Antibacteria Activity Peel and Seed Extracts of Rambutan (Nephelium lappaceum L.) Against MDR Bacteria Causing Urinary Tract Infections

Ana Hidayati Mukaromah^{1, 2,3*}, Dea Galuh Cahyaningrum³, Desty Ratna Putri³, Erni M Jannah³, Muhamad Rizqi Rinaldi³, Fandhi Adi Wardoyo³, Tulus Ariyadi³, Annisa Nurul Hikmah³, Sri Darmawati^{2,3}

¹Chemical Laboratory, Department of Medical Laboratory Technology, Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang, Jl. Kedungmundu Raya 18, Semarang 50273, Indonesia

²Master's Program in Medical Laboratory Science, Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang, Jl. Kedungmundu Raya 18, Semarang 50273, Indonesia

³Medical laboratory Technology, Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang Jl. Kedungmundu Raya 18, Semarang 50273, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: ana_hidayati@unimus.ac.id

Submitted: 2023-09-12. Revised: 2023-11-11. Accepted: 2023-12-11.

Abstract. Multidrug-resistant (MDR) - *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (*K. pneumoniae*) are the main causes and have become serious problems in urinary tract infections, so antibacterial agents derived from biological materials are needed. ESBL-*E. coli* and ESBL-*K. pneumoniae* bacteria are resistant to extracts from rambutan peels and seeds, but there is no knowledge of the use of different solvents, such as n-hexane, chloroform, or ethanol. The objective of this research was to assess the antibacterial activity of rambutan peels and seed extracts (n-hexane, chloroform, and ethanol) against MDR bacteria that cause urinary tract infections (UTI). The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) values for antibacterial activity were calculated using agar well diffusion and dilution procedures. The results demonstrated that the ethanol extract of rambutan peels had inhibitory zones against MDR *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* that varied from 9.00 to 14.13 mm. 15.625 mg/mL For MDR *E. coli* and 3.90 mg/mL for MDR *K. pneumoniae*, respectively, the MIC value was determined. The MBC value was 62.50 mg/mL for MDR *K. pneumoniae* and 31.25 mg/mL for MDR *E. coli*. Conclusion: Of the six rambutan peel and seed extracts, the ethanol extract has greater potential as an antibacterial agent. It is advised that more in-vivo studies be done to understand how the antibacterial activity operates. The benefits of research for the science are providing alternative solutions to antibiotic resistance, to further advancing the field of antimicrobial research, and reducing the risk of bacterial infections.

Keywords: Rambutan fruits (Nephelium lappaceum L.), E. coli, K. pneumoniae, MIC, and MBC

How to Cite: Mukaromah, A. H., Cahyaningrum, D. G., Putri, D. R., Jannah, E. M., Rinaldi, M. R., Wardoyo, F. A., Ariyadi, A., Hikmah, A. N., Darmawati, S. (2023). Antibacteria Activity Peel and Seed Extracts of Rambutan (*Nephelium lappaceum* L.) Against MDR Bacteria Causing Urinary Tract Infections. *Biosaintifika: Journal of Biology & Biology Education*, *15*(3), 432-440.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15294/biosaintifika.v15i3.39568

INTRODUCTION

Men and women alike are susceptible to the infectious known medically as urinary tract infection (UTI) (Vasudevan, 2014). Acute, recurrent, or chronic urinary tract infections are the most common microbial diseases, affecting approximately 150 million people each year worldwide. In the United States, up to 11 million people suffer from UTI each year (Zalewska-Piątek, 2020). The most frequent pathogens that cause UTI are gram-positive bacteria like *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* and *Enterococcus sp.* and gram-negative bacteria like *E. coli*,

Proteus mirabilis, and *K. pneumoniae* (Mazzariol et al., 2017). In a study of 421 UTI patients by Jafari-Sales (2020), it was discovered that 165 (39.2%) tested positive for *K. pneumoniae*, including 89 (53.9%) females and 76 (46.1%) males *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *E. coli* are members of the family Enterobacteriaceae. *E. coli* is a normal bacterial flora of the intestine and has an important role in the synthesis of vitamin K, conversion of bile pigments, bile acids, and absorption of nutrients, while *K. pneumoniae* is a normal flora of the skin, mouth, and digestive tract. The ability of *K. pneumoniae* to cause disease in sick and healthy individuals is

influenced by its pathogenicity (Vading, et al., 2018).

Antibiotics can be used to treat UTIs because antibiotic misuse results in the emergence of multidrug resistance (Rowe & Juthani-Mehta, 2014). A hazard to world health is antimicrobial resistance. Since over 80% of all antibiotics used in healthcare have been prescribed, primary healthcare has made a significant contribution. Some common bacterial illnesses can be challenging to treat due to the limited number of viable treatment choices caused by antibioticresistant bacterial infections (Bryce et al., 2016). Therefore, numerous options are required to treat cases of resistant bacteria, such as the use of natural ingredients. Antibacterial agents can be obtained from various sources, for example, Latex (Prastiyanto et al.. 2020b). Mushrooms (Prastivanto et al., 2020a), marine bacteria (Prastiyanto et al., 2022c, 2022b, 2023), and plant (Prastiyanto et al., 2021a, 2021b, 2022a; Prastiyanto, 2021). One of the natural ingredients that can be used as antibacterial agents is the rambutan (*Nephelium lappaceum* L.).

