Flouting of Grice's Maxims in Creating Humor in "Steve TV Show" YouTube Clips

Mar' Atul Latifah Jauharin Nafi'[1] ✉, Issy Yuliasri[1], Hendi Pratama[1]

[1] Faculty of Languages and Arts, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

Article Info	Abstract
	This study examines how the violation of Grice's cooperative maxims—quantity, quality, relation, and manner—elicits humor in YouTube clips from Steve Harvey's TV Show. Using a qualitative content analysis approach, this research analyzes social media data from the show's YouTube channel. The analysis applies a pragmatic identity framework and follows the data analysis techniques outlined by Miles and Huberman (1984). Key findings reveal how different types of maxim violations, influenced by contextual factors, contribute to humor production in a talk show format. This study offers valuable insights into the role of conversational implicature in humor, particularly within contemporary media, and contributes to the broader field of discourse analysis and humor studies. By examining how humor is constructed through maxim flouting, the research highlights its implications for understanding humor in digital media and its cultural significance in global media contexts. © Copyright 2025

INTRODUCTION

This study explores how humor is created in *Steve TV Show* YouTube clips through the flouting of Grice's (1975) Cooperative Principle. As social beings, humans use language to communicate and interact, and effective communication relies on shared conversational norms (Thompson, 2018). Grice's theory suggests that speakers follow four maxims—quality, quantity, relation, and manner—to ensure clarity and cooperation in discourse. However, these maxims are often intentionally flouted, leading to conversational implicature, where the implied meaning differs from the literal one (Yule, 2014). This study investigates how such flouting generates humor in *Steve TV Show* clips, particularly in moments involving Steve Harvey's interactions with his guests and audience.

The primary objective of this research is to analyze how humor is produced through maxim flouting in *Steve TV Show* YouTube clips. Specifically, it aims to identify which maxims are flouted, examine the situational contexts that influence humor, and determine the relationship between conversational implicature and comedic effect. By doing so, the study contributes to the field of pragmatics, particularly in understanding

humor as a linguistic phenomenon. Furthermore, it seeks to provide insights for language learning, as previous research indicates that English as a Second Language (ESL) students often struggle with interpreting implicature (Samaie & Arianmanesh, 2018; Pratama et al., 2019). Given that humor plays a significant role in everyday communication, this study also has practical applications in enhancing language comprehension and social interaction skills.

The theoretical foundation of this study is based on pragmatics, with a focus on Grice's Cooperative Principle and the concept of conversational implicature. According to Grice (1975), effective communication requires speakers to adhere to four conversational maxims: (1) quality, ensuring truthfulness; (2) quantity, providing the necessary amount of information; (3) relation, maintaining relevance; and (4) manner, ensuring clarity. However, speakers often violate these maxims, either subtly or blatantly, to convey implicit meanings. When maxims are flouted in humorous contexts, they create incongruities that lead to laughter (Dynel, 2009). This study applies this theoretical framework to analyze humor in *Steve TV Show* clips, demonstrating how pragmatic violations function as comedic devices.

This research builds on previous studies that have examined the role of maxim flouting in humor across various media. For instance, Hakim (2022) found that verbal humor in the Netflix series Pretty Smart was largely derived from maxim violations, with irony, satire, and exaggeration being common forms of humor. Similarly, Hamdi (2024) analyzed comedic dialogue in short stories, revealing that characters often flouted the maxims of quantity and relation to create humorous effects. Other studies have explored humor in social media contexts, such as Alkaraki (2023), who investigated how Jordanians flouted Grice's maxims on Facebook during the COVID-19 pandemic as a coping mechanism. While these studies provide valuable insights into humor and implicature, few have examined humor in talk shows, particularly in short-form YouTube content. This research fills that gap by focusing on *Steve TV Show* clips, where humor emerges naturally through conversational exchanges.

Mass media, particularly television, plays a crucial role in shaping communication (McQuail, 2020). Talk shows, as a genre, blend entertainment with social commentary, making them an ideal setting for studying humor. *Steve TV Show*, a YouTube channel featuring segments from Steve Harvey's talk show, serves as the data source for this study. The Family Moments playlist was selected because it highlights Harvey's comedic exchanges with his family and audience, offering a rich context for analyzing humor through the flouting of conversational maxims (Nigtiaz & Rofiq, 2023). By examining these interactions, the study provides new insights into how humor operates in media discourse and how pragmatic strategies are used to engage audiences.

