

## Enhancing Cybercrime Response Capabilities through Integrated Digital Policing Systems

Jurnal Scientia  
Indonesia 2025, Vol.  
9(2)

© The Author(s) 2025

[10.15294/jsi.v8i2.36204](#)

This journal has been accredited  
by Ministry of Education,  
Culture, Research & Technology  
of Republic Indonesia ([Rank  
SINTA 6](#)).

Published by:



All writings published in this journal  
are personal views of the author(s)  
and do not represent the views of this  
journal and the author's affiliated  
institutions. Author(s) retain  
copyrights under the license of  
[Creative Common Attribution  
4.0 International \(CC BY 4.0\)](#)

---

**Rudy Cahya Kurniawan**

Akademi Kepolisian Republik Indonesia,  
Indonesia

[rudy.cahya@akpol.ac.id](mailto:rudy.cahya@akpol.ac.id)

## **Abstract**

This study examines the development of digital policing systems as a strategic response to the increasing complexity of cybercrime in Indonesia. As society becomes more dependent on digital platforms, cyber threats such as online fraud, identity theft, ransomware, and cyber harassment continue to escalate. This research aims to assess the effectiveness of integrated cybercrime response mechanisms that combine digital forensics, interagency collaboration, and AI-based threat detection. A mixed-methods design was employed, involving statistical analysis of national cybercrime reports from 2018–2023 and interviews with cyber investigators, IT specialists, and victims. Results indicate that the implementation of integrated digital policing systems reduced case-handling time by 31% and improved evidence retrieval accuracy by 27%. Interview findings highlight improved investigative coordination, although challenges persist related to technological disparities and public digital literacy. This study concludes that enhancing cybercrime response requires a comprehensive framework that merges technology, legal infrastructure, and cross-sector collaboration. The findings contribute to ongoing efforts in strengthening national cyber resilience.

**Keywords:** cybercrime, digital policing, cyber forensics, cyber security, online investigation

## A. Introduction

The rapid expansion of internet connectivity and digital platforms has significantly transformed economic, social, and governmental systems across the world. While digitalization enables unprecedented efficiency and connectivity, it also introduces new vulnerabilities that criminals exploit through sophisticated cyberattacks. Cybercrime encompasses a wide range of illegal activities, including phishing, financial fraud, ransomware deployment, identity theft, data breaches, and the exploitation of digital communication platforms for harassment or dissemination of illicit content. According to global reports, cybercrime damages exceeded USD 8 trillion in 2023, and the figure is expected to double by 2030 if preventive measures are not strengthened. Indonesia, with over 220 million internet users, is similarly experiencing escalating cyber threats that require immediate and structured law-enforcement responses.

Traditional policing models are insufficient to address cybercrime, as digital offenses often transcend geographical boundaries, involve anonymized actors, and require advanced technological expertise. Consequently, police institutions worldwide are shifting toward digital policing frameworks that integrate cyber forensics, big data analytics, interagency coordination, and international cooperation. Cybercrime investigations now depend on specialized tools such as log-file analysis, IP tracing, dark web monitoring, and malware reverse engineering. Scholars emphasize that the digitalization of policing is no longer optional but essential in ensuring public safety in modern societies.

Despite technological advancements, several challenges remain. Indonesia's law-enforcement agencies often encounter limitations in cybersecurity infrastructure, gaps in investigator expertise, low public digital literacy, and difficulties coordinating with private sector actors who control critical digital infrastructures. Furthermore, cybercrime reporting rates remain relatively low due to victims' lack of awareness about reporting mechanisms, fear of social repercussions, or misconceptions regarding the role of the police in cyber investigations.

Given these issues, this study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of integrated digital policing systems in addressing cybercrime. Specifically, the

research focuses on (1) assessing statistical trends in cybercrime cases; (2) evaluating the performance of AI-based detection tools and digital forensic systems; (3) understanding investigator and victim experiences; and (4) identifying structural barriers that limit optimal implementation. This research seeks to bridge theoretical discourse and real-world practices by offering evidence-based insights into strengthening police cyber capabilities.

## B. METHODS

This study employed a mixed-methods approach integrating quantitative and qualitative data. Quantitative data were collected from national cybercrime records provided by the Indonesian Digital Security Directorate (2018–2023). Data categories included online fraud, ransomware attacks, identity theft, cyber harassment, and data breaches. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 26, applying time-series analysis, regression tests, and year-over-year growth comparisons.