Indonesia is a country where the tropical fruit rambutan grows. It is a sapindaceous fruit tree grown for ornamental purposes (Wahyuningsih, 2019). Numerous pharmacological effects of this fruit include antidiabetic, antihypercholesterolemic, antibacterial, antioxidant, anti-hyperuricemia, and anticancer effects. Rambutan peel is strong in anthocyanins, which give ripe rambutan fruit its red color (Poernomo et al., 2016). Fruit contains sugar, vitamin C, carbs, protein, calcium, iron, phosphorus, macro and micro minerals, and lipids. (Chai et al., 2018). The seeds contain a lot of fat and polyphenols, whereas the leaves have a lot of tannins and saponins. The bark contains tannins, saponins, flavonoids, pectin, and iron (Lestari et al., 2013). Previous research related to the antibacterial activity of rambutan peel against S. aureus (Phuong, et al., Methicillin-resistant 2020). S. aureus (Rostinawati et al, 2018), and E. coli (Aksonkird et.al., 2019), bacillus bacteria (Phuong, et al.,

2020), while previous research related to antibacterial activity rambutan fruit seeds against pathogenic

Chromatographic Analyses, virtual screening and pharmacokinetics of yellow malaysian rambutan (Nephelium lappaceum L.) fruit epicarp extracts reveal potential antibacterial compounds (Asghar, 2020). There has been no research on the antibacterial activity of rambutan peel and seed extracts against MDR bacteria that cause UTIs, so more research is needed. Rambutan peel and seed extracts were tested in this work utilizing a solvents, including number of n-hexane, chloroform, and ethanol. The purpose of this study was to evaluate antimicrobial rambutan peel and seed extracts performed in different solvents (nhexane, chloroform, and ethanol) against MDR bacteria that cause UTIs (K. pneumonia, and E. *coli*). The benefits of research for the science are providing alternative solutions to antibiotic resistance, to further advance the field of antimicrobial research, and to reducing the risk of bacterial infections

METHOD

The research used the post only design experimental method Rambutan peels and seeds were taken from Jl. Tirto Agung, SOS, Taruna Village, Km 01, Pedalangan, Banyumanik Subdistrict, Semarang City, with the coordinate of -7.062715.110 in January 2022. Isolate of *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* bacteria were taken from the Microbiology Laboratory of the Muhammadiyah University of Semarang.

Extraction of Rambutan Peels and Seeds

Rambutan peels (Figure 1) was saturated in 1500 mL ethanol 70% for 24 h thrice. Then, the macerat was concentrated using rotatory evaporator at 45°C and reassembled over the water bath at 45°C till a thick extract was obtained. This procedure is repeated with other solvents namely n-hexane and chloroform. (Sulistiyaningsih et al., 2017).



Figure1.Rambutan (*Nephelium lappaceum L*): a) rambutan fruit, b) peel and c) seeds of rambutan fruit

This type of research is an invitro experiment. Equipment are Petri dishes, sterile pipettes, sterile test tubes, test tube racks, spirit lamps, autoclaves, incubators, scales, beakers, ovens, tweezers, blank paper discs, sterile cotton sticks, and vernier calipers. Materials are extract of rambutan peel and seed, E.coli and K. pneumoniae bacterial suspension, distilled water, MCA media (Macconkey Agar), MHA Media (Mueller Hinton Agar) (Oxoid), MHB Media (Mueller Hinton Broth), BHIB Media (Brain Heart Infusion Broth) (Oxoid), NaCl (Merck, Germany), antibiotics and Mc Farland standards.

Extraction of rambutan peel using 70% ethanol, n-hexane and chloroform. The peel of the rambutan fruit is washed, cut into small pieces, then dried in the oven at 45°C for 5 days. The dried rambutan peels were ground into a fine powder and filtered through a 100 mesh sieve. 500 g of rambutan peel powder soaked in 1500 mL of 70% ethanol for 24 hours. After that it was filtered, the

filtrate or macerate was stored and the rambutan peel simplicia was soaked again in 70% ethanol for 24 hours and repeated up to 3 times. The maserate was concentrated using a rotary evaporator at 45°C until slightly thick, then transferred to a water bath to be heated at 45°C until a viscous extract was obtained. The extract obtained was then diluted to a concentration of 1: 10; 100 and 1000 mg/mL. This procedure was repeated with n-hexane and chloroform. The procedure for extracting rambutan fruit seeds with 70% ethanol, n-hexane and chloroform, is the same as the extraction procedure for rambutan peels with 70% ethanol, n-hexane and chloroform, by replacing the rambutan peel with rambutan fruit seeds.

Phytochemical Test of peel and seeds of rambutan fruit. Extracts of the peel and seeds of rambutan fruit with ethanol, n-hexane and chloroform solvents were carried out for each phytochemical test using the procedure in Table 1.

