UNNES POLITICAL SCIENCE JOURNAL
https://journal.unnes.ac.id/journals/upsj

The BRICS+ Expansion, Global Trade Dynamics, and the Dedollarization Phenomenon

Brice Tseen Fu Lee*[1], Juan Pablo Sims[2]

[1] *Fudan University, School of International Relations and Public Affairs, China ; Universidad Del Desarrollo, Faculty of Government, Chile*

[2] *Universidad Del Desarrollo, Faculty of Government, Chile ; Fudan University, School of International Relations and Public Affairs, China*

Article Info

Article History
Submitted 06 May 2024
Revised 08 May 2024
Accepted 12 June 2024

Keywords
BRICS+, dedollarization, economic autonomy, geopolitical strategies

Abstract

This paper delves into the transformative role of the expanded BRICS bloc, referred to as BRICS+, in the evolving global economic landscape and its implications for dedollarization. The inclusion of nations such as Ethiopia, Saudi Arabia, Iran, Egypt, and the UAE diversifies the bloc's economic and geopolitical reach, extending its influence into new regions like Africa and the Middle East. The study underscores the potential of BRICS+ as a catalyst in the dedollarization narrative, driven by the desire for economic autonomy, geopolitical strategies, and hedging against U.S. dollar volatility. The analysis further explores the intricate relationship between the BRICS+ expansion, global trade dynamics, and dedollarization. The paper concludes by emphasizing the potential of the BRICS+ bloc to reshape global trade dynamics, influence trade policies, establish new trade routes, and foster regional connectivity, all while advancing the agenda of a more diversified and multipolar economic world order.

** E-mail: briceleetseenfu@gmail.com;*
Address: 220 Handan Rd, Yang Pu Qu, Shang Hai Shi, Tiongkok, 200437

P-ISSN : 2549-0737 E-ISSN : 2621-6272

DOI 10.15294/upsj.v8i1.4432

INTRODUCTION

In the ever-evolving landscape of global economics and geopolitics, few developments have garnered as much attention as the rise and expansion of the BRICS bloc. Originally comprising Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa, this coalition of emerging economies has come to symbolize the shifting centers of economic power in the 21st century. With the recent inclusion of nations such as Ethiopia, Saudi Arabia, Iran, Egypt, and the UAE, the bloc, now aptly termed BRICS+, has further solidified its position as a formidable force in the global economic arena.

This expansion is not merely a matter of increased numbers; it represents a strategic diversification into new regions, each bringing its unique economic strengths and geopolitical nuances. From the vast resources of Africa to the strategic importance of the Middle East, the BRICS+ bloc is weaving a tapestry of interconnected economies and political alliances. Central to this discourse is the phenomenon of dedollarization, a trend that seeks to reduce the world's reliance on the U.S. dollar in international transactions and reserves. As BRICS+ nations continue to grow in economic stature and influence, their collective endeavors have the potential to challenge the traditional dollar-centric system, paving the way for a more multipolar and inclusive global economic order.

This paper aims to delve into the intricacies of the BRICS+ expansion, its implications for global trade, and its intertwined relationship with the dedollarization narrative. Through a comprehensive exploration of these themes, we seek to provide an academic perspective on the changing dynamics of international trade and finance in the contemporary world. While there exists a substantial body of literature on the individual trajectories of BRICS nations and the phenomenon of dedollarization, there is a noticeable gap when it comes to understanding the confluence of these two significant developments. The expansion of the BRICS bloc to include new members from diverse regions presents a unique scenario that has not been extensively explored in academic circles.

1. **Regional Integration and Economic Dynamics:** While many studies have delved into the economic growth and policies of individual BRICS nations, there is limited literature that comprehensively examines the economic synergies and challenges that arise from the integration of new members like Ethiopia, and the UAE. How do these nations complement the existing BRICS framework, and what are the potential economic frictions?
2. **Dedollarization in the Context of BRICS+:** Dedollarization, as a standalone topic, has been the subject of numerous studies. However, the role of an expanded BRICS in accelerating or shaping this trend remains underexplored. How does the economic might of BRICS+ influence global currency dynamics? What are the potential pathways through which BRICS+ could drive dedollarization?
3. **Geopolitical Implications:** The geopolitical implications of BRICS expansion, especially in the context of dedollarization, is another area that warrants deeper investigation. While individual geopolitical strategies of BRICS nations are well-documented, the collective geopolitical stance of BRICS+ in a dedollarized world remains a relatively uncharted territory.
4. **Digital Innovations and Currency Dynamics:** The rise of digital currencies and their potential role in international trade and finance is a burgeoning area of study. However, the stance and strategies of BRICS+ nations in leveraging digital innovations for dedollarization are not adequately covered in existing literature.

In essence, while the individual trajectories of BRICS nations and dedollarization have been the focus of many academic endeavors, the intersection of these trajectories, especially in the context of an expanded BRICS, presents a fertile ground for future research. Addressing this literature gap can provide valuable insights into the future of global economics and geopolitics.

METHODS

This study employs a mixed methodology, intertwining a comprehensive literature review with comparative analysis to explore the expanded BRICS bloc's role in the evolving global economic landscape and its implications for dedollarization. The research begins with a detailed literature review aiming to establish a solid theoretical foundation. A systematic search of electronic databases such as PubMed, JSTOR, Google Scholar, and field-specific journals will be conducted using keywords like "BRICS+", "dedollarization", "economic autonomy", and "geopolitical strategies". Articles selected will meet predefined inclusion criteria, primarily focusing on those published within the last decade to ensure relevance to the current economic conditions.

Following the literature review, the study will employ comparative analysis to scrutinize the economic and geopolitical attributes of new and existing BRICS members, notably Ethiopia, Saudi Arabia, Iran, Egypt, and the UAE. This analysis will assess their individual and collective impact on global trade dynamics and the dedollarization process. It will involve comparing trade policies, economic outputs, and geopolitical strategies before and after their inclusion in the bloc. Furthermore, statistical tools and economic indicators will be used to analyze trade volume shifts and currency usage changes over time, aiming to correlate these with significant political and economic events.

The combined methodologies will allow for a nuanced understanding of how the BRICS+ expansion influences global trade and economic structures, thus contributing to a multipolar economic world order. The outcomes will be discussed in light of existing theories on economic integration and geopolitical strategy, bridging gaps identified in the literature and proposing areas for future research.

Results and Discussion

The Historical Role of the USD in Global Trade

Post-WWII Economic Order: Bretton Woods System and the Rise of the USD

In the wake of World War II, the international community sought to create a new economic order that would prevent the kind of economic chaos and protectionism that had contributed to global conflicts. The result was the Bretton Woods Conference of 1944, which birthed an international monetary system designed to foster stability and cooperation (Eichengreen, 2021). At the heart of this system was the U.S. dollar (USD), pegged to gold at a fixed rate of $35 per ounce. This pegging mechanism effectively elevated the USD to the status of the world's primary reserve currency, with other major currencies maintaining fixed exchange rates relative to the dollar. The Bretton Woods system was characterized by its emphasis on capital controls, financial regulation, and the U.S.'s unparalleled economic and financial dominance in the post-war era (Eichengreen, 2021). However, by the early 1970s, mounting U.S. inflation and growing international imbalances strained the system, leading to its eventual dissolution in 1973 (Bordo, 2018).

