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Abstract

This research aims to know the effectiveness of Problem Based Learning (PBL) Model comparing to Drill Model on Intermediate Financial Accounting subject. The research was a quasi-experimental research. Population was four classes of Accounting Education students in the year of 2014/2015 at Faculty of Educational Science and Teaching of Riau Islamic University (UIR). Sample was taken by using purposive sampling. Then, it used Problem Based Learning (PBL) at experimental class and Drill Model at controlled class. Data was collected by using interview, observation, and tests (pre-test and post-test). Moreover, data were analyzed by using independent sample test. Findings show that there is no any difference of learning outcomes between students who taught by Problem Based Learning (PBL) Model and Drill Model on Intermediate Financial Accounting.
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INTRODUCTION

Education is an essential element to develop civilization. God will raise a good position for a man in the world and in the hereafter for those knowledgeable.

As contained in the Al-Mujadalah, verse 11:

"Allah will exalt those believers among you and those who are given knowledge." (QS. Al-Mujadalah:11)

Therefore, it is the time to strive diligently in search of and share knowledge. Because there is always goodness for those who have knowledge, so it is proper to pray that God will continue to add to our knowledge. As in Thoha, verse 114:

"And say (to you Muhammad)," O my Lord, add knowledge to me. "(Thoha, verse 114).

Education is a necessity because it makes people able to develop the ability and personality. Factually, the education includes educational activities, teaching and training. These three elements should be run simultaneously and integrated, sustainable and harmonious with the development of students as well as the environment. So that it can achieve the purpose of learning (Hand, 2013).

Generally, in the learning process, students often passively participate in the classes. They can be active if there is an assignment or are asked by the lecturers. Therefore, to create active participation from the students, there is a need appropriate method to apply in learning process. If nothing is done related to teaching and learning process, the students will remain passive and they will only in the level of memorizing so that when they are given a conceptual issue, they will not be able to finish it (Cahyaningdyah & Ismiyati, 2011).

Accounting Education Change Commission (AECC) has encouraged higher education to create innovation on accounting curriculum so that the graduates will be expected to align their competence with the qualifications required in the workplace. Critical thinking skills are important because the students who have the ability to think critically can easily solve the problems of the social, scientific and practical problems (Shakirova, 2007, in Snyder, Lisa & Mark, 2008). Thus, the students are trained to think critically so that the possibility to solve the problems effectively is higher (Snyder, Lisa & Mark, 2008).

IFRS focuses on the concepts of learning to draw conclusions and opinions rather than just technically makes the students memorize concepts and practice counting. In fact, the graduates will see differences or the gap between theory they obtain at school with the real practice in the professional world. The conventional learning system for accounting is started from secondary school level both at general and vocational school to college in which more likely to focus on counting practice in journal and financial reporting rather than doing analysis. Thus, it makes the students’ ability to think critically and analyze the problems, and also to communicate becomes less. Ideally, the learning process should focus on training the students to think, analyze and to interact (Huang, 2011).

Therefore, conventional accounting education often produces graduates having knowledge for the accounting profession, but they have limited skills on doing analysis, giving opinions, having communication, and solving problem (Hsu, 2013). The American Accounting Association (AAA) in 1986 recommends that accounting education should make learners active and independent rather than merely make them become recipients of information. The Accounting Education Change Commission (AECC) also encourages students to embrace lifelong learning and the importance of learning by doing group learning (Hsu, 2013).

The high competition at the work place requires learners having critical thinking and problem solving skills to solve existing problems. Thus, teachers of accounting, in particular, are expected to have knowledge and skills in designing and implementing learning strategies that can develop these abilities.

To make the students able to acquire critical thinking and problem solving skills, teachers are expected to design learning programs in the curriculum which can offer opportunities for students to practice thinking critically and solve problems. Lately, many teachers have already made students engaged in the activities required critical thinking from the students (Tempelaar, 2006). However, in fact, students rarely use critical thinking skills to solve complex problems (Bartlett, 2002; Rippin et al., 2002).