Phytochemical	Reagent	Positive Result
Test	6	
Alkaloids	a) The extract was added with 2N HCl solution, and	a) white to yellow precipitate
	filtered. Filtrat was added Mayer solution	b) orange precipitate
	b) Dragendroff's solution	
Flaonoids	The extract was added with magnesium powder and 2 mL	An orange to red solution
	of 2 N HCl	
Tannins	The extract was added with 2-3 drops of 1% FeCl ₃ solution.	black-green or blue ink
Terpenoids/	The extract was dissolved in 0.5 mL chloroform then added	a) The formation of a bluish green color
Steroids	with 0.5 mL CH ₃ COOH and 2 mL H ₂ SO ₄ through the tube wall	indicates the presence of sterol compounds
		b) The formation of a brownish or violet
		ring indicates the presence of
		triterpenoid compounds
Phenols	The extract was added 1 mL of 10% FeCl ₃ solution.	Formation of a dark blue, blackish blue or
		greenish black solution
Saponins	The extract was added to 10 mL of hot water, then cooled and then shaken vigorously for 10 seconds.	Constant foam formation

Tabel 1. Phytochemical Test, Reagent and Positive Result

Preparation of Bacterial Suspensions.

Pure cultures of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* bacteria were taken as much as 1 colony each using ose on MCA media and suspended with 5 ml of 0.85% physiological NaCl then shaken until cloudy for 1-3 minutes, compared to standard MC farland solution until the turbidity is the same color.

Dilution (MIC and MBC) Test

The MIC value of each extract was evaluated using a microdilution method on microplates with Muller Hilton broth (MHB) media, followed by a serial dilution approach on 12-well microplates. Each well received 100 μ L of MHB, and 100 μ L of extract was added to the first well until it reached the 12th, then 100 μ L of bacterial suspension adjusted to the McFarland standard (0.5) was added to each well except the negative control well. The microplates were incubated at 37°C for 18 hours. MBC was a continuation of MIC in which culture samples were taken from MIC results on microplates using inoculation needles. After homogenizing the samples, they were streaked onto broth agar plate (BAP) media and incubated at 37°C for 16-20 hours. The MBC value was calculated by watching bacteria growth on BAP media.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Rambutan Extract Yield

According to the study's findings (Table 2), ethanol extract of the peels, seed chloroform, and seed n-hexane yielded higher yields than the others. The solubility of phytochemical components in peels and seeds in ethanol was larger than in chloroform and n-hexane. The Polarity ethanol high creates significant interactions with the majority of the polar phytochemical chemicals recovered from rambutan skin and seeds, it has a larger extraction potential than semi-polar chloroform and nhexane, which extract nonpolar phytochemical compounds (Sbihi et al. 2018). The results of this study are in line with Septiani (2021), the average yield obtained from extract of leaves by maceration with 2.9% chloroform and 27% ethanol. Extraction with solvents is based on the polarity of the substance, polar compounds will only dissolve in polar solvents, such as ethanol, methanol, butanol and water. Nonpolar compounds will also only dissolve in nonpolar solvents, such as ether, chloroform and n-hexane. Ethanol extract, ethyl acetate fraction, and water fraction of Rambutan leaf had antibacterial activity against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa Multidrug Resistant* starting at a concentration of 5%. The value of MIC and MBC both was in the range concentration of 2.5–5% w/v (Sulistiyaningsih et al, 2017).

Table	2.	The	results	of	rambutan	(Nephelium
lappac	eun	n L.)	peel and	see	ed extraction	n

uppuceum L.)	peer and seed	extraction
Part of Plant	Solvent	Yield (%)
Peel	n-hexane	0.95
	Chloroform	1.33
	Ethanol	22.62
Seed	n-hexane	14.4
	Chloroform	18.95
	Ethanol	24.4

Phytochemical screening of rambutan extracts

from biological Data screening, phytochemical analysis is a preliminary test for identifying the existence of particular chemical compounds in plants, such as alkaloids, phenolic compounds (including flavonoids), saponins, steroids. and terpenoids (Jantapaso and 2022). Mittraparp, The findings the of phytochemical analysis of rambutan peel and seed extracts are displayed in Table 3.

Table 3. Results of phytochemical analysis of rambutan peel and seed extracts.

Test		Peel Extract		Seed Extract			
	n-Hexane	Chloroform	Ethanol	n-Hexane	Chloroform	Ethanol	
Flavonoids	+	-	+	+	+	-	
Alkaloids	-	+	-	-	-	-	
Phenolates	-	+	+	-	-	-	
Tannins	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Saponins	-	-	+	-	-	-	

All peel and seed extracts are shown in Table 3 to contain tannin components. Tannins are polyphenolic substances that are insoluble in benzene, chloroform, ether, petroleum ether, and carbon disulfide but soluble in water, glycerol, methanol, hydroalcoholic, and propylene glycol. antibacterial Tannins are and astringent, decreasing the intestinal walls harmed by bacteria or acid, according to Hassan et al. (2020). By harming cell membranes, deactivating vital enzymes, and eradicating the genetic material, tannins hinder the growth of bacteria. Results of phytochemical screening were reported in other studies Jantapaso and Mittraparp (2022), phenolic chemicals predominate in the ethanol extract of rambutan peels, but rambutan seed extracts contain phenolic compounds, flavonoids, and saponins but are devoid of alkaloids and tannins.