USD as the World's Reserve Currency: Implications and Challenges

The Bretton Woods system's legacy was the entrenched role of the USD as the world's dominant reserve currency. This position offered the U.S. distinct advantages, such as the privilege of borrowing at lower costs and maintaining consistent current account deficits without facing the typical economic repercussions. Globally, the USD became the linchpin of international trade and investment, offering a universally accepted and stable medium of exchange. Yet, this system was not without its challenges. The "Triffin Dilemma" underscored a fundamental tension: the U.S., by providing the world's reserve currency, faced a conflict between its national monetary policy and the international demand for dollars (Maes, 2012). Additionally, global economic stability became increasingly tethered to U.S. monetary policy, amplifying the effects of its decisions on worldwide liquidity and trade dynamics.

Dedollarization: Historical Endeavors and Implications

The concept of dedollarization, which entails diminishing the USD's dominance in international trade and finance, has historical roots. Various nations and economic blocs have, at different times, sought to reduce their dependency on the dollar-centric system. A notable example is France's decision between 1965 and 1968 to convert significant portions of its dollar reserves into gold, a move that exerted pressure on the Bretton Woods system and its gold peg (Bordo et al., 1994). The subsequent emergence of the Revived Bretton Woods system, marked by the U.S. receiving goods as collateral, indicated the persistent dominance of the dollar, albeit under evolved dynamics (Dooley & Garber, 2005). However, as posited by Submitter et al (2011), this system remains susceptible to various destabilizing factors, such as asset bubbles and global financial disruptions.

In the broader context, the dedollarization discourse is intrinsically linked to the quest for a more multipolar global economic order, where no single currency's dominance dictates the terms of international trade and finance. As the BRICS nations and other emerging economies continue to grow in influence, the conversation around dedollarization and the creation of alternative reserve currencies will undoubtedly gain momentum.

BRICS: Formation, Evolution, and Expansion

Origins and Early Years: The Evolution from BRIC to BRICS

The formation of the BRICS bloc traces its roots to the early 21st century, when the global economic landscape was undergoing significant shifts. Initially conceptualized as BRIC, this grouping was an acronym introduced by Jim O'Neill of Goldman Sachs in 2001, encapsulating four emerging economies: Brazil, Russia, India, and China. O'Neill's projection was predicated on the belief that these nations, by virtue of their rapidly expanding economies, vast demographic profiles, and increasing influence in global trade, were poised to become dominant forces in the global economy, particularly in the spheres of manufacturing, services, and raw material supply. The narrative surrounding these countries was further solidified in 2010 with the inclusion of South Africa, thereby transforming the acronym from BRIC to BRICS. This inclusion not only expanded the bloc's geographical reach but also emphasized the group's commitment to fostering cooperation among major emerging economies (Hooijmaaijers, 2021).

Economic and Geopolitical Significance: A Retrospective and Contemporary Analysis

The ascent of the BRICS nations on the global stage is underscored by their remarkable economic trajectories and their evolving roles in shaping global geopolitics. By the dawn of the 21st century, these countries collectively encompassed approximately 40% of the global population and were responsible for an estimated quarter of the world's GDP. Their economic dynamism was further highlighted by the fact that their aggregate GDP growth consistently surpassed that of the more established G7 nations, underscoring the shifting epicenters of global economic power (Song et al., 2013).

Yet, the influence of the BRICS extends beyond mere economic metrics. On the geopolitical front, these nations have embarked on initiatives aimed at recalibrating global governance structures to better reflect the realities of the 21st century. A case in point is the establishment of the New Development Bank, envisioned as an alternative to the Western-centric financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund. This endeavor underscores the BRICS nations' aspirations to exert greater influence in global financial and economic decision-making processes, challenging the traditional hegemonies (Hooijmaaijers, 2021).

However, a nuanced understanding of the BRICS bloc necessitates recognizing the inherent diversities within the group. While they have demonstrated unity in certain strategic areas, the BRICS nations often exhibit distinct national interests and geopolitical agendas. This divergence is reflective of their unique historical, cultural, and political contexts, underscoring the complexity of forging cohesive strategies within such a diverse coalition (Tediosi et al., 2016).

New Members: An Overview

Profiles of New Members: Saudi Arabia, Iran, Ethiopia, Egypt and the UAE

Saudi Arabia: Renowned as one of the world's leading oil producers, Saudi Arabia's economic landscape has been predominantly shaped by its vast oil reserves. The intricate relationship between oil and the Saudi economy is characterized by nonlinearity and threshold effects, suggesting that the oil sector's contribution to the nation's economic growth is multifaceted and not strictly linear (Jawadi & Ftiti, 2019). Recognizing the vulnerabilities associated with over-reliance on oil, the Saudi leadership has embarked on ambitious initiatives, such as the Vision 2030 plan, aiming to diversify the economy, stimulate non-oil sectors, and foster innovation and entrepreneurship (Waheed et al., 2020).

Iran: Situated at the crossroads of the Middle East, Iran's geopolitical stature is amplified by its historical narratives and its often-contentious interactions with regional and global actors. The nation's strategic importance is further accentuated by its vast energy reserves and its role in regional geopolitics. Notably, Iran's economic and political dynamics have been significantly influenced by international sanctions and its longstanding tensions with nations like the U.S. and Saudi Arabia (Bouoiyour et al., 2019).

Ethiopia: As one of Africa's fastest-growing economies, Ethiopia has witnessed remarkable economic transformations in recent decades. With a GDP surpassing $156 billion, the nation's growth trajectory has been accompanied by significant strides in socio-economic indicators, including marked reductions in childhood undernutrition, underscoring the tangible benefits of its economic progress (Biadgilign et al., 2016). Central to Ethiopia's growth narrative is its agricultural sector, which remains a pivotal driver of its economic expansion and poverty alleviation efforts (Diao & Pratt, 2007).

Egypt: Egypt's historical legacy and strategic geographical positioning render it an influential actor in the Middle East and North Africa. Beyond its cultural and historical significance, Egypt's economic dynamics offer insights into the interplay between state intervention and economic development, particularly in the context of the broader MENA region (Shachmurove, 2004).

UAE: Over the past few decades, the United Arab Emirates has metamorphosed from a quiet desert nation to a bustling global nexus for trade, finance, and tourism. Cities like Dubai and Abu Dhabi have become synonymous with luxury, innovation, and global connectivity. The UAE's economic fabric, characterized by its diversification efforts and its push towards creating a knowledge-based economy, suggests a dynamic market structure that is both competitive and conducive for business (Al-Muharrami et al., 2006).

