of learning motivation and learning outcomes. With a significance value of 0.008 which is smaller than 0.05, it is confirmed that the relationship between learning motivation and learning outcomes is statistically significant. From this, it can be concluded that

internal motivation factors have a significant influence on motivation which has an impact on the learning outcomes of Chinese Language Education Students Class of 2022 Semarang State University in *the Chuji Hanyu Xiezuo Xia* course.

REFERENCES

Abdurrahman, M. (2003). Pendidikan Bagi Anak Berkesulitan Belajar. Rineka Cipta.

Dörnyei, Z. (2003). Attitudes, orientations, and motivations in language learning: Advances in theory, research, and applications. *Language Learning*, *53*(SUPPL. 1), 3–32. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9922.53222

Sadirman, A. M. (2007). Interaksi dan Motivasi Belajar. 85-86.

- Sugiono, P. D. (2019). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif dan Kualitatif* (M. Dr. Ir. sutopo. S.Pd (ed.)). Akfabeta cv.
- Sutami, H. (2016). Fungsi dan Kedudukan Bahasa Mandarin di Indonesia. *Paradigma, Jurnal Kajian Budaya*, 2(2), 212. https://doi.org/10.17510/paradigma.v2i2.28
- Xu, L., Hu, H., Zhang, X., Li, L., Cao, C., Li, Y., Xu, Y., Sun, K., Yu, D., Yu, C., Tian, Y., Dong,
 Q., Liu, W., Shi, B., Cui, Y., Li, J., Zeng, J., Wang, R., Xie, W., ... Lan, Z. (2020). CLUE: A
 Chinese Language Understanding Evaluation Benchmark. COLING 2020 28th International
 Conference on Computational Linguistics, Proceedings of the Conference, 4762–4772.
- Ying, Y., Suprayogi, M. N., & Hurriyati, E. A. (2013). *Motivasi belajar bahasa mandarin.pdf*. 1345–1355.