Characteristics and Antioxidant Activity of Kebar Grass (Biophytum petersianum) Extract
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Abstract. Kebar grass (Biophytum petersianum Klotzsch.) has long been known by the locals of West Papua as medicinal plant that improves fertility. The objectives of this research were to identify and determine the antioxidant activity of kebar grass crude extract through conventional method and to analyze for its antioxidant activity. Extraction method used was conventional method, performed by mixing dry kebar grass with water and boiled until the volume shrank to 1/3 of initial volume. Analyzed parameters were crude extract yield, qualitative phytochemical, total phenol and antioxidant activity. Research result showed extract crude yield value of 18.32±0.01%. Qualitative phytochemical contents were dominated by flavonoid, tannin, and saponin. Total phenolic content (TPC) of crude extract was 147±1.24 mgGAE/gdw (0.688±0.01 mgGAE/gfw). Crude extract antioxidant parameter was measured as the value of inhibition, AEAC, TEAC, and IC50 where each value respectively was as followed 42.0±0.047%, 69.93±2.19 mgAE/gdw (8.30±0.26 mgAE/gfw), 65.70±1.54 mgTE/gdw (7.80±0.18 mgTE/gfw) and 0.129±0.003 mgdw (257.75±4.90 mg/L). The contribution of kebar grass TPC content to antioxidant activity is 47.38% (equal to ascorbic acid) and 44.51% (equal to trolox) which means that almost half of kebar grass crude extract’s phenolic compound act as antioxidant. The results of this research can show that the kebar grass has potential as a source of multiple antioxidants, which acts as an analogue of vitamin C and vitamin E. The antioxidant activity of the kebar grass extract can be the scientific basis for the use of kebar grass as a medicinal plant for infertility problems (curative) and as a functional food ingredient for antioxidant sources that prevent a decrease in reproductive performance (preventive).
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INTRODUCTION

Prevention and treatment of various diseases can be performed by drugs from chemical medicine or from plants. Recently, prevention and treatment of various diseases tend to lean on natural means, which is to use plant as medicinal source. The use of plants as medicine is researched more vigorously and become a priority in medical world since it is deemed to be safer and cheaper. One of the diseases that has been treated by medicinal plants is infertility (Mbe-my et al., 2017). Many plants that has long been used as an alternative medicine in treating infertility problem are Eurycoma longifolia Jack. (Pratomo, 2012), Cordyline fruticosa (Amudha & Rani, 2016), and Chlorella vulgaris (Hernayanti & Simanjuntak, 2019). Plant ability to resolve infertility is assumed to be caused by the bioactive compound compositions which can influence folliculogenesis and steroidogenesis. These bioactive compounds have antioxidant characteristics and an ability to regulate several enzymes in steroidogenesis and as reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenging agent in ovary cells or able to regulate the production of hormones in ovary (Telefo et al., 2012). Bioactive compound consumption as polyphenols in food or drink can increase antioxidant capacity in the body (Ly et al., 2015) and lower oxidative stress in reproductive organ (Chen & Chan, 2012). Antioxidant supply from diet can complement endogenous antioxidant and together contain the action against free radicals (Ly et al., 2015). Bioactive compounds with effects on influencing reproduction are alkaloid, phenol, flavonoid, and phytosterol whose maximum contents are contained in plants (Adhikari et al., 2018). Vitamin E within a certain level is known to normalize epithelial cell of tubulus seminiferous and repair epithelial cell, contributing to an increase in testicle mass (Handayaningsih, 2010).

Kebar grass (B. petersianum) is among endemic plants originating from West Papua province, more specifically Kebar District, Tambrauw Regency. Kebar grass (KG) plant is categorized in Plantae kingdom, Spermatophyte division, Angiospermae sub-division, Dicotyledoneae class, Geraniales ordo, Oxalidaceae family, Biophytum genus, and petersianum species (Hyde et al., 2020). KG has long been known by the locals of West Papua as medicinal plant that improves fertility. Other than for treatment, KG is also reported to inhibit aflatoxin produced by A. flavus fungi in food high in carbohydrate, protein, and
lipid (Lisangan et al., 2014). Outside of Indonesia, a type of KG is found in Mali and other African regions. The plant in that region is named *B. umbraculum* Welw. and by locals, used as malaria medicine and cure for wound, stomach pain, and kidney stone (Hyde et al., 2020). Today, KG is commercialized as primary commodity in the form of whole dry grass. Difficulties in KG marketing among them are the lack of knowledge on its benefits for people outside of Papua, the product that is sold in the form of whole plant, and comprehensive efficacy study that is yet to be performed. This research aimed to identify and determine the characteristics of KG extract after conventional extraction and to analyze its antioxidant activity. Further benefits of the results of this study are as a scientific basis for the development of KG products that are applicable as an alternative medicinal plant for infertility healing (curative) and as a functional food ingredient for sources of antioxidants that prevent a decrease in reproductive performance (preventive).