One of the factors that influence students’ skills in critical thinking in solving the problem is the dimension of the cognitive style of the learner. Accounting educators must consider the cognitive styles of students which will affect the process of analysis on certain cases through the given tasks and problems in accounting subjects, in particular Financial Accounting which is regarded as one of the most difficult subjects and many students are failed in this course because
they must have a higher level of thinking and requires an understanding of basic appropriate accounting material (Carrington, 2012; Eikner & Montondon, 2006; Waples & Darayseh, 2011). Based on this, it is necessary to make the learning outcomes for Intermediate Financial Accounting (AKM2) students better. This can make them able to manage their upcoming course to achieve satisfying GPA, or even with praise. Hence, it is necessary to analyze the characteristics of Financial Accounting course, in order to take appropriate action.

Based on data from AKM 2 final exam results from four classes of FKIP students of second semester of the 2014-2015 academic year, they have average score of 55 or D value. This fact suggests that there is a need to improve learning outcomes of AKM 2, as it is an important subject.

Taking the subjects of Financial Accounting, students should have some characteristics such as (1) have and are able to develop problem solving skill, (2) have level of higher cognitive, and (3) have a greater ability to gain any prior knowledge (Carrington, 2012). Having seen these characteristics, it means that there is a need to develop problem solving skills using learning methods that is problem-based learning (PBL).

Originally, PBL is derived from the concept of learning in small groups addressed for business education and it becomes well-known around the 1920s. PBL should consider the ability of teachers who are familiar with PBL models. Teachers should adjust and modify PBL as needed depending on the goals to be achieved (Barrows, 1996). Duch (2001) divides four models, namely PBL for medical school model, the model of floating facilitators, peer tutoring models, and models of large classes. Stanley and Marsden (2012) develop a model known as FIRDE (Facts, Ideas, Research, Decide, Execute) PBL consisting of 5 stages of problem solving methods that are easy to remember and implement. These five stages is presented in Table 1.

Based on the characteristics of accounting which requires the ability to think critically in analyzing the financial transactions, the following hypotheses can be derived: H1: There is a difference in learning outcomes for intermediate financial accounting 2 if method of Based Problem Solving is applied compared to the conventional method (method drill in small groups).

**Table 1. Method for Problem Solving (FIRDE)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stages</th>
<th>Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facts</td>
<td>Define problems, obtain facts and answer relevant questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideas</td>
<td>Generalise ideas and consider alternatives ideas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>Research on existing problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decide</td>
<td>Collaboration, share ideas, and make decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execute</td>
<td>Communicate decision or selected options.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Stanley and Marsden (2012)

There are three main characteristics of problem-based learning. First, this learning requires students not to only listen, take notes, and then memorize the subject matter, but they have to perform actively assisted by the teachers by giving them access to think, communicate, and process the data, and to finally conclude. Second, learning activities are meant to solve problems and the problems provided are the keywords in the learning process. Third, thinking using scientific thinking approach is used. Scientific thinking method is a process of deductive and inductive thinking (Yuniarti and Hadi, 2015). In the process of learning, critical thinking skills become important for students because it leads them to use their maximum potential to solve problems encountered in daily life. One of the models of student-centered learning which can improve students’ critical thinking skills is to implement the PBL model (Sulistyantri & Harnanik, 2014).

**METHODS**

This research is a quasi-experimental type of study. The experimental group is given a treatment using Problem Based Learning method and the control group uses the drill in small groups. The research designs are two groups- pre-test and post-test as illustrated below:

**Research Design**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E/O</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1O1</td>
<td>X1</td>
<td>O1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2O1</td>
<td>X2</td>
<td>O2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description:
- E1: Eksperiment Class
- E2: Control Class
- O1: Pre Test
- O2: Post Test
- X1: Treatment using problem-based learning method
- X2: Conventional method
Table 2. The Implementation of Problem-Based Learning in Intermediate Financial Accounting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stages</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facts</td>
<td>Educators</td>
<td>Educators explain the key concepts related to the topics which will be taught: Equity of stock holder involving share classification, stock issuance accounting, lump sum, treasury share accounting, and stock splits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facts</td>
<td>Self-directed learning</td>
<td>Guru akan menyediakan akses sumber belajar yang bisa digali oleh siswa untuk mengumpulkan data bersama termasuk buku-buku akuntansi keuangan, standar akuntansi Keungan, Each group should collect and read the data related to the given topics. Meanwhile teachers provide access to resources such as books of financial accounting, standard financial accounting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>The first session before class discussion</td>
<td>Each group meets up once a week to discuss on what they have submitted, set the schedule to prepare the answers on the discussed topics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>Discussion with teachers</td>
<td>Teachers should discuss the group that will perform within the week to help them identify problems and solve them by organizing the students’ answers becoming the materials according to the content and objective of the learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>The second session before class discussion</td>
<td>Each group should rediscuss on what they have learnt from the first discussion with the teachers. The objective of this discussion is to ensure each member of the group that they already understand the topics and prepare the materials to be presented in the classroom.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decide</td>
<td>Class report</td>
<td>Each group presents their results as scheduled. The leader of the group plays the role as the moderator and other members of the group present the findings. The result of the discussion is expected to cover the problems, concepts, and the knowledge they have learnt either new or common concepts, solution to the problem, existing problems, and the findings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execute</td>
<td>Group inquiry</td>
<td>During the report group session, the other groups are supposed to ask questions related to the topics, and those presenting should answer the questions. The teachers should give additional answers if necessary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X² : Conventional Method (Drill in a small group)

The instrument of the research is a test given for students in the pre-test and post-test in which its items have been validated. Data are analyzed using independent sample t-test in order to compare the average of two groups that are not paired or not related. Not paired means that the research is carried out for two different subject samples. This test is meant to see the different variation of the two groups of data. Hence, prior to the tests, the variance should be first known whether they are the same or different variances. Before performing the t-test, the pre-condition test is conducted namely normality and homogeneity test. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test is used for normality by using t-test and homogeneity test, while Levene’s test is for equality of variances.

The population of this study is all students of the 2014/2015 at four semester having Intermediate Financial Accounting 2, consisting of 4 classes, namely class 4A, 4B, 4C, and class 4D. The sampling technique used is purposive sampling by taking into account the academic ability and those who have mostly equal characteristics. Then, the homogeneity test is conducted and is randomized so that the experimental class can be determined. Finally, the class 4C and 4D are selected as the experimental ones.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This research is conducted for one semester and the second semester 2015/2016. The pre-test is conducted while taking a midterm test where both the control and the experimental classes still use the conventional method-the drill in a small group. Then, the post-test is taken from final exams with learning topic on holders of equity capital in which this topic is used for
experimental classes which are treated by implementing problem based learning method.

The results of the pre-test between the control group and the experimental group show that the average score of the experimental class is 53.81, and the control one is 45.94. There is 7.87 margin point. Then, the results of post-test between the control group and the experimental group reveal that an average of experimental class is 41.43 and the control one is 43.31 points in which higher to 1.88 difference in grade control.

The test results of homogeneity and normality of pre-test and post-test are in Table 3. Results of homogeneity for pre-test and post-test. Based on the result of homogeneity test for the pre-test, it shows that the pre-test data are already homogeneous. This can be seen from the data analysis using SPSS 23 as in Table 3.

Table 1 indicates that the data based on Mean is 0.510 which is greater than the alpha (α) of 5% (0.05), thus the value of sig. is 0.510> 0.05. This can be said that the data of pre-test experimental and control class is homogeneous.

The Result of Normality Pre-Test
The result of normality pre-test is illustrated in Table 4. The analysis shows that the value of Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)> α, where 0.056> 0.05 indicates that the data of pre-test has normal distribution.

Homogeneity Post-test.
The result of homogeneity for post-test is illustrated in Table 5. Table 5 shows that the Based on Mean data is 0.206 which is greater than the alpha (α) of 5% (0.05), thus the sig. 0.206> 0.05 which means that the post-test data between a control class and experimental class is homogeneous.

Normality Test on Post-Test.
The test result for normality test is illustrated in Table 6. The results of data analysis using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test shows that the Sig. 0.200> 0.05 meaning that both the experimental and control classes have normal distribution. Then, based on the results of normality and homogeneity test show that the data is normal and homogeneous. Further, the next step is to test the hypothesis of the study using the analysis of Independent Sample t-test and the results are shown in Table 7. Table 7 shows that the Sig. (2-tailed)> alpha, i.e 0.745> 0.05 indicating that
Ho is accepted and Ha is rejected. Thus, it can be concluded that there is no difference between the method of snowball throwing and talking stick in improving students' learning outcomes.