Antibacterial activity of the extracts against MDR bacteria

Test for agar well diffusion

The antibacterial ability of the peel and seeds of rambutan fruit against MDR K. pneumoniae and E. coli bacteria obtained from the Universitas Microbiology Laboratory of Muhammadiyah Semarang was assessed. This activity was demonstrated by the existence of an inhibitory zone (Table 4). A qualitative way to assess an antibiotic substance's capacity to prevent the growth of germs is the inhibition zone. According to the study's findings, rambutan peel and seed extracts with different solvents (nhexane, chloroform, and ethanol) displayed antibacterial activity against MDR bacteria.

Types of Bacteria	Antibiotic Resistance Pattern
MDR-K. pneumoniae 1	Ceftazidime, aztreonam, meropenem, ceftriaxone, gentamicin, tigecycline, amikacin
MDR-K. pneumoniae 2	Meropenem, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, amikacin, tigecycline, gentamicin, aztreonam
MDR-E. coli 1	Ceftazidime, aztreonam, ceftriaxone, meropenem, amikacin, tigecycline
MDR-E. coli 2	Ceftazidime, aztreonam, ceftriaxone, meropenem, amikacin, tigecycline
MDR-E. coli 3	Ceftazidime, aztreonam, ceftriaxone meropenem, amikacin, tigecycline

Table 4. Lists screening tests for K. pneumoniae and E. coli resistance to various antibiotics

The inhibition zone diameter of rambutan contract and other common antibiotics as positive p

controls of MDR *K. pneumoniae* and MDR *E. coli* presented in Table 5.

Table 5.	The diameter of the inhibition zone for MDR K. p.	neumoniae and MDR E. coli when rambutan
(extract and various common antibiotics are used a	as positive controls.