In conclusion, this research explores the intersection of pragmatics and humor by analyzing maxim flouting in *Steve TV Show* YouTube clips. By applying Grice's theory of implicature, it aims to explain how humor arises from violations of conversational norms. The findings have theoretical implications for pragmatics, pedagogical applications for ESL learning, and practical relevance for understanding humor in media communication. Through a detailed analysis of talk show interactions, this study contributes to a deeper comprehension of humor as a linguistic and social phenomenon.

METHODS

This study employs a qualitative approach with content analysis to examine humor in *Steve TV Show* YouTube clips through the flouting of Grice's (1975) Cooperative Principle. The research focuses on analyzing transcribed video clips to identify instances where humor arises from maxim violations. This chapter outlines the research design, data sources, data collection methods, analysis procedures, and triangulation techniques.

This study follows a qualitative research approach, emphasizing the interpretation of spoken discourse (McMillan & Schumacher, 2010). Content analysis is used to examine humor in selected video clips by identifying conversational implicature and pragmatic violations (Mayring, 2000).

The data, collected from YouTube clips, are analyzed based on Grice's (1975) Cooperative Principle, focusing on the flouting of conversational maxims. The study analyzes 31 episodes from the 'Harvey Family Moments' playlist on the *Steve TV Show* YouTube channel. These clips were chosen as they highlight humorous interactions where maxim flouting occurs (Nigtiaz & Rofiq, 2023). The short-form clips provide a curated selection of comedic moments, making them ideal for pragmatic analysis.

Data was collected through qualitative observation, transcribing utterances, and categorizing instances of maxim flouting. The researcher employed a non-participatory technique, passively observing interactions to ensure objectivity (Sudaryanto, 1993). Utterances were coded based on the type of maxim flouting, humor type, and situational context. Data analysis follows the pragmatic identity method, aligning with Grice's (1975) theory. The researcher employed Miles and Huberman's (1984) three-stage qualitative analysis approach: (1) Data reduction—filtering non-relevant utterances; (2) Data display—organizing data into tables; and (3) Conclusion drawing—identifying patterns of humor and maxim violations.

To ensure validity, data triangulation was conducted by cross-referencing multiple sources and seeking expert judgment to reduce bias (Oppermann, 2000). This approach strengthens the reliability of findings by verifying patterns in humor and conversational implicature.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Maxim flouting to trigger humor in *Steve TV Show* YouTube clips

The Harvey's Family Moments playlist features humor primarily generated by interactions among Steve's family members. Family-based humor is relatable and easily understood, making it an effective tool for engaging the audience. By applying Grice's cooperative principle, this study identifies the four maxims—quality, quantity, relation, and manner—as key mechanisms through which humor arises. The following sections explore instances of maxim flouting and their connection to humor in the clips.

Table 1. Frequency of maxim's flouting that triggers humor in *Steve TV Show* YouTube clip videos

Flouting maxim	Amount of data	Percentage
Quality	13	21%
Quantity	20	32%
Relation	19	31%
Manner	10	16%
Total Data	**62**	**100%**

The table above presents the results of data analysis on the flouting of Grice's Cooperative Maxims that generate humor in YouTube clips from the *Steve TV Show*. According to Grice's theory, the Cooperative Principle consists of four maxims: quality, quantity, relation, and manner. Researchers analyzed 31 videos from the Harvey's Family Moments playlist on the *Steve TV Show* channel and found that 27 videos contained maxim flouting, while 4 did not involve humor through such flouting. The most frequent instance was the flouting of the maxim of quantity, accounting for 21% of cases with a total of 20 instances. This was followed by the flouting of the maxim of relation at 31%, with 19 occurrences. The maxim of quality was flouted in 21% of cases, totaling 13 instances. The least frequent was the flouting of the maxim of manner, appearing in 16% of cases with 10 instances. In total, the 27 videos contained 62 instances of maxim flouting across the four categories.