**METHODS**

The main material used was fresh KG. Chemical ingredients used were methanol, *n*-hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate and Folin–Ciocalteau’s phenol reagent from E-Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). There were also Trolox (6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid), ascorbic acid and DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and distilled water.

**Sample identification and preparation**

KG sample identification was performed in Botany Laboratory of Indonesian Institute of Science, Bogor. KG sample preparation for the tests was conducted by preparing fresh KG for fresh weight content and for the examinations on water content of dried KG, crude extract characteristics, qualitative phytochemical, and antioxidant activity. Fresh KG was sorted and cleaned from dirt and then dried under the sun until the water content reached below 10% and then ground to obtain coarse powder and mashed in sieve 30 mesh (Andarwulan et al., 2012). The fine KG powder of 30 mesh size was packaged in 25 g each and then put into dark plastic container before being stored in freezer (-20°C) until the analysis time.

**Water content analysis**

Water content analysis was performed according to gravimetry method (Andarwulan et al., 2010). This test began by drying the aluminium cup in an oven on 105°C for 3 hours. The cup was then placed in a desiccator until cool (±30 minutes). The cup was weighted to obtain dry cup weight (W₀). Sample was put into dry cup and weighted along with the cup (W₁). The cup was then put into an oven for 3 hours in 105°C and then cooled in desiccator. The procedure was repeated until a stable weight was obtained (W₂). Water content was measured using the following equation:

\[
\text{Water Content (‰, dry basis, } \delta \text{)} = \frac{W_2 - W_0}{W_1 - W_0} \times 1000
\]

**Sample extraction**

KG extraction adopted a conventional method. Dry KG of 30 g was mixed with 600 mL water (1:20). In this research, modification was applied since fine KG powder was used. The mixture of fine KG powder and water was boiled until the mixture volume was 1/3 part of initial volume, then the mixture was strained and cooled. The mixture was sonicated with ultrasonic bath (Bransonic Ultrasonic Cleaner 8510E MTH, Branson ultrasonic Corp USA) for 30 minutes in room temperature, centrifuged in 2000 rpm for 5 minutes, and filtrated with filter paper Whatman no.42. The residual pulp was re-extracted with water in ratio of 1:2 (Sasidharan et al., 2011; Juliani et al., 2016). All of filtrate was contained in dark bottle. The solvent of the filtrate was evaporated via the use of rotary evaporator (*Buchi Rotavapor R-210, Buchii Labortechnik Switzerland*) in 50°C with 264 mbar pressure (Rütti & Heierli, 2012) until a thick extract was obtained. Thick extract was dried by blowing N₂ gas to obtain dry extract. The end product of this extraction was called water-soluble kebar grass crude extract (CE). The yield of extraction process was measured with the following equation:

\[
\text{Extract yield (‰) } = \frac{\text{CE weight}}{\text{Fine KG weight}} \times 1000
\]

**Qualitative phytochemical analysis**

CE qualitative phytochemical analysis was conducted to identify qualitatively the presence of secondary metabolites contained in KG and was determined by the method of Iqbal et al. (2015) and Thouri et al. (2017). The result of qualitative analysis on the presence of phytochemicals was symbolized as (+) if present and (-) if none. Alkaloid tests were carried out using *Mayer, Wagner* and *Dragendorf* (MWD) reagents and produced colored sediment with the changing color of MWD in the order of white, brown, and orange red. Test of flavonoid was carried out using sample mixture with flavonoid reagent (mixture of MgO, HCl and amyl alcohol) and then produce red, yellow, and orange as signified the presence of flavonoid. For saponin test, it was done by boiling sample with water then chilling and the presence of saponin was shown by stable bubbles. For tannin test, the method was the same as saponin but the filtrate was poured into a tube and then dripped with FeCl₃ 1%.
The presence of tannin was signified by greenish black color. Test of triterpenoid and steroid were done by added ethanol to the sampe and then boiled, filtered, and heated until dry. Dry filtrate was then added by 1 mL diethyl ether, homogenized and divided into two. For steroid test, the solution was added by 1 drop of concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$. Solution color change into green or blue signified the presence of steroid. For triterpenoid test, it was added by 1 drop of acetic anhydride. The changing color of the solution into red or violet showed the presence of triterpenoid. Quinone test was done by added methanol to the sample, heated, and filtered. The filtrate was then added by 3 drops of NaOH 10%. The quinone was signified by red color.