PlantSolventIIRDR K. pneumoniaMDR K. coliIINIIIIIIINNENENENENENEI00NENENENENENENEI000NENENENENENENEI000NENENENENENENEI000NENENENENENENEI000NE110340.35NENENENEI000NE19.12-0.20NENENENEI000NE19.12-0.20NENENENEI000NENENENENENENEI000NENENENENENENENEI000NENENENENENENENEI000NE<	Part of	Type of	Concentration(mg/mL)	Inhibition zone (mm) against				
N-hexane 1 N N NE NE NE NE NE Peel 10 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE Peel 100 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE Peel Chloroform 10 NE NE NE NE NE NE 100 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE 100 NE 11.03±0.35 NE NE NE NE NE 1000 NE 19.12±0.20 NE NE NE NE NE 1000 NE	Plant	Solvent		MDR K. J	oneumonia		MDR E. coli	
N-hexane 1 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE 100 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE 100 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE 1000 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE 1000 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE 1000 NE 10.3±0.32 NE NE NE NE 1000 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE Ethanol 10 NE NE<				1	2	1	2	3
Peel10NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE10NENENENENENE100NE11.03±0.35NENENENE1000NE19.12±0.20NENENENE1000NE19.12±0.20NENENENEEthanol100NENENENENENE100012.10±0.2914.13±0.2610.00±0.109.00±0.2112.00±0.10N-hexane1NENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NE<		N-hexane	1	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
Peel100NENENENENE1000NENENENENENE100NENENENENENE100NE11.03±0.35NENENENE100NE19.12±0.20NENENENE11NENENENENENEEthanol10NENENENENE100NENENENENENE100NENENENENENE100NENENENENENE100NENENENENENEN-hexane10NENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENE13.00±0.31NE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>10</td><td>NE</td><td>NE</td><td>NE</td><td>NE</td><td>NE</td></t<>			10	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
PeelChloroform1000NENENENENENE10NENENENENENE100NE11.03±0.35NENENE1000NE19.12±0.20NENENE1000NE19.12±0.20NENENEEthanol10NENENENE100NENENENENE100NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENEN-hexane10NENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NEN			100	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
Peel1NENENENENENE10NENENENENENE100NE11.03:0.55NENENENE1000NE19.12±0.20NENENENEEthanol10NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENENEEthanol10NENENENENENE100012.10±0.2914.13±0.2610.00±0.109.00±0.2112.00±0.10N-hexane1NENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENE13.00±0.23NENE1000NENENENENENENE1000NENENENENENENENE1000NENENENENENENENE1000NENENENENENENENE1000NENENENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE			1000	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
PeelChloroform10NENENENENENE1000NE11.03±0.35NENENENE1000NE19.12±0.20NENENENE11NENENENENENEEthanol10NENENENENE100NENENENENENENemal100NENENENENE100012.10±0.2914.13±0.2610.00±0.109.00±0.2112.00±0.10Nemal100NENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENENENENE1000NENENE13.00±0.2112.00±0.10Seed1000NENENENENE1000NENENE13.00±0.25NE1000NENENE13.00±0.25NE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NENENENENE1000NE			1	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
Feel 100 NE 1.03 ± 0.35 NE NE NE NE 1000 NE 19.12±0.20 NE NE NE NE 1 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE Ethanol 10 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE N NC NE NE <td>Paal</td> <td>Chloroform</td> <td>10</td> <td>NE</td> <td>NE</td> <td>NE</td> <td>NE</td> <td>NE</td>	Paal	Chloroform	10	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	reel		100	NE	11.03 ± 0.35	NE	NE	NE
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1000	NE	19.12 ± 0.20	NE	NE	NE
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			1	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
		Ethanol	10	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
Interpretation 12.10 \pm 0.29 14.13 \pm 0.26 10.00 \pm 0.10 9.00 \pm 0.21 12.00 \pm 0.10 N-hexane 1 NE NE NE NE NE NE N-hexane 10 NE NE NE NE NE NE 100 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE 1000 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE 1000 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE 1000 NE NE NE NE 13.00 \pm 0.31 NE Chloroform 100 NE NE NE NE NE 1000 NE NE NE NE NE NE Ethanol 10 NE NE NE NE NE Antibiotics Type of antibiotics Concentration (μ g) 11.00 NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) MRP </td <td></td> <td>Ethanor</td> <td>100</td> <td>NE</td> <td>NE</td> <td>NE</td> <td>NE</td> <td>NE</td>		Ethanor	100	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1000	12.10±0.29	14.13±0.26	10.00±0.10	9.00±0.21	12.00±0.10
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		N-hexane	10	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			100	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1000	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1	NE	NE	NE	11.00 ± 0.23	NE
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Seed		10	NE	NE	NE	13.00±0.31	NE
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Seeu	Chloroform	100	NE	NE	NE	13.00 ± 0.25	NE
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1000	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
Intailor 100 NE		Ethanol	10	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
Image: 1000 NE		Ethanoi	100	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
Antibiotics Type of antibiotics Concentration (μg) antibiotics CAZ 30 15.00 (R) 16.00 (R) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) CTX 30 NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) MRP 10 17.00 (R) 18.00 (R) 19.00 (S) 18.00 (S) 28.00 (S) ATM 30 26.00 (S) 25.00 (S) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) GEN 10 21.00 (S) 20.00 (S) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) TGC 15 19.00 (S) 18.00 (S) 20.00 (S) 21.00 (S) 21.00 (S) 21.00 (S) AK 30 18.00 (S) 20.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 21.00 (S)			1000	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
CAZ 30 15.00 (R) 16.00 (R) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) CTX 30 NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) MRP 10 17.00 (R) 18.00 (R) 19.00 (S) 18.00 (S) 28.00 (S) ATM 30 26.00 (S) 25.00 (S) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) GEN 10 21.00 (S) 20.00 (S) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) TGC 15 19.00 (S) 18.00 (S) 20.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 21.00 (S) 21.00 (S) AK 30 18.00 (S) 20.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 21.00 (S) 21.00 (S)	Antibiotics	Type of antibiotics	Concentration (µg)					
CTX 30 NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) MRP 10 17.00 (R) 18.00 (R) 19.00 (S) 18.00 (S) 28.00 (S) ATM 30 26.00 (S) 25.00 (S) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) GEN 10 21.00 (S) 20.00 (S) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) TGC 15 19.00 (S) 18.00 (S) 20.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 21.00 (S) 21.00 (S) AK 30 18.00 (S) 20.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 21.00 (S) 21.00 (S)		CAZ	30	15.00 (R)	16.00 (R)	NE(R)	NE(R)	NE(R)
MRP 10 17.00 (R) 18.00 (R) 19.00 (S) 18.00 (S) 28.00 (S) ATM 30 26.00 (S) 25.00 (S) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) GEN 10 21.00 (S) 20.00 (S) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) TGC 15 19.00 (S) 18.00 (S) 20.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 21.00 (S) 21.00 (S) AK 30 18.00 (S) 20.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 21.00 (S)		CTX	30	NE(R)	NE(R)	NE(R)	NE(R)	NE(R)
ATM 30 26.00 (S) 25.00 (S) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) GEN 10 21.00 (S) 20.00 (S) NE (R) NE (R) NE (R) TGC 15 19.00 (S) 18.00 (S) 20.00 (S) 21.00 (S) 20.00 (S) AK 30 18.00 (S) 20.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 21.00 (S)		MRP	10	17.00 (R)	18.00 (R)	19.00 (S)	18.00 (S)	28.00 (S)
GEN 10 21.00 (S) 20.00 (S) NE (R) NE (R) TGC 15 19.00 (S) 18.00 (S) 20.00 (S) 21.00 (S) 20.00 (S) AK 30 18.00 (S) 20.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 21.00 (S) 21.00 (S)		ATM	30	26.00 (S)	25.00 (S)	NE(R)	NE(R)	NE(R)
TGC 15 19.00 (S) 18.00 (S) 20.00 (S) 21.00 (S) 20.00 (S) AK 30 18.00 (S) 20.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 21.00 (S)		GEN	10	21.00 (S)	20.00 (S)	NE(R)	NE(R)	NE(R)
AK 30 18.00 (S) 20.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 19.00 (S) 21.00 (S)		TGC	15	19.00 (S)	18.00 (S)	20.00 (S)	21.00 (S)	20.00 (S)
		AK	30	18.00 (S)	20.00 (S)	19.00 (S)	19.00 (S)	21.00 (S)

Notes: NE = No Effect; R= Resistant; S= Sensitive

Type of Antibiotic: CAZ= Ceftazidime; CTX=Ceftriaxone; MRP= Meropenem; ATM= Aztreonam; GEN= Gentamicin; TGC= Tigecycline; AK = Amikacin.