Qualitative data were collected through semi-structured interviews with 30 respondents: cyber investigators (n=12), information security specialists (n=8), and cybercrime victims (n=10). Interviews explored themes such as investigation challenges, digital forensic procedures, system reliability, and public engagement in cyber reporting processes. All interview transcripts were coded using NVivo 12 to identify recurring patterns.

Digital tools used in the study included forensic imaging devices, malware sandboxing environments, packet sniffers, and algorithms for anomaly detection. Ethical approval was granted by the Institutional Cyber Research Board, and all participants provided informed consent.

## C. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Statistical analysis revealed a substantial escalation in cybercrime incidents over the past five years, increasing from 28,200 reported cases in 2018 to 47,900 cases in 2023. This 69.7% growth rate reflects not only the expanding digital footprint of the population but also an increase in the sophistication and automation of cyberattacks. Time-series decomposition further indicated that the upward trend remained consistent across all quarters, with notable spikes following major national events such as online

shopping festivals and political campaigns—periods typically associated with heightened phishing and social engineering activities.

Disaggregation of case categories demonstrated that online fraud accounted for the largest proportion at 43%, dominated primarily by e-commerce scams, investment fraud, and phishing-based credential theft. Identity theft comprised 22% of cases, frequently linked to SIM card hijacking, unauthorized digital banking access, and compromised government ID databases. Cyber harassment contributed 17%, reflecting rising misuse of social media platforms. Meanwhile, ransomware attacks (10%) and data breaches (8%)—although smaller in proportion—showed the fastest year-on-year growth, driven by the adoption of ransomware-as-a-service (RaaS) and the exploitation of unpatched enterprise systems.

Following the implementation of integrated digital policing systems in early 2021, significant operational improvements were observed. Average case-handling time decreased from 42 days to 29 days, representing a 31% improvement. This reduction was strongly associated with the transition from fragmented manual procedures to automated reporting platforms, centralized evidence repositories, and standardized forensic workflows. These efficiency gains were further supported by the adoption of automated triage tools capable of prioritizing high-severity cases based on risk scores derived from machine-learning classification models.

Forensic evidence retrieval accuracy increased from 58% to 85% after the integration of modern forensic toolkits and cloud-based imaging systems, marking a 27% improvement. This metric, defined as the successful extraction of non-corrupted, timestamp-verifiable data from compromised devices, was particularly influenced by the introduction of memory forensics and volatile data acquisition techniques. Regression analyses confirmed that digital forensic capacity—measured through equipment availability, tool compatibility, and investigator expertise—was a strong and statistically significant predictor of investigation success rates ( $p < 0.01$ ). Areas equipped with advanced forensic hardware, such as write-blockers, high-speed imaging systems, and endpoint detection agents, consistently demonstrated superior case resolution outcomes.

Qualitative data reinforced these findings. Cyber investigators reported

enhanced efficiency following the deployment of automated threat-detection tools. AI-based anomaly detection enabled the identification of suspicious activity patterns—such as unusual login sequences, lateral movement attempts, and repeated failed authentication—reducing manual log review workload by an estimated 35%. Centralized digital evidence platforms were also cited as a major improvement, allowing investigators to access synchronized datasets, metadata, and cross-case linkages through secure cloud-based dashboards. Interdepartmental coordination showed marked progress, particularly in investigations requiring cross-jurisdictional data requests or cooperation with financial intelligence units (FIUs).

Despite these advancements, multiple barriers persisted. Investigators in regional offices reported limited access to high-end forensic equipment, often relying on outdated or incompatible tools that hindered extraction of encrypted or cloud-stored evidence. Cooperation with private technology vendors—especially foreign-based digital service providers—remained slow due to lengthy compliance procedures, jurisdictional conflicts, and privacy-related constraints on data sharing. Legal frameworks governing international digital evidence exchange were also frequently described as outdated, leading to delays in obtaining critical information such as IP logs, account metadata, and transaction history.

IT specialists noted the rapid evolution of cyber threats and emphasized the need for continuous training. Many investigators lacked updated competencies in areas such as malware reverse engineering, blockchain forensics, memory analysis, and network traffic inspection. Additionally, standardized operating procedures for emerging categories of cybercrime—such as deepfake extortion, QR code fraud, and zero-day exploitation—remained limited in scope and lacked uniform implementation.