The specific types of humor associated with each maxim flouting are detailed in the table below:

Table 2. Type of humor that occur in the maxims flouting

Maxim Flouting	Type of Humor	Occurrences
Quality	Banter	4
	Irony	39
	Hyperbole	11
	Teasing	20
	Puns	2
	Putdown	2
	Self-denigrating humor	6
	Anecdote	2
Quantity	Irony	39
	Hyperbole	11
	Puns	2
Relation	Banter	4

33

	Retort	10
	Self-denigrating humor	6
	Anecdote	2
Manner	Retort	10
	Teasing	20
	Putdown	2

This study categorizes humor types based on their connection to Grice's Cooperative Maxims—Quality, Quantity, Relation, and Manner—which guide clear and effective communication. Various humor types, such as Irony, Teasing, Hyperbole, and Puns, reflect different comedic strategies in conversations. For instance, Irony often flouts the Quality Maxim by stating something contrary to the truth, while Teasing relates to the Manner Maxim by creating ambiguity or confusion. The study also examines how often each humor type appears in relation to these maxims, revealing how humor plays with expectations and conversational norms. Overall, the findings show how humor interacts with communication principles, offering insights into its role across different contexts.

Flouting the Maxim of Quality
Speakers deliberately say things that are not entirely true for comedic effect.
Examples & Explanation:
> *Steve: "We just took the baby and didn't say nothing. Like she a damn Price is Right model."*

Steve humorously compares the baby's introduction to a Price is Right model, exaggerating the lack of acknowledgment. The humor comes from intentionally making an inaccurate statement to create a funny contrast. (Metaphor, Irony)

> *Majorie: "This is so fake for television. He put so much stuff on his pizza."*

Marjorie sarcastically comments on an exaggerated cooking scene, implying that it is overly dramatic for entertainment. The exaggeration and irony make it humorous. (Hyperbole, Irony)

> *Jason: "Yeah, you took my mother, but you gained a whole lot more with that. You gave me your last name, and that's the unthinkable for a man."*

Jason's ironic statement plays with expectations. While the first part seems serious, the second part humorously exaggerates the idea of giving someone a last name as an "unthinkable" act. (Anecdote, Irony)

> *Marjorie: "You're always in the mood."*
> *Steve: "Hell yeah."*

Steve confidently embraces an exaggerated statement with enthusiasm, making it humorous because it reinforces a stereotype in a bold and playful way. (Banter, Retort)

When speakers make statements that are intentionally false or exaggerated for comedic purposes, they flout the Quality Maxim. These violations often involve irony, hyperbole, and playful teasing. For example:
> Steve humorously compares a baby's introduction to a Price is Right model, exaggerating the nonchalance of the moment (Irony, Metaphor).
> Marjorie sarcastically comments on a cooking scene, calling it *"fake for television"* (Hyperbole, Irony).

These exaggerations and deliberate falsifications create humor by violating expectations and highlighting the contrast between truth and fiction.

Flouting the Maxim of Quantity
Humor arises from over-explaining, withholding details, or giving excessive information.
Examples & Explanation:

> *Marjorie: "Steve, where do you think they're getting it from?"*

When asked if Steve's bad habits influence their children, Marjorie over-explains the situation instead of giving a simple answer. The humor arises from irony and teasing. (Teasing, Irony)

> *Steve: "What food?"*

Steve pretends not to understand Marjorie's complaint about leaving food everywhere, deliberately giving too little information to create humor. (Irony, Retort)

> *Steve: "Make as much money as you can because they gonna find a way to spend it."*

Steve makes a broad, exaggerated claim about spending habits, turning financial advice into an overgeneralized joke. (Hyperbole)

> *Majorie: "With Hayley, it was like Christmas morning. You know, just paper, flying confetti, flying clothes, flying shoes."*

Marjorie over-exaggerates the excitement, using vivid imagery that makes the situation sound extreme and comical. (Hyperbole, Simile)

The Quantity Maxim is flouted when speakers provide either excessive or insufficient information. These violations lead to exaggerated or humorous effects by shifting the flow of conversation.