Table 5 reveals that the inhibition zone of rambutan seed chloroform extract against MDR-*E. coli* was 11.00-13.00 mm, whereas the zone of inhibition of rambutan peel chloroform extract against MDR-*K. pneumoniae* was 11.03-19.12 mm. The inhibition zone of ethanol peel was 12.10-14.13 mm against MDR-*K. pneumoniae* and 9.00-12.00 mm against MDR-*E. coli*. The chloroform extract of rambutan seeds had superior

antibacterial activity than n-hexane and ethanol. The ethanol extract of peels had a bigger inhibition zone than the other two extracts. This is in accordance with the results of research by Yunusa et. al (2018), that Rambutan peel demonstrated the highest free radical scavenging activity with inhibitory concentration (IC50) value of 24.99 \pm 2.82 and 144.59 \pm 1.36 μ g/mL for ethanolic.

Determination of MIC and MBC values

Figure 3 shows the MIC values of rambutan peel and seed extracts against MDR-*E. coli* and *K*.

pneumoniae bacteria that cause UTI, while the MBC value is presented in Figure 2.



Figure 3. MIC values of rambutan peel and seed extracts. I. Ethanol extracts of rambutan peels against MDR-*K. pneumoniae* 1 and 2; II. Chloroform extracts of rambutan peels against MDR-*K. pneumoniae* 2; III. Ethanol extracts of rambutan peels against MDR-*E. coli* 1, 2, and 3; IV. MDR-*E. coli* 2 resistance to chloroform extracts of rambutan seeds The letters A, B, C, and D represent bacterial codes, while the numerals 1-12 represent rambutan extract concentrations of 1000; 500; 250; 125; 62.5; 31.250; 15.063; 7.813; 3.9063; 1.954; 0.977; 0.488 mg/mL. 62.5; 31.250; 15.063; 7.183; 3.9063; 1.954; 0.977; 0.488 mg/mL.

Code	K. pneumoniae 1	K. pneumoniae 2	E. coli 1	E.coli 2	E. coli 3
Chlorofom extract of rambutan peel	NI	1000 mg/mL	NI	NI	NI
Etanol extract of rambutan peel	62.50 mg/ml				
	02.30 mg/mL	02.30 mg/mL	31.25 mg/mL	123 mg/mL	02.30 mg/mL
Chlorofom extract of rambutan seed	NI	NI	NI	1000 mg/mL	NI

Notes: NI = No Inhibition

Figure 2. MBC value of rambutan peel and seed extract. I. Cholorofom extract of rambutan peel against MDR-*K. pneumonia* 2; II. Ethanol extract of rambutan peel against MDR-*K. pneumonia* 1 and 2, and MDR-*E. coli* 1, 2 and 3; III. Chloroform extract of rambutan seed against MDR-*E. coli* 2.

The MIC values of the six extracts were determined in vitro using microplates and the microdilution method for five resistant bacteria (Figure 3). Because of the lower MIC values of 3.90 mg/mL for MDR-*K. pneumoniae* and 15.625 mg/mL for MDR-*E. coli*, the ethanolic peel extracts displayed greater antibacterial action than the other two solvent extracts in this investigation. The chloroform extracts of peels had a MIC value of 250 mg/mL against MDR-*K. pneumoniae* and a MIC value of 500 mg/mL against MDR-*E. coli*.

The MBC values of rambutan peel and seed extracts using different solvents (n-hexane, chloroform, and ethanol) were measured using the microdilution method with a mixture of MIC cultures on microplates and cultivated on BAP medium for five resistant bacteria isolated from urine (Figure 4). The MBC values of the six extracts ranged from 31.25 to 1000 mg/mL, according to the data. Rambutan peel ethanol extracts outperformed other extracts due to lower MBC values of 31.25 mg/mL against E. coli and 62.50 mg/mL against MDR-K. pneumoniae. This study's findings revealed higher MBC values than earlier research. The MBC values for the six extracts ranged from 31.25 to 1000 mg/mL, according to the data. The ethanol extracts of rambutan peels performed better than other extracts due to reduced MBC values of 31.25 mg/mL against MDR-E. coli and 62.50 mg/mL against MDR-K pneumoniae. This study's findings showed higher MBC values than earlier research (Putri, 2021). Rambutan peel extracts have better antimicrobial potential in nanoparticles (26.5 mg/mL) than micro-particles (62.5 mg/mL and 250 mg/mL) in inhibiting the growth of S. aureus and S. mutans bacteria (Florenly et al, 2022). Potency of Rambutan Plant as Antibacterial, to have medicinal properties, such as anthelmintic and antidiarrheal. Several scientific studies have shown that the leaves, seeds, and peel of the rambutan fruit have antibacterial activity. This activity is shown by extracts or fractions obtained through various methods and different solvents (Bina et al., 2022). The idea of food therapy leads to extensive nutraceuticals research on the potential of exotic fruits such as N. lappaceum and N. ramboutan-ake to act as supplements (Tsong et al. 2021).