**Total phenolic content (TPC) analysis of CE**

Total phenolic content (TPC) was analyzed using Folin-Ciocalteu reagent for colorimetric determination by the method of Adebayo et al. (2018) with several modifications. Sample was mixed with Folin-Ciocalteu reagent 10%, and natrium carbonate 7.5% (1:5:4). The absorbance of the mixture was measured under 765 nm wavelength with UV-Vis Spectrophotometer. As a standard, gallic acid was used in 1 - 40 mg/L concentration. TPC was stated in mgGAE/gdw (gfw), milligram gallic acid equivalent per gram dry weight (gram fresh weight).

**Antioxidant analysis with DPPH method**

Antioxidant analysis therein included inhibition power, ascorbic acid equivalent antioxidant capacity (AEAC), trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) and IC$_{50}$. DPPH antioxidant analysis was measured according to the method by Iqbal et al. (2015) with several modifications. Extract/standard (in methanol) was mixed with DPPH reagent (comparison volum being 1 : 1). Absorbance measurement was conducted at 517 nm wavelength. Ascorbic acid and Trolox were used as standard with 0.391 - 25 mg/L concentration. Regression curve was obtained from inhibition value converted into absorbance value. IC$_{50}$ value was calculated by the interpolation of regression equation curve. Antioxidant inhibition power was separated into 4 categories: 0% - 20% (low), 20% - 40% (moderate), 40% - 80% (good) and 80% -100% (very good) (Nahak et al., 2014). Antioxidant inhibition power could then be used to determine the antioxidant capacity of CE, stated in ascorbic acid equivalent antioxidant capacity (AEAC, mgAE/gdw) and trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC, mgTE/gdw). Inhibition value (percentage of free radical scavanger) of sample against DPPH radicals was obtained by measuring control absorbance (Ao) and sample/standard absorbance (As). Inhibition value was measured by the following equation:

$$\% \text{ inhibition} = \frac{(A_o - A_s)}{A_o} \times 100\%$$

**The relationship between TPC with antioxidant activity**

The relationship between TPC (mgGAE/gfw) with antioxidant activity of the KG plant (mgAE/gfw and mgTE/gfw) can provide an overview of how much contribution a plant has from its antioxidant activity. Bioactive compound contribution in a plant is represented as TPC with the compound ability in inhibiting free radical calculated with the following equation:

$$\text{% Bioactive compound contribution to antioxidant capacity} = \frac{(A_s/A_o) \times 100\%}{\text{TPC}}$$

In which, AA: antioxidant activity (AEAC value; TEAC value); and TPC: total phenolic content.

**Data analysis**

Data (average ± deviation standard) obtained from every parameter was analysis by analysis of variance (Anova) and to see the difference between treatments, the analysis was followed by Duncan test with $\alpha=0.05$ by using software SPSS Version 21.

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

**Identification of kebar grass plant**

Based on the result of identification, the sampel tested was KG with scientific name *Biophytum petersianum* Klotzsch (Figure 1). KG physical characteristics based on its natural habitat in Kebar Regency have maximum leaf number of 8 pairs with oval leaf and a single flower attached to the tip of short stem of around 1mm in length. KG morphological characteristics consist of very short peduncle, few flowering clustered in the middle of rosette leaf, pedicel 2-3 mm, calyx 4-5 mm, part of petal colored yellow, orange, or red, small seed, round-oval leaf shape, 3-9 pairs of leaflets, pinnate leaf veins perpendicular against leaf bone with generally one season life span (Hyde et al., 2020). This species was identical with kebar grass plant sample used for this research for having the same characteristics.

**Water content and extract yield**

Water content measurement of KG sample was performed on 3 types of sample conditions: fresh, dry, and crude extract sample. Based on the result (Table 1), water content of fresh KG was 59.17±0.00%% (db, dry basis), dry KG was 8.97±0.016% (db) and crude dry extract (crude extract) was 0.29±0.0012% (db).
Extract yield in the form of CE in this research was 18.32±0.01%. Plant in the same genus but different species *Biophytum sensitivum* (L.) extracted by methanol solvent produced a yield of 11.6% (Kumar et al., 2017). This different yield showed that species difference and solvent type will produce different amount of yield. The difference of yield value is also influenced by the method of extraction. This can be seen on Table 2 which presents the different KG yield value produced by different extraction methods and types of solvent used.