> Marjorie over-explains Steve's influence on their children, turning a simple question into an exaggerated, humorous narrative (Teasing, Irony).
> Steve gives an overgeneralized, comically exaggerated piece of financial advice: "*Make as much money as you can because they gonna find a way to spend it*" (Hyperbole).

These exaggerations surprise the audience, making the humor more pronounced and engaging.

Flouting the Maxim of Relation
Humor results from changing topics, misinterpreting, or ignoring the expected response. Examples & Explanation:

> *Steve: "You've got a show; go to your show!"*
> *Dr. Phil: "I hear you're talking all big shot. Oh, game on."*

Steve dismisses Dr. Phil, but instead of leaving, Dr. Phil challenges him playfully, adding humor through banter and teasing. (Banter, Teasing)

> *Steve: "No crying, no-till afterward."*

During his daughter's emotional wedding speech, Steve interrupts with a rule about when crying is allowed, humorously cutting through the emotional moment. (Irony, Teasing)

> *Steve: "Yeah, just first of all, calm down; it ain't a pen."*

When Wynton panics about stopping a car, Steve downplays the seriousness with an irrelevant joke, making humor out of his nonchalant attitude. (Teasing, Irony)

The Relation Maxim is flouted when speakers give irrelevant responses or change the topic unexpectedly, which can lead to humor by creating incongruities.

> Steve tells Dr. Phil to go to his show, but Dr. Phil humorously challenges him back, creating playful tension (Banter, Teasing).

> Steve interrupts an emotional wedding speech by stating, *"No crying until afterward,"* breaking the expected emotional flow (Irony, Teasing).

The abrupt shifts in conversational relevance are amusing because they defy expectations and introduce humor through surprise.

Flouting the Maxim of Manner
Speakers deliberately use vague, ambiguous, or overly detailed language for humor.
Examples & Explanation:

> *Dr. Phil: "Excuse me, did anybody call your name?"*

When Steve interrupts, Dr. Phil sarcastically asks a rhetorical question, using ambiguity to create humor. (Teasing)

> *Steve: "Why would I hang something up that has to go to the dry cleaners?"*

When Dr. Phil calls him a "pig" for being messy, Steve avoids the accusation by giving an unrelated response, making humor through irony and misdirection. (Putdown, Irony, Retort)

> *Ben: "I feel like I'm either covered in poop or snot, unfortunately. TMI. I know."*

Ben overshares unnecessary personal details, then acknowledges it with self-deprecating humor, making the statement even funnier. (Self-Denigrating Humor, Hyperbole)

Finally, the Manner Maxim is flouted when speakers use vague, ambiguous, or overly detailed language for comedic effect.

> Steve avoids admitting his messiness by making an irrelevant remark about dry cleaning (Putdown, Irony).
> Ben humorously overshares personal details, such as feeling covered in *"poop or snot"* (Self-denigrating humor, Hyperbole).

These violations create humor by shifting the conversation into absurdity or by introducing unnecessary complexity into an otherwise straightforward interaction.

This study shows that humor in *Steve TV Show* frequently arises from deliberate violations of conversational norms, making dialogues feel more spontaneous and entertaining. Irony, retorts, and teasing are the most common humor strategies used. By intentionally flouting Grice's maxims, speakers

create unexpected twists in conversations that lead to laughter.

The context of the situation when the maxim is flouted in *Steve TV Show* clip videos

The context in which maxims are flouted plays a crucial role in making humor effective. It includes who is speaking, their relationship, the setting, and the conversation's tone. In *Steve TV Show*, the humor mainly arises from family interactions, playful banter, and exaggerated storytelling. Below is an explanation of the context of the situation for each type of maxim flouting.

The Context of the Situation When the Maxim of Quality Is Flouted

It is occurs when speakers say something untrue, exaggerated, or sarcastic for comedic effect.
Context Examples:

> *Steve: "We just took the baby and didn't say nothing. Like she a damn Price is Right model."*

(Steve and the baby introduction)
Context: Steve humorously compares a baby's introduction to a Price is Right model, implying it was too casual.
Situation: A family gathering where humor is used to downplay the seriousness of an event.
Effect: The exaggeration makes the moment funny by contrasting expectations of formality with a humorous metaphor.