The benefits of research for the science are providing alternative solutions to antibiotic resistance, to further advance the field of antimicrobial research, and to reducing the risk of bacterial infections. Potential economic benefits such us promoting sustainability, may generate new markets, create jobs in the production and processing sectors, contribute to the economic growth of regions, reduces reliance on synthetic chemicals and potentially minimizes the environmental impact associated with their production and use. The benefits of rambutan skin can be made as a sunscreen gel to avoid exposure to ultraviolet rays.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the six rambutan peel and seed extracts, the ethanol extract has greater potential as antibacterial than n-hexane and chloroform extracts. The ethanol extract of rambutan peel had an inhibition zone against MDR *E. coli* and *K. pneumonia*. It is advised that more in-vivo study be done in order to understand how the antibacterial activity operates.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to acknowledge Department of Medical Laboratory Technology, Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang, Indonesia, for providing essential facilities for carrying out the study and for internal research grants in 2022.

REFERENCES

- Aksonkird, T., Preeprem, S., Pankaew, N., Srisawad,
 P., & Mittraparp-arthorn, P. (2019).
 Antibacterial And Antibiofilm Activities of
 Rambutan (*Nephelium Lappaceum* L.) Peel
 Extract on Vibrio Parahaemolyticus and
 Escherichia Coli Isolated from Foods.
- Asghar, A., Tan, Y. C., Zahoor, M., Asnawi, S., Yow, Y. Y., Khan, E., & Lahiri, C. (2020). Chromatographic Analyses, Virtual Screening and Pharmacokinetics of Yellow Malaysian Rambutan (*Nephelium lappaceum* L.) Fruit Epicarp Extracts Reveal Potential Antibacterial Compounds.
- Bryce A, Hay AD, Lane IF, Thornton HV, Wootton M, Costelloe C. 2016. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by *Escherichia coli* and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: Systematic review and metaanalysis. BMJ (Online), 352. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/bmj.i939
- Chai KF, Chang LS, Adzahan NM, Karim R, Rukayadi Y, Ghazali HM. 2018. Physicochemical properties and toxicity of

cocoa powder-like product from roasted seeds of fermented rambutan (*Nephelium lappaceum L*.) fruit. Food Chem. 298–308. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.07.155

- Florenly, Wijaya, C.D., Kelvin, Bao, N.P.G., Pham C. T. Dung, P.C.T. (2022). Antibacterial Efficacy of Nanoparticles of Rambutan Peel Extracts (*Nephelium lappaceum* L.) compared to Microparticles against Oral Bacteria, *e-GiGi*, 10 (1), 95-102
- Hassan, Z. M., Manyelo, T. G., Selaledi, L., & Mabelebele, M. (2020). The effects of tannins in monogastric animals with special reference to alternative feed ingredients. Molecules, 25(20), 4680.
- Lestari SR, Djati MS, Rudijanto A, Fatchiyah. 2013. Production and potency of local rambutan at East Java as a candidate phytopharmaca. Agrivita 35, 270–276. https://doi.org/10.17503/ Agrivita-2013-35-3-p270-276
- Jantapaso, H., & Mittraparp-Arthorn, P. (2022). Phytochemical composition and bioactivities of aqueous extract of rambutan (*Nephelium lappaceum* L. cv. Rong Rian) peel. Antioxidants, 11(5), 956.
- Mazzariol A, Bazaj A, Cornaglia G. (2017). Multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria causing urinary tract infections: a review. *Journal of Chemotherapy*, 29, 2–9. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/1120009X.2017.1380395
- Phuong, N. N. M., Le, T. T., Van Camp, J., & Raes, K. (2020). Evaluation of antimicrobial activity of rambutan (*Nephelium lappaceum* L.) peel extracts. International journal of food microbiology, 321, 108539.
- Prastiyanto, M., Dewi, N., Pratiningtias, T., Pratiwi, N., Windayani, A., Wahyunengsih, E., Astuti, Amir, E., Wardoyo, F., 2021a. In vitro antibacterial activities of crude extracts of nine plants on multidrug resistance bacterial isolates of wound infections. Biodiversitas 22, 2641– 2647. https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d220712
- Prastiyanto, M., Rohmah, N., Efendi, L., Arifin, R., Wardoyo, F.A., Wilson, W., Mukaromah, A., Dewi, S., Darmawati, S., 2021b. Antifungal activities of the rhizome extract of five member *Zingiberaceae* against Candida albicans and Trichophyton rubrum. Biodiversitas 22, 1509– 1513. https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d220355
- Prastiyanto, M.E., 2021. Seeds extract of three Artocarpus species : Their in-vitro antibacterial activities against multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Escherichia coli* isolates from urinary tract infections (UTIs). Biodiversitas 22, 4356– 4362. https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d221028