**Table 1.** Water content of kebar grass samples in percent dry basis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Water content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>59.17±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>8.97±0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extract</td>
<td>0.29±0.0012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) different notation in yields column shows significant difference (*p* < 0.05)

Table 2 shows that the yield of KG extract in the conventional method is very high (*p* < 0.05) compared to other yields, except for the yield of extraction with 70% ethanol solvent. This result can give an illustration that bioactive components in KG are easily extracted by using polar solvent (yield >10%) but are hard to extract by semi polar to non polar solvent (yield <10%). However, in other plants the opposite occurs, namely extraction with organic solvents produces a higher yield compared to water solvents. As an example yield of *S. chinensis* L. root is influenced by the type of solvent used during extraction process, where absolute methanol solvent produced the highest yield followed by 50% ethanol, 50% methanol, 50% acetone, and water (Ngo et al., 2017). Other reports which support this statement were researches of several fresh vegetables (Sulaiman et al., 2011) and date seed (*P. dactylifera* L.) (Thouri et al., 2017).

**Table 2.** Extract yield of KG with various extraction methods and types of solvent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extraction methods</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Yields (%)*</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>18.32±0.01</td>
<td>This research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 hours maceration</td>
<td>Ethanol 70%</td>
<td>19.82a</td>
<td>Claudia et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 hours maceration</td>
<td>Ethanol 50%</td>
<td>12.00b</td>
<td>Titirikou et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 hour maceration</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>14.17b</td>
<td>Lisangan et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 hour maceration</td>
<td>Water temperature of 60°C</td>
<td>3.28c</td>
<td>Lisangan et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 hour maceration</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>6.15d</td>
<td>Lisangan et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 hour maceration</td>
<td>n-hexana</td>
<td>2.94c</td>
<td>Lisangan et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Qualitative phytochemical analysis

Based on this research, the result of qualitative phytochemical analysis showed that flavonoid, tannin, and saponin are present (Table 3). Other report showed that KG extract contained steroid, quinone and triterpenoids other than flavonoid, tannin, and saponin (Lisangan et al., 2014). Detection of other components other than the result of this research is assumed to be caused by its extraction process and the use of 3 types of solvent in steps which were hexant, ethyl acetate, and methanol (Lisangan et al., 2014). This allows non polar components such as steroid to be extracted, whereas this research used conventional extraction with a single solvent, water. Based on Table 3, alkaloid, quinone, steroid, and triterpenoid component appeared to be undetected by using the extraction method used in this research. This is because alkaloid is a component easily soluble in semi polar solvent, while steroid, quinone, and triterpenoid tend to dissolve in non-polar solvent, so these compounds will not be detected in water (polar) solvents (Harborne,1998). Polar compounds such as phenol and flavonoid will be easily extracted by solvent with high polarity besides being influenced by the type of plant (Thouri et al., 2017). The statement reinforces the results of this study where conventional KG extraction produces high concentrated flavonoid, tannin, and saponin components. This can explain that if the target compound in KG extraction is a polar component, the solvent used is the polar solvent and then, It is assumed that other compound components that are semi-polar and non polar are still chained within EC matrix. In order to maximize the extraction of other bioactive components, fractionation could be chosen.
Table 3. Qualitative phytochemical analysis of KG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannin</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloid</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponin</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinon</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triterpenoid</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: (+) = detected; (-) = not detected.