Collective Impact: Augmenting the Power and Influence of BRICS+ in the Context of Dedollarization

The strategic expansion of the BRICS bloc, with the inclusion of six diverse and influential nations, represents a profound recalibration of the global economic and geopolitical order. Each of these nations, with their unique strengths and assets, contributes to a collective synergy that magnifies the bloc's global stature.

Saudi Arabia and the **UAE**, with their vast oil reserves, not only bolster the energy security of the bloc but also introduce a potential shift in global energy trade dynamics. As major oil exporters, their trading decisions, particularly in the context of currency preferences, could influence global oil markets. A move away from the dollar in their oil transactions could set a precedent for other oil-exporting nations, thereby contributing to the broader dedollarization narrative.

Egypt, with its control over the Suez Canal, holds a pivotal position in global trade routes. Any shift in Egypt's trade policies or its currency preferences could have cascading effects on global trade, especially given the volume of trade that passes through the canal. Its inclusion in BRICS could potentially introduce new trade dynamics, especially if the bloc collectively seeks alternatives to the dollar-dominated global trade system.

Iran, despite its rich energy reserves, has been at the periphery of the global economic system due to sanctions. Its inclusion in BRICS could pave the way for new trade and investment channels, potentially bypassing the traditional dollar-based systems. This could further the dedollarization agenda by introducing new mechanisms and platforms for international trade and finance.

Ethiopia, while not major global economic powerhouses, bring to the table vast agricultural resources and regional influence. Their trade decisions, especially in the context of agricultural exports, could influence regional trade dynamics and contribute to the broader shift away from a dollar-centric global trade system.

In essence, the collective impact of these new members transcends their individual economic metrics. Their inclusion in BRICS signifies a strategic move to challenge the existing global economic order, dominated by Western institutions and the U.S. dollar. As the bloc grows in influence and seeks to establish alternative mechanisms for global trade and finance, the momentum towards dedollarization could gain significant traction. This evolving landscape underscores the potential of BRICS to not only reshape global economic dynamics but also to challenge the very foundations of the current dollar-dominated system.

BRICS+: A New Economic Powerhouse

The expansion of the BRICS bloc to include new members has further solidified its position as a formidable economic powerhouse on the global stage. This section delves into the combined economic power of BRICS+ and compares it with other major blocs, such as the G7 and ASEAN.

Combined Economic Power and its Implications for Dedollarization

The expanded BRICS+ configuration, with its new member states, has emerged as an economic behemoth in the global arena. Representing an aggregate GDP of approximately $30.76 trillion, the bloc now commands nearly 30% of the world's economic output. But beyond these staggering numbers, the bloc's influence is further magnified when one considers its other dimensions: 33.4% of global GDP, a staggering 42% of the global populace, a quarter of worldwide investments, and 18% of the global trade in goods. These statistics not only underscore the economic might of the BRICS+ nations but also hint at their potential to reshape global economic paradigms (Mohanty & Sethi, 2019).

In the context of dedollarization, the combined economic power of the BRICS+ bloc holds profound implications. As these nations continue to grow and integrate their economies, their collective bargaining power in international trade and finance is set to increase. This enhanced clout could be leveraged to challenge the prevailing dollar-centric global financial system. For instance, the bloc, given its significant share in global trade, could promote the use of alternative currencies for trade settlements among its members, thereby reducing their reliance on the U.S. dollar. Moreover, the sheer size of their combined economies means that any coordinated policy shifts or financial mechanisms introduced by the BRICS+ nations could have ripple effects across the global economy. If the bloc were to collectively move towards establishing alternative payment systems or reserve currencies, it could catalyze a broader shift away from the dollar in international transactions.

In essence, the economic might of the BRICS+ bloc, when viewed through the lens of dedollarization, represents a potential tectonic shift in the global financial landscape. Their combined economic power not only provides them with the tools to challenge the existing order but also offers them the opportunity to lay the foundation for a new global economic paradigm, one that is less reliant on the U.S. dollar.

Comparison with Other Major Blocs: A Nuanced Examination of Economic Powerhouses and Implications for Dedollarization

G7: The Group of Seven (G7) represents an alliance of the world's most industrialized economies, historically wielding considerable influence over global economic policies and directions. While the G7 nations have consistently showcased high total-factor energy efficiency indices, with each member scoring above 95%, the BRICS nations present a contrasting picture. Brazil, for instance, emerges as the frontrunner among the BRICS in terms of this efficiency metric (Camioto et al., 2016). This distinction underscores the inherent differences in developmental trajectories and economic structures between the two blocs. While the G7's aggregate GDP remains formidable, the meteoric rise and expanding clout of the BRICS+ nations indicate a dynamic shift in the global economic equilibrium. Moreover, the G7's historical reliance on the U.S. dollar for trade and reserves contrasts with the potential of BRICS+ to explore alternatives, thereby influencing the dedollarization discourse.

ASEAN: The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) stands as a testament to regional integration and cooperation in the Asia-Pacific realm. Although its collective GDP might not rival that of the expanded BRICS+, ASEAN's geostrategic positioning, coupled with its concerted efforts towards economic integration, renders it a pivotal actor in global trade networks. However, the BRICS+ bloc, with its vast geographical spread, diverse economies, and sheer population size, possesses a unique leverage in the global arena. This distinction is not merely quantitative but also qualitative, as the BRICS+ nations bring to the table varied economic models, resources, and developmental experiences.

Implications for Dedollarization: The emergence and consolidation of BRICS+ as a formidable economic bloc have profound implications for the global financial order, particularly in the context of dedollarization. While traditional powerhouses like the G7 have been entrenched in the dollar-centric system, the diverse and expansive nature of BRICS+ offers an opportunity to challenge and potentially recalibrate this order. The bloc's collective economic might, combined with the strategic interests of its members, could drive initiatives to reduce reliance on the U.S. dollar, be it through promoting intra-bloc trade in local currencies or exploring alternative reserve assets. In contrast, while ASEAN's integration efforts are commendable, its scale and scope might not provide the same level of influence over global currency dynamics as BRICS+.

Therefore, the expanded BRICS+ configuration, with its eclectic mix of economies and strategic interests, is uniquely positioned to influence the future trajectory of the global financial system. Its potential to act as a counterweight to established Western economic blocs, especially in the realm of currency usage and reserves, could catalyze broader shifts towards dedollarization.

BRICS+ and the Future of Global Trade: Implications for Dedollarization

The BRICS+ group, augmented by its recent inclusions, is poised to play a transformative role in the global economic landscape. Their combined strength and strategic orientations can significantly influence international trade's trajectory. This section offers a comprehensive exploration of the internal trade dynamics of the BRICS+ nations, their burgeoning role in global trade policy-making, and the potential new directions they might set for global trade.