Victim perspectives revealed another dimension of the challenge. Although reporting mechanisms had improved significantly, many individuals did not understand basic digital evidence preservation techniques. As a result, crucial artefacts—such as session logs, malicious URLs, or chat histories—were often deleted or overwritten before the investigation began. This loss of primary evidence frequently weakened cases or prolonged the verification process, underscoring the need for public

education campaigns focused on essential digital safety practices.

The findings are strongly aligned with global research asserting that digital policing enhances cybercrime response efficiency. Studies conducted in the EU, the United States, and East Asia similarly highlight that integrated digital policing frameworks reduce incident-handling time, improve accuracy in forensic reconstruction, and increase prosecution success rates. The synergy between digital tools, machine-learning analytics, automated workflows, and interagency cooperation forms a robust cybercrime response ecosystem capable of handling high-volume, high-complexity digital incidents.

Nonetheless, the research also underscores several enduring challenges. Unequal distribution of cyber investigation resources across regions continues to create disparities in case outcomes, with metropolitan areas demonstrating far higher clearance rates than rural districts. Legal and procedural gaps—particularly concerning mutual legal assistance treaties (MLATs), data retention obligations, and cross-border digital evidence authentication—remain major constraints in pursuing transnational cybercriminals. Ethical issues, including concerns over data privacy, warrant transparency, and the potential misuse of digital surveillance tools, require strengthened oversight and the establishment of clear accountability mechanisms.

In this context, the sustainability of digital policing advancements relies on a multi-pronged strategy. Public awareness campaigns must be expanded to improve reporting accuracy and digital evidence preservation. Cyber legislation must be updated to align with international standards on data governance, encryption policies, and cross-border cooperation. Finally, multi-sector partnerships—including collaboration with ISPs, financial institutions, cybersecurity companies, and international law enforcement—are essential to build a resilient and adaptive national cyber defense infrastructure.

## D. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that integrated digital policing systems significantly improve cybercrime response capabilities by enhancing evidence retrieval accuracy, accelerating case resolution, and strengthening



cross-departmental coordination. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of these systems depends on adequate technological infrastructure, continuous investigator training, and robust legal frameworks that support digital evidence handling. The study contributes to scientific discourse by demonstrating how data-driven policing can be applied to cybercrime contexts and provides policy recommendations for improving national cyber resilience.

## E. REFERENCES

- Holt, T. (2019). Cybercrime Policing Frameworks in the Digital Age. *Journal of Digital Security*, 11(2), 78–102.
- Wall, D. (2020). Policing Cybercrime: Challenges and Strategies. *Policing & Society*, 30(3), 266–283.
- Bossler, A., & Holt, T. (2018). Cybercrime Investigations: Techniques and Tools. *Criminology Review*, 21(1), 33–50.
- Leukfeldt, R. (2019). Transnational Cyber Offending Networks. *European Journal of Criminology*, 16(3), 237–256.
- Williams, P. (2020). Digital Evidence Management Systems. *International Journal of Forensic Computing*, 18(4), 120–137.
- Casey, E. (2019). Advances in Cyber Forensics. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 64(5), 1332–1348.
- Anderson, R. (2018). Measuring Global Cybercrime Impact. *Cybersecurity Review*, 4(1), 21–39.
- Baptista, G. (2021). AI-Assisted Threat Detection in Policing. *Security Technology Journal*, 12(2), 94–117.
- Kruse, W., & Heiser, J. (2018). Computer Forensics: Principles and Practices. *TechLaw Review*, 13(1), 50–68.
- McGuire, M. (2020). The Growth of Cybercrime Markets. *Digital Crime Studies*, 9(3), 211–230.
- Holtfreter, K. (2022). Online Fraud and Victimization Trends. *Journal of Online Safety*, 7(1), 55–76.
- Romanosky, S. (2020). Data Breaches and Law Enforcement. *Information Security Journal*, 24(4), 189–208.
- Maimon, D. (2019). Cyber Offender Decision-Making. *Journal of Criminal Psychology*, 8(2), 88–103.
- Grabosky, P. (2020). Cyber Policing Ethics. *Journal of Digital Governance*, 5(1), 14–29.
- Lavorgna, A. (2021). Dark Web Crime Dynamics. *Crime Science*, 10(1), 1–14.