The results of the data analysis show that the pre-test between the control group and the experimental group illustrate the average score of the experimental class is 53.18, and control class is 45.94. It means that experimental class has 7.87 point which is higher than the control one. Then, the results of post-test show that the experimental group shows an average of 41.43 and it is 43.31 for control group meaning that the control group has 1.88 score higher. It means that there is no significant difference on learning outcomes for intermediate financial accounting 2 on shareholder equity topic.

Next, both classes use conventional method- drill method with small groups of pre-test showing that the experimental class has 7.87 points higher than the control class. However, the problem-based learning is implemented, the post-test experimental class has lower point than the control class. It means that the application of problem-based learning is found to be less effective than the drill methods in small groups on the material of shareholder equity. Although in both classes the decrease is equal as shown in gain score, it illustrates that students experiencing the down grade in experimental class which is more than that of the control one. In experiment class, there are 12 students having lower grade to 50 points. For the control class, there are 8 students or 50%, while the percentage of the experimental class reaches 57%.

Based on the statement of Schmidt &

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METODE_BELAJAR</th>
<th>Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic</th>
<th>Shapiro-Wilk statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POS TEST</td>
<td>.154</td>
<td>.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KONVENSIONAL</td>
<td>.112</td>
<td>.200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.
 a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Samples Test</th>
<th>t-test for Equality of Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>df</td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEARNING RESULT Equal variances assumed</td>
<td>-.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal variances not assumed</td>
<td>-.328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. The result of homogeneity for post-test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test of Homogeneity of Variance</th>
<th>Levene Statistic</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>df2</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POS TEST Based on Mean</td>
<td>1.659</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on Median</td>
<td>1.416</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on Median and with adjusted df</td>
<td>1.416</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34.817</td>
<td>.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on trimmed mean</td>
<td>1.652</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>.207</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. The Result for Normality Test for Post-Test
Moust (1995); Sage, S., & Torp, L. (2002), students should have and implement self-management and the spirit to seeking knowledge and learning through group collaboration because it makes them possible to contemplate the problems from different perspectives. Through sharing and exchanging knowledge and opinions, learners can create a meaningful system knowledge.

Apparently, based on observations during the learning process of PBL, the students are found to have lack of curiosity which can be seen from a few people who are actively involved in the process of discussion with the lecturers before presenting the results. Further, the process of group discussion is less optimum because materials on shareholders’ equity is a new material for the students. Therefore, the group members have limited knowledge prior to the discussion making the discussion does not run well. Besides, students have lack of curiosity and creativity. This can be seen from the lack of initiative to obtain materials from other sources.

Weaknesses found in this study is that there is difficulty in designing a case for materials related to stock based on the student’s perspective and students’ background knowledge on stock. Apparently, the students themselves have limited knowledge about the stock shares. In fact, PBL is a model based on the teaching and learning process in which requires teachers should select the curriculum design based on the perspective of the students and is based on what is known, used, and organized from a variety of sources in their daily lives through guided participation. In the end, students can develop the ability to solve complex problems they encounter in everyday life. Duch (2001) argues that the core and the learning objectives are related to the existing problem and eventually challenge the students to develop higher level of critical thinking such as analysis, synthesis, evaluation.

Having those weaknesses, it means that there is no significant difference between drill techniques in a small group compared to PBL. This means the result of the research is not in line with the research of Hsu C, Yen S, and Lai W in 2013 entitled the effectiveness of PBL in accounting I. The limitation of the study is that the characteristics of PBL does not adjust the students’ knowledge. Hence, further study should consider the students’ knowledge so that the discussion can run well. Besides, the selection of the material should be accordance with the previous knowledge that the students already have and it should not be the basic material.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the results of research, it can be concluded that there is no significant differences between implementing the method of problem-based learning and drill in small groups in improving learning outcomes for intermediate financial accounting. The limitation of the study is that the characteristics of PBL does not adjust the students' knowledge. Hence, further study should consider the students' knowledge so that the discussion can run well. Besides, the selection of the material should be accordance with the previous knowledge that the students already have and it should not be the basic material.
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