Trade Dynamics within BRICS+: Trade interactions within the BRICS+ nations present a nuanced blend of cooperation and competition. As highlighted by Chen & Lombaerde (2014), the BRICs nations, foundational members of the BRICS+ group, are progressively expanding their global trade horizons. This trend not only emphasizes their escalating influence in international trade but also their potential to establish new trade benchmarks. Tian et al. (2020) further accentuate this by observing the rising global sourcing trends among these nations, reflecting a strategic intent to diversify trade partnerships and mitigate dependencies.

BRICS+' Role in Global Trade Policies: Given their substantial economic clout, the BRICS+ nations hold the potential to mold the conventions and standards of global trade. Collectively, they can influence negotiations and outcomes on major international platforms, such as the World Trade Organization (WTO). Felbermayr & Kohler (2004) and Kohl (2017) have emphasized the advantages of WTO membership, suggesting that nations within such agreements often experience enhanced trade collaborations. As pivotal members of the WTO, the BRICS+ nations can steer discussions and craft agreements that resonate with their collective aspirations. Yu (2014) adds depth to this perspective by noting the proactive stances these countries often adopt in trade, primarily to protect their domestic sectors and secure favorable trade conditions.

Implications for Dedollarization: The ascent of BRICS+ in the global trade domain is intrinsically linked to the dedollarization discourse. As these nations fortify their stance in global trade, they can contemplate alternatives to the U.S. dollar for trade settlements. This could lead to increased intra-BRICS+ trade transactions in local currencies or even the exploration of other universally accepted assets. Such a transition not only diminishes the reliance on the U.S. dollar but also sets the stage for a more diverse and robust global currency framework. The strategic maneuvers of the BRICS+ nations in this context could potentially trigger a wider global shift towards dedollarization, redefining the dynamics of international finance and trade.

Potential for New Trade Routes and Hubs: Implications for Dedollarization

The evolving landscape of global trade, under the influence of the BRICS+ nations, is marked by the emergence of innovative trade routes and hubs. These developments not only reshape the dynamics of international commerce but also have profound implications for the process of dedollarization.

The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) and its Significance: China's ambitious Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) stands as a testament to the transformative potential of the BRICS+ nations in global trade. Envisioned as a vast intercontinental infrastructure and trade project, the BRI seeks to revitalize the ancient Silk Road, enhancing connectivity across Asia, Europe, and Africa. This intricate network, comprising railways, roads, pipelines, and utility grids, aims to streamline trade and foster economic synergies among participating nations. Beyond its immediate economic objectives, the BRI represents a strategic move to diversify trade routes, potentially reducing the dominance of dollar-denominated transactions by promoting multi-currency trade settlements, thereby indirectly contributing to dedollarization (Sims et al., 2023).

BRICS+ and African Connectivity: The strategic positioning and economic ambitions of the BRICS+ nations position them as key players in bolstering African connectivity. Their sustained investments in African infrastructure, coupled with a series of trade agreements and economic collaborations, signal a concerted effort to integrate Africa more deeply into the global trade matrix. Such endeavors not only elevate Africa's role in international commerce but also offer opportunities for trade settlements beyond the U.S. dollar, furthering the dedollarization agenda (Taylor, 2013).

BRICS+ and Middle East Connectivity: The Middle East, with its strategic location and vast energy reserves, holds immense significance for the BRICS+ nations. The inclusion of countries like Saudi Arabia, Iran, and the UAE into the BRICS+ bloc further amplifies this relationship. BRICS+ nations, especially China and Russia, have been deepening their ties with Middle Eastern countries through energy agreements, infrastructure projects, and strategic partnerships. For instance, China's investments in ports across the Middle East, coupled with energy agreements with Saudi Arabia and Iran, reflect its intent to foster a multi-dimensional partnership with the region (Yetiv & Lu, 2007). These engagements, while enhancing the Middle East's role in global trade, also pave the way for diversified trade settlements, potentially reducing the dominance of dollar-denominated transactions.

Implications

The expanded BRICS+ bloc, with its formidable economic prowess and clear strategic vision, is poised to reshape the very fabric of global trade. Their endeavors, which span from ambitious infrastructure projects like the BRI to deepened engagements in regions like the Middle East, and Africa, highlight their commitment to fostering a more interconnected and inclusive global trade landscape.

This commitment is not merely about economic expansion; it's also about diversifying the mechanisms of global trade. As BRICS+ nations intensify their trade and investment ties, especially with the Middle East, they are also exploring alternatives to the U.S. dollar for trade settlements. The potential for increased trade transactions in local currencies, or even the exploration of other universally accepted assets, signifies a strategic move away from a dollar-centric system.

Such a transition, driven by the strategic maneuvers of the BRICS+ nations, not only reduces global reliance on the U.S. dollar but also heralds the emergence of a more diverse and resilient global currency framework. This evolution, intrinsically linked to the dedollarization narrative, has the potential to redefine the dynamics of international finance and trade, setting the stage for a more balanced and equitable global economic order.

The Changing Landscape of Global Trade

The global trade landscape is undergoing a profound transformation, driven by technological advancements, shifting geopolitical dynamics, and evolving economic paradigms. This section delves into the emerging trends shaping this landscape, the shifts in trade alliances, and the pivotal role of technology in redefining trade practices.

Emerging Trends in Global Trade: An Academic Perspective

Digital Trade: In the contemporary era, the proliferation of digital technologies has catalyzed a transformative shift in global trade dynamics. Digital trade, encompassing the cross-border exchange of services, data, and digital products, is rapidly becoming a cornerstone of international commerce. As Di et al. (2022) mentions, the burgeoning proportion of digital trade underscores its pivotal role in the sustainable development trajectories of nations. This evolution necessitates a reevaluation of trade policies, regulations, and frameworks to accommodate and foster the growth of digital trade.

Sustainability in Trade Practices: The global discourse on environmental conservation and sustainability has permeated the realm of international trade. Today, the emphasis is not merely on trading environmentally benign goods and services but extends to the entire value chain, encompassing sustainable sourcing, production, and distribution processes. This shift reflects a broader global commitment to achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and ensuring that trade practices align with environmental and social imperatives.

Regionalism in Trade Dynamics: The global trade landscape is witnessing a discernible trend towards regionalism, characterized by the formation and strengthening of regional trade agreements and blocs. As Feenstra (1992) states, burgeoning free trade areas in regions such as Europe, North America, and Asia underscore the potential economic synergies derived from enhanced intra-regional trade. However, this trend also necessitates a vigilant examination of the potential protectionist undertones that might emerge within these regional blocs, potentially undermining broader global trade objectives.