> *Jason: "Yeah, you took my mother, but you gained a whole lot more with that. You gave me your last name, and that's the unthinkable for a man."*

(Jason on getting a last name)
Context: Jason jokes about getting a last name as an "unthinkable" event for a man.
Situation: A lighthearted discussion about family dynamics.
Effect: Humor emerges from sarcasm, as Jason exaggerates the importance of the last name.

> *Majorie: "This is so fake for television. He put so much stuff on his pizza."*

(Majorie on TV cooking being fake)
Context: Majorie sarcastically remarks that their exaggerated pizza-making is "fake for TV."
Situation: A playful behind-the-scenes moment where the family acknowledges how TV dramatizes things.

Effect: The humor comes from meta-awareness—pointing out the artificiality of television in a joking manner.

The Context of the Situation When the Maxim of Quantity Is Flouted

Flouting the Maxim of Quantity happens when a speaker gives too much or too little information to create humor.
Context Examples:

> *Marjorie: "Steve, where do you think they're getting it from?"*

(Marjorie over-explains Steve's habits)
Context: Dr. Phil asks a simple question about Steve's habits. Instead of answering directly, Marjorie gives a long, detailed response.
Situation: A playful argument between a couple on a talk show.
Effect: The humor arises from over-explaining, making the response excessive compared to the simple question.

> *Steve: "Make as much money as you can because they gonna find a way to spend it."*

(Steve on money always being spent)
Context: Steve generalizes financial habits by saying no matter how much money you make, it will be spent.
Situation: A discussion about finances in relationships.
Effect: Overgeneralization makes the statement funny because it turns financial reality into an exaggerated, unavoidable truth.

> *Majorie: "With Hayley, it was like Christmas morning. You know, just paper, flying confetti, flying clothes, flying shoes."*

(Marjorie exaggerates Hayley's excitement)
Context: Marjorie describes Hayley's excitement as "Christmas morning" with "flying confetti, flying clothes, flying shoes."
Situation: A joyful moment of storytelling in a family setting.
Effect: Humor arises from hyperbole, making a relatable situation sound dramatically extreme.

The Context of the Situation When the Maxim of Relation Is Flouted

Flouting the Maxim of Relation happens when speakers give irrelevant responses or shift the topic unexpectedly.
Context Examples:

Steve: "You've got a show; go to your show!"
Dr. Phil: "I hear you're talking all big shot. Oh, game on."

(Dr. Phil playfully refuses to leave Steve's show)
Context: Steve tells Dr. Phil to return to his own show, but Dr. Phil jokingly challenges him instead.
Situation: A talk show setting where playful competition is encouraged.
Effect: Irrelevance creates humor, as Dr. Phil's response ignores Steve's request and turns it into friendly banter.

Steve: "No crying, no-till afterward."

(Steve interrupts an emotional speech)
Context: Steve's daughter, Carli, expresses deep gratitude during her wedding speech, but Steve suddenly tells her "No crying until afterward."
Situation: A sentimental family moment.
Effect: The humor arises from breaking emotional expectations, as Steve lightens a serious moment with an abrupt joke.

Steve: "Yeah, just first of all, calm down; it ain't a pen."

(Steve downplays Wynton's concern about a car issue)
Context: Wynton panics about how to stop a car, and Steve responds, "Calm down, it ain't a pen."
Situation: A real-life moment where someone is genuinely confused.
Effect: Minimizing a problem creates humor, as Steve dismisses Wynton's concern in an exaggeratedly casual way.

The Context of the Situation When the Maxim of Manner Is Flouted
Flouting the Maxim of Manner happens when speakers use unclear, ambiguous, or overly detailed language for comedic effect.
Context Examples:

Dr. Phil: "Excuse me, did anybody call your name?"

(Dr. Phil sarcastically questions Steve's comment)
Context: Steve casually calls something "delicious," and Dr. Phil interrupts with "Did anybody call your name?"
Situation: A playful exchange in a talk show setting.
Effect: The humor comes from mocking Steve's unnecessary comment, making it seem out of place.

Steve: "Why would I hang something up that has to go to the dry cleaners?"