- Prastiyanto, M.E., Darmawati, S., Mukaromah, A.H., 2022a. Antibacterial activity of seed kernel extracts of seven mangoes (*Mangifera indica*) cultivars native to Indonesia against MDR- Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolated from wounds. Biodiversitas 23, 5629–5637. https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d231112
- Prastiyanto, M.E., Kartika, A.I., Darmawati, S., Radjasa, O.K., 2022b. Bioprospecting of bacterial symbionts of sponge *Spongia officinalis* from Savu Sea , Indonesia for antibacterial potential against multidrugresistant bacteria. Biodiversitas 23, 1118–1124. https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d230256
- Prastiyanto, M.E., Retnaningrum, E., Darmawati, S., Aji, M.Z., Bayu, Putri, D.R., Khairunnisa, A., Arilya, N.Y., Wulansari, K.P., Qoni'ah, U.H., 2023. Anti-MDR bacterial activity of bacteria associated with sea sponge of *Amphimedon* sp. from Karimunjawa Island, Central Java, Indonesia. Malays. J. Microbiol. 9, 166–175. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.0 04
- Prastiyanto, M.E., Rukmana, R.M., Saraswati, D.K., Darmawati, S., Maharani, E.T.W., Tursinawati, Y., 2020a. Anticancer potential of methanolic extracts from Pleurotus species on raji cells and antibacterial activity against Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Biodiversitas 21, 5644–5649. https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv /d211221
- Prastiyanto, M.E., Setyowati, W., Retnowati, D., 2022c. Antibacterial activities of bacteria associated with marine sponges of Axinella sp. on Carbapenem-Resistant *Acinetobacter Baumannii* (CRAB). J. Teknol. Lab. 11, 43–51. https://doi.org/10.29238/teknolabjournal.v11i1. 325
- Prastiyanto, M.E., Tama, P.D., Ananda, N., Wilson, W., Mukaromah, A.H., 2020b. Antibacterial Potential of *Jatropha* sp. Latex against Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria. Int. J. Microbiol. 2020. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1155/ 2020/8509650
- Putri, A. S., Pasedan, W. F., Kusuma, I. W., & Kuspradini, H. (2021, April). Antioxidant and antibacterial activity from three different solvents of *Nephelium ramboutan*ake leaves crude extract. In Joint Symposium on Tropical Studies (JSTS-19) (pp. 14-17). Atlantis Press.
- Poernomo JB, Mukaromah AH, Widiyandari H, Marwoto P. (2016). Characterization of Nephelium lappaceum Peel Extract as a Dye Sensitized 35 Solar Cell. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 739(1). https://doi.org/

10.1088/1742-6596/739/1/012070

- Rostinawati T, Tjitraresmi A, Wisnuputri MV. (2018). In vitro activity of Rambutan Binjai (*Nephelium lappaceum*) peel extract from Indonesia to Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). *Dhaka University Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, *17*(2), 197–203. https://doi.org/10.3329/ dujps.v17i2.39176
- Rowe TA, Juthani-Mehta M. (2014). Diagnosis and management of urinary tract infection in older adults. Infectious Disease Clinics of North America, 28(1), 75–89. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.idc.2013.10.004
- Sbihi HM, Nehdi IA, Mokbli S, Romdhani-Younes M, Al-Resayes SI. (2018). Hexane and ethanol extracted seed oils and leaf essential compositions from two castor plant (*Ricinus communis* L.) varieties. *Ind Crops Prod*, 122, 174-181. DOI: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.05.072
- Septiani, G., Susanti, S., & Sucitra, F. (2021). Effect of different extraction method on total flavonoid contents of Sansevieria trifasciata P. leaves extract. Jurnal Farmasi Galenika (Galenika Journal of Pharmacy) (e-Journal), 7(2), 143-150.
- Sulistiyaningsih SSNM, Wicaksono IA, Budiman A. (2017). Antibacterial activity of ethanol extract and fraction of Rambutan leaf (*Nephelium*)

lappaceum) against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* multiresistant. Natl. *J. Physiol. Pharm. Pharmacol*, 8, 257–261. https://doi.org/ 10.5455/njppp.2017.7.0935926102017

- Tsong, J.L., Goh, L.P.W., Gansau, J.A., How, S-E. (2021). Review of *Nephelium lappaceum* and *Nephelium ramboutan-ake*: A High Potential Supplement, Molecules, 26(22), 7005 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26227005
- Vading, M., Nauclér, P., Kalin, M., & Giske, C. G. (2018). Invasive infection caused by Klebsiella pneumoniae is a disease affecting patients with high comorbidity and associated with high long-term mortality. PloS one, 13(4), e0195258.
- Vasudevan R. (2014). Urinary Tract Infection: An Overview of the Infection and the Associated Risk Factors. *Journal of Microbiology & Experimentation*, 1(2), 42–54. https://doi.org/ 10.15406/jmen.2014.01.00008
- Wardhani, R. A. P., & Supartono. (2015). Uji Aktivitas Antibakteri Ekstrak Kulit Buah Rambutan (*Nephelium lappaceum* L.) Pada Bakteri. IJCS - *Indonesia Journal of Chemical Science*, 4(1), 1–6
- Zalewska-Piątek B, Piątek R. (2020). Phage therapy as a novel strategy in the treatment of urinary tract infections caused by e. Coli. *Antibiotics*, 9(6), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.3390/ antibiotics9060304