Protectionism: A Persistent Challenge: Notwithstanding the concerted efforts of multilateral institutions like the World Trade Organization (WTO) to foster open and equitable trade, protectionist tendencies persist in the global trade arena. As Osabuohien et al. (2018) highlight, these protectionist measures are often underpinned by a complex interplay of socio-economic determinants and institutional dynamics. Such measures, while ostensibly aimed at safeguarding domestic industries, can inadvertently stymie global trade growth and impede economic integration.

The emerging trends in global trade, from the digital revolution to the emphasis on sustainability and regionalism, are not merely isolated phenomena; they collectively signal a transformative shift in the very architecture of global commerce. Central to this transformation is the evolving discourse on dedollarization. Digital trade, with its borderless nature, challenges the traditional financial systems that have long been dominated by the U.S. dollar. As nations increasingly engage in digital transactions, the need for a diversified currency framework becomes evident. The rise of digital currencies and blockchain technologies offers an alternative to the dollar-centric system, potentially accelerating the dedollarization process.

Sustainability, while primarily an environmental concern, also has economic ramifications. Sustainable trade practices might necessitate new financing mechanisms and instruments that are not solely reliant on the U.S. dollar. For instance, green bonds or sustainable development bonds issued in local or regional currencies can further the dedollarization narrative. Regionalism, with its emphasis on intra-regional trade, can also catalyze dedollarization. As regions strengthen their economic ties, there's potential for increased use of local currencies in trade settlements. This not only reduces the reliance on the U.S. dollar but also fosters economic resilience within the region. Lastly, protectionist tendencies, while often viewed as barriers to free trade, can inadvertently push countries to explore alternatives to the U.S. dollar, especially if such measures are perceived as a response to dollar dominance.

In conclusion, the emerging trends in global trade underscore the interconnectedness of the global economy and highlight the need for a more diversified and resilient financial system. Dedollarization, in this context, is not merely a reactive measure but a strategic response to the evolving demands and complexities of global trade. As nations navigate these shifts, dedollarization emerges as a pivotal mechanism to ensure that global trade remains robust, equitable, and reflective of the diverse needs of the 21st-century economy.

Shifts in Trade Alliances

Trade alliances have historically been anchored in transatlantic partnerships, reflecting the economic and geopolitical dominance of Western nations. However, the global trade landscape is undergoing a paradigm shift, with a pronounced tilt towards transpacific and other regional alliances. This transition is not merely a function of the ascendance of Asian economies but is also emblematic of the intricate geopolitical recalibrations and evolving economic strategies. As regions increasingly engage in trade, their economic interdependence grows, as evidenced by the rising proportion of regional GDP traded globally (Poon, 1997).

Concurrently, technological advancements are reshaping the contours of global commerce:

- **E-commerce:** Platforms have democratized access to global markets, enabling even micro-enterprises to participate in international trade. This digital transformation of trade is not just limited to retail but extends to the very fabric of global supply chains.
- **Blockchain:** This technology, with its promise of transparency and efficiency, has the potential to revolutionize trade practices. By ensuring traceability and authenticity, blockchain can instill greater trust in international transactions.
- **Digital Currencies:** The rise of digital currencies holds profound implications for dedollarization. As these currencies gain traction, they offer an alternative to the traditional dollar-centric financial system. Their potential to streamline cross-border transactions, reduce costs, and bypass conventional banking systems can catalyze the dedollarization process.

In synthesizing these trends, it becomes evident that the evolving trade alliances and technological innovations are not just reshaping global trade but are also challenging the hegemony of the U.S. dollar in international transactions. The BRICS+ nations, given their economic stature and strategic foresight, are poised to play a pivotal role in this transformation. Their actions and policies in the coming years will not only influence the trajectory of global trade but could also herald a new era of diversified trade settlements, advancing the dedollarization discourse in the global economic arena

Dedollarization: An In-depth Exploration

The global financial landscape is witnessing a phenomenon known as dedollarization, where countries are reducing their reliance on the U.S. dollar (USD) in their international transactions and reserves. This section delves into the driving factors behind this trend, the mechanisms countries are employing to achieve dedollarization, and case studies that highlight the experiences of various nations or blocs in their dedollarization endeavors.

Catalysts Driving Dedollarization

- **Quest for Economic Sovereignty:** At the heart of the dedollarization movement is the aspiration for greater economic sovereignty. Nations are increasingly recognizing the perils of excessive dependence on the USD, which exposes them to the vicissitudes of external economic perturbations and the monetary policy decisions of the U.S. Federal Reserve. Such exposure can precipitate significant economic reverberations, especially for economies with pronounced USD dependencies (Kokenyne et al., 2010).
- **Geopolitical Calculus:** Beyond the economic rationale, dedollarization is also emerging as a strategic instrument in the realm of geopolitics. It encapsulates the dynamics of Great Power politics, where nations seek to sculpt their international engagements and strategic alliances, unencumbered by the overarching dominance of the USD. This is reflective of a broader recalibration in global power dynamics and the quest for multipolarity (Su, 2018).
- **Safeguarding Against USD Fluctuations:** The oscillations in the value of the USD, accentuated during epochs of global economic flux, present pronounced risks, especially for nations burdened with USD-denominated liabilities or those whose trade dynamics are intricately linked to the dollar. Dedollarization emerges as a pragmatic strategy to insulate economies from such vulnerabilities (Wang & Lee, 2022).

Mechanisms Facilitating Dedollarization

- **Adoption of Alternative Currencies:** In a bid to diminish their USD dependence, nations are progressively pivoting towards other major global currencies for their transactions. This includes currencies like the Euro, which has witnessed an ascendance in global finance, the Chinese Yuan, reflecting China's burgeoning economic clout, and the Russian Ruble, especially in regional trade dynamics (Chincarini, 2007).
- **Gold Reserves Augmentation:** Gold, with its time-tested status as a repository of value, is witnessing renewed interest from nations. By augmenting their gold reserves, countries are not only hedging against the vagaries of USD fluctuations but also signaling a tangible step in their dedollarization journey. Empirical analyses underscore gold's efficacy as a stabilizing force against USD rate gyrations (Reboredo, 2013; Wang & Lee, 2022).
- **Digital Financial Instruments:** The digital epoch has ushered in innovative financial instruments that hold promise for dedollarization. Cryptocurrencies, with their decentralized nature, and Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs), backed by sovereign guarantees, are being evaluated for their potential to serve as alternatives to conventional fiat currencies in the realm of international finance (Dyhrberg, 2016).

In synthesizing the above, it becomes noticeable that dedollarization is not a mere economic trend but a confluence of economic imperatives, geopolitical strategies, and technological innovations. The trajectory and implications of this phenomenon warrant meticulous academic scrutiny, especially in the context of the evolving global financial architecture.