(Steve avoids admitting messiness with an irrelevant excuse)
Context: Dr. Phil calls Steve a "pig" for being messy, and Steve replies with a unrelated excuse about dry cleaning.
Situation: A comedic debate about cleanliness.
Effect: Humor comes from Steve's absurd justification, which doesn't respond to the accusation.

Ben: "I feel like I'm either covered in poop or snot, unfortunately. TMI. I know."

(Ben overshares about poop and snot)
Context: Ben says, "I feel like I'm either covered in poop or snot, unfortunately. TMI. I know."
Situation: A casual conversation where someone expresses frustration.
Effect: The humor comes from self-deprecating exaggeration, making the situation sound worse than it is.

Humor in *Steve TV Show* often arises from the intentional flouting of Grice's maxims, where exaggeration, irony, and playful banter create comedic moments. The situational context, including family dynamics and casual conversations, plays a crucial role in enhancing humor. By deviating from conversational norms, the show generates surprise and relatability, making interactions more engaging.

Relation between Maxim Flouting, Context, and Humor
Flouting Maxims Leads to Humor
Flouting maxims disrupts the expected flow of conversation, often leading to humor. Surprise and incongruity occur when an unexpected response contrasts with what the listener anticipates, creating a comedic effect. Exaggeration and absurdity arise when a speaker provides excessive detail or an unnecessarily elaborate response, making the situation feel overly dramatic or ridiculous. Additionally, absurdity and playfulness come into play when a statement is unnecessarily complex or irrelevant, forcing the audience to focus on the unexpected shift in conversation.

The Role of Context in Humor
Context determines whether maxim flouting is perceived as humorous or awkward. Familiarity and rapport between speakers influence how humor is received—close friends and family members are more likely to appreciate playful maxim violations.

The intent behind the flouting also matters; in lighthearted settings, exaggerated responses and absurd statements enhance humor, while in serious discussions, they may seem out of place. Cultural and situational factors further affect how humor is interpreted, as social norms dictate whether breaking conversational expectations is acceptable or inappropriate.

Humor as a Result of Flouting Maxims

Flouting maxims can create playful disruptions by breaking conversational flow in unexpected ways, leading to humorous moments. It can also serve as a tool for self-deprecating humor or playful mockery when speakers deliberately over-explain or make irrelevant comments. The audience's understanding of a character's tendencies, such as a habit of over-explaining, can turn a lengthy anecdote into a comedic moment.

The relationship between maxim flouting, context, and humor lies in how conversational norms are broken and perceived. Context determines whether flouting is acceptable—casual conversations allow for playful violations, whereas formal settings may not. Flouting a maxim introduces surprise and unpredictability, key elements of humor that disrupt expectations. Additionally, the nature of the relationship between speakers influences how flouting is received, as close bonds enable humor to emerge naturally from conversational deviations.

Overall, humor results from the interplay of conversational norms, context, and relationships, making maxim flouting an effective comedic tool when used appropriately.

DISCUSSION

Maxim Flouting to Trigger Humor in Steve TV Show YouTube Clip Videos

Humor in *Steve TV Show* YouTube clips is created through deliberate violations of Grice's maxims. Speakers flout the maxims of quality, quantity, relation, and manner to produce unexpected and entertaining effects.

Flouting the Maxim of Quantity occurs when speakers provide too much or too little information, leading to exaggeration and humor. For example, Steve's overgeneralization about financial dynamics adds a comedic effect. This aligns with Cutting (2005) and Firda et al. (2021), who highlight that talk shows often use exaggerated scenarios for humor. Flouting of the Maxim of Quality involve exaggerations or statements lacking truth, such as Marjorie's sarcastic remark about her father's cooking. This creates humor by avoiding direct negativity, supporting Grice's (1975) notion of conversational implicature. Hakim (2022) also found exaggeration to be a common humor device in scripted content.

The Maxim of Relation is flouted when speakers intentionally divert conversations to create unexpected but humorous shifts. Lori's irrelevant remark about Steve's demeanor at award shows exemplifies this, aligning with studies by Al-Mazari (2024) and Alkaraki (2023) on humor through digressions.