Table 4. Comparison of TPC kebar grass crude extract with other plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Extraction methods</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>TPC</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B. petersianum</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>147±1,24 mgGAE/gdw (0.69±0.01 mgGAE/gfw)</td>
<td>This research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. petersianum</td>
<td>72 hours maceration</td>
<td>Ethanol 50%</td>
<td>205 mgGAE/gdw</td>
<td>Titrikou et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. sensitivum</td>
<td>24 hours maceration</td>
<td>Methanol 50%</td>
<td>87.0±0.404 mgGAE/gdw</td>
<td>Kumar et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables of Indonesian origin</td>
<td>Shaking</td>
<td>Etanol 95%</td>
<td>0.21-8.47 mgGAE/gfw</td>
<td>Andarwulan et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicinal plants in India</td>
<td>5 hours maceration</td>
<td>Methanol absol</td>
<td>0.52-15.88 mgGAE/gdw</td>
<td>Rajurkar &amp; Hande (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common medicinal plants in Asia</td>
<td>10 hours soxhletation</td>
<td>Methanol absol</td>
<td>water distillation</td>
<td>Iqbal et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables commonly consumed in Malaysia</td>
<td>1 hour maceration</td>
<td>Metanol 70%</td>
<td>0.1-98.6 mgGAE/gdw</td>
<td>Sulaiman et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Etanol 70%</td>
<td>0.1-80.5 mgGAE/gdw</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aseton 70%</td>
<td>0.3-120.5 mgGAE/gdw</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4-138.2 mgGAE/gdw</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Comparison of kebar grass antioxidant activity with other plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Inhibition (%)</th>
<th>AEAC mgAE/gdw</th>
<th>TEAC mgTE/gdw</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude extract of kebar grass</td>
<td>42.0±0.047% (8.30±0.26 mgAE/gfw)</td>
<td>69.93±2.19 (7.80±0.18 mgTE/gfw)</td>
<td>65.70±1.54 mgTE/gdw (0.258±0.0049 mg/mL)</td>
<td>0.129±0.003 mgdw (0.012±0.001 mgdw (AA); 0.01±0.0003 mgdw (Tr))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables of Indonesian origin</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>0.164±0.0004 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicinal plants in India</td>
<td>7.83±0.26-29.12±0.30 mgAE/gdw</td>
<td>46.9-224 mgTE/gfw</td>
<td>0.22-13.69 mgTE/gfw</td>
<td>0.061-1.946 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables of Thailand origin</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>4.23±0.01-11.05±0.13 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: nd = no data.

Based on the comparison of KG antioxidant ability with other plants with medicinal properties (Table 5), it can be seen that inhibition power and antioxidant activity (AEAC and TEAC) of KG plant is relatively high compared to other plants. If compared with several Indonesian vegetables plant such as jambu monyet leaf (A. occidentale), angsoka/beluntas (P. indica), kenikir (C. caudatus), and takokak (S. torvum) which have AEAC value ranging from 0.12 to 4.94 mgAE/gfw (Andarwulan et al., 2012), KG’s AEAC value is considerably high (8.30±0.26 mgAE/gfw). However, from the IC$_{50}$ value it can be seen that KG has relatively low IC$_{50}$ (see Table 5), unless compared to B. sensitivum. The IC$_{50}$ value of B. sensitivum is lower than KG IC$_{50}$. The different results obtained from this research is assumed to be caused by differences in species, solvent and extraction method. In the case of B. sensitivum, scientists used methanol solvent fractionated by ethyl acetate, whereas the solvent in this research was water and unfractionated. Thus it is clear, that is one of the important factors which influence the efficiency of extracting bioactive compound as antioxidant agent are solvent, species and extraction method. This statement is in accordance with that expressed by Sulaiman et al. (2011) and Ngo et al. (2017), that one of important factors that influence the efficiency of bioactive compounds as antioxidant agent from plants is its extraction method and its solvent.

The relationship between TPC with antioxidant activity

Based on the result of this research, TPC content of KG plant was 147±1.24 mgGAE/gdw, the antioxidant capacity equal to ascorbic acid by 69.931 mgAE/gdw and equal to trolox by 65.698 mgTE/gdw. We managed to obtained the contribution of kebar grass bioactive component to antioxidant activity was 47.38% (equal to ascorbic acid) and 44.51% (equal to trolox), which means that almost half of phenolic compound contained in KG plant can inhibit free radical or oxidant. Thus, the results of this study can reveal KG plants as a potential source of antioxidants that are almost equivalent to vitamin C (ascorbic acid) and vitamin E (trolox) which have not previously been reported. The activity of vitamin C and vitamin E as antioxidants has been known to have a good effect on improving reproduction, as reported by Christijanti et al. (2010) in Wistar strains that had previously been exposed to allethrin. The relationship between the content of TPC in KG plants with antioxidant activity which expressed as equivalent to ascorbic acid and trolox is a superiority of KG plants as one of the sources of medicinal plants that have double antioxidant actions and has potential as improving reproductive performance.

Scientific information obtained from this study can be used as a material for further development of KG plants as health products, both as a medicinal plant for infertility problems (curative) and as a functional food ingredient for antioxidant sources that prevent a decrease in reproductive performance (preventive).
CONCLUSION

Conventional extraction method of KG plant produced relatively high extract yield which is almost similar with maceration extraction method with ethanol 70% solvent. The phenolic compound composition of KG plant was dominated by flavonoid, tannin, and saponin. By the dominance of the high phenolic content, KG plants have potential as medicinal plants. It also has good antioxidant activity, which is almost equivalent to vitamin C (ascorbic acid) and vitamin E (trolox) as its analogue. Both vitamins are known to greatly contribute to general health, including reproductive health.
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