Dedollarization: Historical Precedents and Contemporary Manifestations

The phenomenon of dedollarization, while gaining pronounced attention in contemporary economic discourses, is not an unprecedented occurrence in the annals of global financial history. The process of transitioning away from the U.S. dollar (USD) in international transactions, reserves, and as a benchmark currency has been influenced by a confluence of economic imperatives, geopolitical considerations, and regional strategic objectives. This section elucidates select case studies that exemplify the dedollarization trajectory, underscoring its historical antecedents and its manifestations in the current global financial understanding.

Illustrative Cases of Dedollarization

- **Euro Adoption in Eastern Europe:** The post-Cold War era witnessed a transformative phase in Eastern Europe, characterized by political realignments, economic liberalization, and aspirations for integration into the European Union (EU). A salient feature of this integration process was the adoption of the Euro by several Eastern European nations. This transition was not merely symbolic but represented a tangible shift in their monetary policy, reducing their erstwhile reliance on the USD. The adoption of the Euro was underpinned by both economic rationales, such as enhanced trade integration and financial stability, and geopolitical considerations, signifying their alignment with the broader European economic and political architecture (Bergsten, 1999).
- **China's Endeavors for Yuan Internationalization:** China's meteoric rise as a global economic powerhouse has been accompanied by its strategic initiatives to elevate the status of its currency, the Yuan (or Renminbi), in international finance. This endeavor is not merely an economic strategy but is emblematic of China's aspirations to reshape the global financial order. Within the ambit of the Belt and Road Initiative and its expansive trade networks, China has been fostering the use of the Yuan, thereby incrementally reducing the dominance of the USD in its international trade and financial transactions (Liao & McDowell, 2014; Sims et al., 2023).
- **Russia's Dedollarization Blueprint:** Russia's geopolitical landscape, especially in the 21st century, has been punctuated by sanctions, diplomatic tensions, and evolving global alignments. In this context, Russia has embarked on a systematic dedollarization strategy, aimed at insulating its economy from external shocks and promoting the Ruble's

prominence in its trade dynamics. This initiative is both a response to external pressures and a manifestation of Russia's vision for a multipolar global financial system, where the USD's hegemony is counterbalanced by other major currencies (Smutka et al., 2021).

Concluding Reflections: The dedollarization narrative, while gaining momentum in recent years, has historical antecedents that offer valuable insights into its complexities and implications. It is emblematic of the evolving global financial architecture, where nations, driven by a blend of economic objectives and geopolitical strategies, seek to recalibrate their monetary policies and international financial engagements. As the global economic order continues its inexorable evolution, dedollarization emerges as a testament to the dynamic interplay of economic forces, national interests, and global power dynamics.

Relationship Between BRICS Expansion, Global Trade, and Dedollarization

The BRICS+ group, which has expanded with the inclusion of new member countries, is poised to have a transformative impact on the global economic scene. This exploration seeks to understand the intricate relationship between the growth trajectory of BRICS+, the evolving nature of global trade, and the trend of dedollarization.

The combined economic might of the BRICS+ nations positions them as a formidable force that can challenge the traditional dominance of the U.S. dollar in international commerce. By pooling their resources and aligning their strategies, these nations have the potential to promote the use of diverse currencies in global transactions. This is not just a matter of economic convenience; it's also about these nations asserting their collective influence in the global financial architecture. As they collaborate, the world could see a reduced reliance on the U.S. dollar, fostering a more balanced and inclusive financial system (Rahman et al., 2020).

The strategic decisions made by BRICS+ nations, especially their move towards dedollarization, can reshape the global trade landscape. As they gradually pivot away from the U.S. dollar, there's potential for the emergence of new trade agreements that prioritize other major currencies. This shift can also lead to the development of new trade corridors, especially when considering the strategic significance of the newer BRICS+ members. For instance, initiatives like China's Belt and Road Initiative and potential infrastructure projects in Africa exemplify how BRICS+ nations can redefine global trade routes and partnerships (Wang & Lee, 2022).

Furthermore, the trading patterns among BRICS+ nations themselves are noteworthy. As they strengthen their economic ties, they can collectively influence the broader patterns of global commerce, making the world economy more interconnected and resilient (Rahman et al., 2020).

While the expansion of BRICS+ and the dedollarization trend present a plethora of opportunities, they are not without challenges. For the BRICS+ nations, aligning their diverse economic agendas, navigating geopolitical intricacies, and ensuring sustainable growth are tasks that require careful deliberation. For the global community, adapting to the rising influence of BRICS+ means recalibrating trade strategies and possibly rethinking traditional alliances. Yet, the silver lining is clear: a world where multiple economic powerhouses collaboratively shape the global order can lead to more equitable growth and a diversified financial ecosystem.

To sum it up, the nexus between BRICS+ expansion, global trade shifts, and dedollarization is multifaceted. As BRICS+ continues to ascend in the global economic hierarchy, its influence on trade dynamics and financial systems will be profound, heralding a new chapter in global economic relations.

Broader Implications and Predictions

The expansion of BRICS, with the inclusion of a diverse set of nations from different regions, has profound implications for the global economic and geopolitical landscape. This section delves into the potential outcomes and predictions arising from this expansion, focusing on regional presence, the impact on major economies, the potential for a multipolar economic world, and the role of digital currencies.

Deepening Regional Engagements with BRICS+ Expansion

The recent expansion of the BRICS+ bloc, with the inclusion of countries such as Ethiopia, Saudi Arabia, Iran, Egypt, and the UAE, marks a strategic move to deepen regional engagements and diversify economic partnerships. Here's an exploration of the significance of each new member and their regional implications:

- **Ethiopia and Africa**: Ethiopia's inclusion is a nod to the untapped potential of Africa. Representing one of Africa's dynamic economies, Ethiopia can serve as a gateway for BRICS+ to foster deeper economic collaborations, infrastructure development, and trade partnerships within the African continent, which is rich in resources and offers a growing market (Chen & Lombaerde, 2014).
- **Saudi Arabia and the Middle East**: The inclusion of Saudi Arabia, a major energy player, underscores the importance of energy security and the strategic significance of the Middle East. With its vast oil reserves and influential role in global energy markets, Saudi Arabia can help BRICS+ navigate the intricacies of global energy dynamics (Hassan, 2015).
- **Iran's Geopolitical Role**: Iran, with its strategic location and significant resources, amplifies BRICS+'s geopolitical reach in the Middle East. Its membership can offer a counterbalance in regional dynamics and pave the way for new trade and energy partnerships (Hassan, 2015).
- **Egypt and North Africa**: Egypt, with its strategic location and control over the Suez Canal, is pivotal in North African affairs. Its inclusion can enhance BRICS+'s influence in North Africa, fostering economic collaborations and enhancing maritime trade routes (Fletcher, 1958).
- **UAE's Economic Prowess**: The UAE, known for its rapid economic growth and role as a global busi-

ness hub, can enhance BRICS+'s connectivity with the Gulf region. Its inclusion can tap into the Gulf's financial markets and leverage the UAE's status as a global trade and finance center (Bulatovic & Iankova, 2021).