The Maxim of Manner is violated through ambiguity or unnecessary complexity, such as Steve's long-winded father-daughter dance story. This reflects Levinson's (1983) and Dynel's (2009) findings that ambiguity and excessive detail are frequent humor mechanisms in both conversational and scripted exchanges.

Context of Situation When Flouting Triggers Humor in Steve TV Show YouTube Clip Videos

Family-Centred Scenarios create humor through relatable exaggerations of everyday family interactions. Marjorie's critiques of Steve's habits are humorous because audiences recognize similar experiences. Hay (2001) notes that humor rooted in shared experiences strengthens audience connection, making flouting more effective.

Social Settings influence humor through conversational roles. For instance, Dr. Phil humorously challenges Steve as a guest. Carbaugh (1988) highlights how role expectations in media settings often shape humor by subverting norms.

Cultural Familiarity plays a role in humor through references to widely recognized experiences. Marjorie's vivid exaggeration is amusing because it aligns with festive imagery, supporting Dey's (2001) findings on cultural references enhancing humor through conversational implicature.

Overall, these contexts emphasize how humor in *Steve TV Show* relies on linguistic deviation within familiar social settings. This aligns with studies by Hu (2012) and Fernández (2008), which show that humor often emerges from a combination of language play and situational context.

Relation Between Maxim Flouting, Context, and Humor

Humor in *Steve TV Show* results from intentional conversational violations amplified by contextual elements. Alkaraki (2023) highlights that humor often hinges on non-observance of maxims, especially in shared cultural contexts. Hamdi (2024) further notes that manipulating conversational expectations fosters comedic effects.

In the show's YouTube clips, humor is deeply connected to context, particularly through

family dynamics and audience relatability. The frequent flouting of Quantity and Relation maxims aligns with Cundall's (2007) theory of incongruity humor, where breaking conversational norms surprises and amuses the audience. Marjorie's exaggerations and Steve's witty retorts exemplify this interplay between language and context, making maxim flouting a key comedic strategy.

Humor in the Steve TV Show clips arises from intentional flouting of conversational norms, specifically through the flouting of Grice's maxims. These flouting lead to surprising, exaggerated, and incongruous moments that keep the audience entertained. The study highlights the significance of family dynamics, social context, and cultural familiarity, all of which amplify the effectiveness of humor.

CONCLUSION

This study examines how humor is generated in Steve TV Show YouTube clips through the intentional flouting of Grice's maxims—quality, quantity, relation, and manner. The analysis reveals that these maxim violations create conversational incongruities, which ultimately trigger humor, making the interactions more engaging and entertaining. Humor in the show largely hinges on common strategies such as irony, teasing, exaggeration, and ambiguity, which disrupt conversational expectations playfully.

Moreover, the role of context is crucial in shaping the comedic effect. Family dynamics, social roles, and cultural references enhance the humor, making it both relatable and engaging. The frequent flouting of the quantity and relation maxims, in particular, exemplifies how humor often arises from unexpected shifts in conversation or excessive (or minimal) information. These deviations not only amuse but also highlight the natural and spontaneous nature of familial interactions. The findings align with previous research that emphasizes how conversational norms, when violated in specific contexts, can lead to comedic outcomes.

In conclusion, this research underscores the significance of pragmatic principles, such as maxim flouting, in the construction of humor on television. The study contributes to a deeper understanding of how humor functions within everyday communication and how it can be effectively employed in media content to connect with audiences. By exploring the role of context, the analysis provides insights into how humor is tailored to social settings, relationships, and

cultural expectations, making it a powerful tool for both entertainment and communication.

Looking ahead, further research could expand on the exploration of humor in different media genres or cultural contexts to compare how maxim flouting operates across various settings. Future studies might also consider quantitative approaches to assess audience reactions to different types of humor. Additionally, understanding the role of humor in media could have valuable implications for language teaching and media production, as it offers a practical way to explore conversational implicature, pragmatic language use, and audience engagement. For content creators, especially in a digital media landscape, the strategic use of maxim flouting could help tailor content to resonate with diverse audiences, enhancing both its relatability and entertainment value.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

Alkaraki, S.M.S. (2023). Arabic Verbal Humor: An Exploration of Non-Observance of Cooperative Principle on Social Media. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*. doi:10.17507/jltr.1406.27

Al-Mazari, W.Y. (2024). Flouting Grice's Maxims in a Jordanian TV Talk Show. *Jordan Journal of Modern Languages and Literatures, 16*(2). doi:10.47012/jjmll.16.2.9

Carbaugh, D. (1988). *Cultural communication and intercultural contact*. Lawrence Erlbaum.