In summary, the strategic expansion of BRICS+ into these regions is indicative of the bloc's vision to create a more inclusive and interconnected global economic landscape. Each new member brings unique strengths, opportunities, and regional connections. This expansion also aligns with the broader goal of dedollarization, as increased regional integration and trade can pave the way for transactions in alternative currencies, thereby reducing global reliance on the U.S. dollar.

Influence on Global Economic Powerhouses

The growth and consolidation of the BRICS+ bloc will inevitably send waves through the world's major economies, reshaping traditional power dynamics:

- **U.S. and EU**: Historically, the U.S. and the European Union have been the linchpins of the global economic order. However, the ascent of BRICS+ could challenge this longstanding dominance. As BRICS+ nations collectively push for dedollarization, the reliance on the U.S. dollar in international trade and finance might diminish. This could compel both the U.S. and the EU to reevaluate their economic strategies, trade partnerships, and diplomatic engagements to adapt to this evolving landscape.
- **Emerging Markets**: The rise of BRICS+ is a beacon of opportunity for other emerging economies. With BRICS+ nations actively seeking to diversify their trade and investment portfolios, emerging markets could witness an influx of capital, technology transfers, and collaborative ventures. This could catalyze their economic growth and further integrate them into the global economy.

Towards a Decentralized Economic Order

The expansion and influence of BRICS+ hint at the emergence of a decentralized or multipolar economic world. In such a scenario, economic power and decision-making are distributed more evenly across nations, reducing the disproportionate influence traditionally held by a few. This multipolarity can foster a more inclusive global economic system, where policies and strategies are crafted with broader consensus and mutual respect. Dedollarization plays a pivotal role in this transformation, as it symbolizes a move away from a dollar-centric world, promoting currency diversity and financial autonomy.

Digital Currencies and the Dedollarization Drive

In the digital age, the role of digital currencies cannot be understated. Their potential impact on the global financial system is profound:

- **Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs)**: Many countries, including some BRICS+ members, are exploring or have already launched their own CBDCs. These digital versions of national currencies can streamline cross-border transactions, reduce costs, and potentially bypass the traditional banking system. Their adoption can accelerate the dedollarization process, as nations might prefer transacting in CBDCs over the U.S. dollar.
- **Cryptocurrencies**: While more volatile and decentralized than CBDCs, cryptocurrencies offer an alternative medium of exchange in international trade. Their decentralized nature aligns with the ethos of a multipolar world, and their adoption could further diminish the dominance of the U.S. dollar in global transactions.

In essence, the trajectory of BRICS+, combined with the dedollarization trend and the rise of digital currencies, paints a picture of a rapidly evolving global economic landscape. Major economies will need to adapt, emerging markets stand to benefit, and the very fabric of global trade and finance might undergo a transformation.

CONCLUSION

The evolution and expansion of the BRICS+ bloc, with its strategic inclusion of nations from diverse regions, is emblematic of a shifting global economic paradigm. Each new member, from Ethiopia in Africa to the UAE in the Gulf, brings with it a unique set of economic strengths, geopolitical significance, and regional connections. This diversified composition not only amplifies the bloc's economic and political influence but also paves the way for a more interconnected global trade landscape.

Central to this transformation is the overarching narrative of dedollarization. As the BRICS+ nations deepen their regional engagements, foster new trade partnerships, and explore innovative financial mechanisms, there's an implicit move towards reducing the world's dependency on the U.S. dollar. The bloc's collective economic might, combined with its strategic vision, positions it at the forefront of this dedollarization endeavor. By promoting transactions in alternative currencies, exploring the potential of digital assets, and leveraging regional trade agreements, BRICS+ is not just challenging the traditional dollar-centric system but is also laying the foundation for a more diversified and resilient global economic order.

In essence, the BRICS+ expansion is more than just an enlargement of a bloc; it's a reflection of the changing dynamics of global trade and finance. As these nations collaborate and chart their path forward, the world is likely to witness a gradual shift away from the longstanding dominance of the U.S. dollar, heralding a new era of multipolarity in international economics. The journey towards dedollarization, while complex, is a testament to the evolving nature of global economic relations, where power, influence, and decision-making are becoming more distributed and inclusive

REFERENCES

Al-Muharrami, Saeed, Kent Matthews, and Yusuf Khabari. “Market Structure and Competitive Conditions in the Arab Gcc Banking System.” *Journal of Banking & Finance* 30, no. 12 (2006): 3487–3501. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2006.01.006.

Bergsten, C. Fred. “America and Europe: Clash of the Titans?” *Foreign Affairs* 78, no. 2 (1999): 20. https://doi.org/10.2307/20049206.

Biadgilign, Sibhatu, Arega Shumetie, and Habtamu Yesigat. “Does Economic Growth Reduce Childhood Undernutrition in Ethiopia?" *PLOS ONE* 11, no. 8 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160050.

Bordo, Michael, Dominique Simard, and Eugene White. *France and the Bretton Woods International Monetary System: 1960-1968*, 1994. https://doi.org/10.3386/w4642.

Bordo, Michael. *The imbalances of the Bretton Woods System 1965 to 1973: U.S. inflation, the elephant in the room*, 2018. https://doi.org/10.3386/w25409.

Bouoiyour, Jamal, Refk Selmi, Shawkat Hammoudeh, and Mark E. Wohar. "What Are the Categories of Geopolitical Risks That Could Drive Oil Prices Higher? Acts or Threats?" *Energy Economics* 84 (2019): 104523. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2019.104523.

Bulatovic, Iva, and Katia Iankova. "Barriers to Medical Tourism Development in the United Arab Emirates (UAE)." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 18, no. 3 (2021): 1365. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18031365.

Camioto, Flávia de, Herick Fernando Moralles, Enzo Barberio Mariano, and Daisy Aparecida Rebelatto. "Energy Efficiency Analysis of G7 and BRICS Considering Total-Factor Structure." *Journal of Cleaner Production* 122 (2016): 67–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.02.061.

Chen, Lurong, and Philippe De Lombaerde. "Testing the Relationships between Globalization, Regionalization and the Regional Hubness of the BRICS." *Journal of Policy Modeling* 36 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpolmod.2013.10.011.

Chincarini, Ludwig B. "The Effectiveness of Global Currency Hedging after the Asian Crisis." *Journal of Asset Management* 8, no. 1 (2007): 34–51. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jam.2250059.

Di, Yuna, Ruixin Zhi, Huaixi Song, and Lu Zhang. "Development and Influencing Factors of International Trade in Digitally Deliverable Services." *Frontiers in Psychology* 13 (2022). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.908420.

Diao, Xinshen, and Alejandro Nin Pratt. "Growth Options and Poverty Reduction in Ethiopia – an Economy-Wide Model Analysis." *Food Policy* 32, no. 2 (2007): 205–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2006.05.005.

Dooley, Michael P., and Peter M. Garber. "Is It 1958 or 1968? Three Notes on the Longevity of the Revived Bretton Woods System." *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* 2005, no. 1 (2005): 147–209. https://doi.org/10.1353/eca.2005.0017.

Dyhrberg, Anne Haubo. "Hedging Capabilities of Bitcoin. Is It the Virtual Gold?" *Finance Research Letters* 16 (2016): 139–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2015.10.025.

Eichengreen, Barry. "Bretton Woods after 50." *Review of Political Economy* 33, no. 4 (2021): 552–69. https://doi.org/10.1080/09538259.2021.1952011.

Feenstra, Robert C. "How Costly Is Protectionism?" *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 6, no. 3 (1992): 159–78. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.6.3.159.

Felbermayr, Gabriel J., and Wilhelm Kohler. "Exploring the Intensive and Extensive Margins of World Trade." *Review of World Economics* 142, no. 4 (2004): 642–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10290-006-0087-3.

Fletcher, Max E. "The Suez Canal and World Shipping, 1869-1914." *The Journal of Economic History* 18, no. 4 (1958): 556–73. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022050700107740.

Hassan, Oz. "Undermining the Transatlantic Democracy Agenda? The Arab Spring and Saudi Arabia's Counteracting Democracy Strategy." *Democratization* 22, no. 3 (2015): 479–95. https://doi.org/10.1080/13510347.2014.981161.

Hooijmaaijers, Bas. "The Internal and External Institutionalization of the BRICS Countries: The Case of the New Development Bank." *International Political Science Review* 43, no. 4 (2021): 481–94. https://doi.org/10.1177/01925121211024159.

Jawadi, Fredj, and Zied Ftiti. "Oil Price Collapse and Challenges to Economic Transformation of Saudi Arabia: A Time-Series Analysis." *Energy Economics* 80 (2019): 12–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2018.12.003.

Kohl, Tristan. "The WTO's Effect on Trade: What You Give Is What You Get." *Globalization*, 2017, 459–93. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-49502-5_19.

Kokenyne, Annamaria, Jeremy Ley, and Romain Veyrune. "Dedollarization." *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2010. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1662261.

Liao, Steven, and Daniel McDowell. "Redback Rising: China's Bilateral Swap Agreements and Renminbi Internationalization." *International Studies Quarterly* 59, no. 3 (2014): 401–22. https://doi.org/10.1111/isqu.12161.

Maes, Ivo. "On the Origins of the Triffin Dilemma: Empirical Business Cycle Analysis and Imperfect Competition Theory." *The European Journal of the History of Economic Thought*, 2012. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2190439.

Mohanty, Saileja, and Narayan Sethi. "Outward FDI, Human Capital and Economic Growth in BRICS Countries: An Empirical Insight." *Transnational Corporations Review* 11, no. 3 (2019): 235–49. https://doi.org/10.1080/19186444.2019.1657347.

Osabuohien, Evans S., Ibukun Beecroft, and Uchenna R. Efobi. "Global Trade and Trade Protection in a Globalised World." *Transnational Corporations Review* 10, no. 1 (2018): 43–52. https://doi.org/10.1080/19186444.2018.1436650.

Poon, Jessie P. "The Cosmopolitanization of Trade Regions: Global Trends and Implications, 1965-1990." *Economic Geography* 73, no. 4 (1997): 390. https://doi.org/10.2307/144560.

Rahman, Mohd Nayyer, Zeenat Fatima, and Nida Rahman. "Quantitative Dynamics of Intra-BRICS Trade." *BRICS Journal of Economics* 1, no. 4 (2020): 6–23. https://doi.org/10.38050/2712-7508-2020-1-4-2.

Reboredo, Juan C. "Is Gold a Safe Haven or a Hedge for the US Dollar? Implications for Risk Management." *Journal of Banking & Finance* 37, no. 8 (2013): 2665–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2013.03.020.

Shachmurove, Yochanan. "An Introduction to the Special Issues on Financial Markets of the Middle East." *SSRN*, 2004. https://doi.org/https://ssrn.com/abstract=549381.

Sims, Juan Pablo, Yun-Tso Lee, and Brice Tseen Fu Lee. "New Chinese Economic Policy to Latin America? A QCA Approach to the Belt and Road Initiative." *Chinese Political Science Review*, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41111-023-00244-w.

Smutka, Luboš, Patrik Rovny, Kamil Maitah, and Pavel Kotyza. "International Finance and Economic Institutions: Can Russian Ruble Become the World's Leading Currency?" *Terra Economicus* 19, no. 3 (2021): 93–104.

https://doi.org/10.18522/2073-6606-2021-19-3-93-104.

Song, Ma-Lin, Lin-Ling Zhang, Wei Liu, and Ron Fisher. "Bootstrap-DEA Analysis of BRICS' Energy Efficiency Based on Small Sample Data." *Applied Energy* 112 (2013): 1049–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.02.064.

Su, Xiaobo. "Geopolitical Imagination and the US War on Drugs against China." *Territory, Politics, Governance* 8, no. 2 (2018): 204–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/21622671.2018.1554503.

Submitter, Bank of, Harris Dellas, and George Tavlas. "The Fatal Flaw: The Revived Bretton-Woods System, Liquidity Creation, and Commodity-Price Bubbles." *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2011. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4166880.

Tediosi, Fabrizio, Aureliano Finch, Christina Procacci, Robert Marten, and Eduardo Missoni. "BRICS Countries and the Global Movement for Universal Health Coverage." *Health Policy and Planning* 31, no. 6 (2015): 717–28. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czv122.

Tian, Xu, Joseph Sarkis, Yong Geng, Raimund Bleischwitz, Yiying Qian, Liquan Xu, and Rui Wu. "Examining the Role of BRICS Countries at the Global Economic and Environmental Resources Nexus." *Journal of Environmental Management* 262 (2020): 110330. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110330.

Waheed, Rida, Suleman Sarwar, and Ashwaq Dignah. "The Role of Non-Oil Exports, Tourism and Renewable Energy to Achieve Sustainable Economic Growth: What We Learn from the Experience of Saudi Arabia." *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics* 55 (2020): 49–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.strueco.2020.06.005.

Wang, Kuan-Min, and Yuan-Ming Lee. "Is Gold a Safe Haven for Exchange Rate Risks? An Empirical Study of Major Currency Countries." *Journal of Multinational Financial Management* 63 (2022): 100705. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mulfin.2021.100705.

Yetiv, Steve A., and Chunlong Lu. "China, Global Energy, and the Middle East." *The Middle East Journal* 61, no. 2 (2007): 199–218. https://doi.org/10.3751/61.2.11.

Yu, Yanning. "Trade Remedies: China in the BRICS." *Journal of World Trade* 48, no. Issue 6 (2014): 1247–77. https://doi.org/10.54648/trad2014044.