Cundall, M. K. (2007). Humor and the Limits of Incongruity. *Creativity Research Journal, 19*(2–3), 203–211. https://doi.org/10.1080/10400410701397263

Cutting, J. (2005). *Pragmatics and discourse: A resource book for students*. Routledge.

Dey, A. K. (2001). Understanding and Using Context. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing.* https://doi.org/10.1007/s0077901700119

Dynel, M. (2009). *Humorous garden-paths: A pragmatic-cognitive study*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

Fernández, B. (2008). The teachability of pragmatics in SLA: Friends' humour through Grice. *Porta Linguarum*, *10*, 31–43. https://doi.org/10.30827/Digibug.31778

Firda, I. N., Hidayat, D. N., Alek, A., & Nurhalimah, N. (2021). An Analysis of Flouting Maxim in a Talk Show Program in Indonesia. *Eduvelop: Journal of English Education and Development*, *4*(2), 107-118. https://doi.org/10.31605/eduvelop.v4i2.887

Grice, H. P. (1975). *Logic and conversation.* In P. Cole & J. L. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and semantics (Vol. 3, pp. 41–58).* Academic Press.

Hakim, M. S. A. (2022). *A pragmatic analysis of maxim flouting to create verbal humor in the Netflix series" Pretty Smart"* (Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim).

Hamdi, S. (2024). Humour based on flouting Grice's Conversational Maxims in Ali Douagi's "The Star-Gazer". *European Journal of Humour Research 12*(2). doi:10.7592/EJHR2024.12.2.844

Hay, J. (2001). The pragmatics of humor support. *HUMOR, 14*(1), 55–82. https://doi.org/10.1515/humr.14.1.55

Hu, S. (2012). An Analysis of Humor in The Big Bang Theory from Pragmatic Perspectives. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, *2*(6). https://doi.org/10.4304/tpls.2.6.1185-1190

Levinson, S. C. (1983). *Pragmatics.* Cambridge University Press.

Mayring, P. (2000). Qualitative Content Analysis. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, *1*(2). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-1.2.1089

McMillan, J. H., & Schumacher, S. (2010). *Research in education: Evidence-based inquiry* (7th ed.). Pearson.

McQuail, D. (2020). *McQuail's media and mass communication theory* (7th ed.). Sage.

Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1984). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook.* Sage.

Nigtiaz, R. A., & Rofiq, A. (2023). Proses Produksi Highlight Pada Channel Youtube Cita Entertaiment. *AN-NASHIHA Journal of Broadcasting and Islamic Communication Studies*, *3*(1), 5-9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.55352/an-nashiha

Oppermann, M. (2000). Triangulation: A methodological discussion. *International Journal of Tourism Research, 2*(2), 141–146. doi:10.1002/(sici)1522-1970(200003/04)2:2<141::aid-jtr217>3.0.co;2-u

Pratama, H., Rokhman, F., & Urip, S. R. (2019). Conversational implicature comprehension strategies used by English learners in Indonesia. *Linguistic Research*, *36*(3), 415–458. https://doi.org/10.17250/khisli.36.3.201912.004

Samaie, M., & Ariyanmanesh, M. (2018). Comprehension of conversational implicature in an Iranian EFL context: A validation study. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, *14*(4), 44-62.

Sudaryanto, S. (1993). *Metode dan aneka teknik analisis bahasa: Pengantar penelitian wahana kebudayaan secara linguistis.* Duta Wacana University Press.

Thompson, N. (2018). *Effective Communication: A Guide for the People Professions* (3rd ed.). Red Globe Press.

Yule, G. (2014). *The study of language* (5th ed.). Cambridge University Press.