Argumentation Skill Assessment for Diagnosing Students' Understanding of Factual, Conceptual, Procedural, and Metacognitive Knowledge: Characteristics of Initial Needs

by Ahmad Walid

Submission date: 10-Nov-2022 10:13AM (UTC+0700)
Submission ID: 1949787430
File name: TRANSLATED_WALID_pr.docx (426.98K)
Word count: 6138
Character count: 37998

JPII 5 (2) (2016) 247-255

Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia

http://journal.unnes.ac.id/index.php/jpii

Argumentation Skill Assessment for Diagnosing Students' Understanding of Factual, Conceptual, Procedural, and Metacognitive Knowledge: Characteristics of Initial Needs

Ahmad Walid[1], Endang Widi Winarni[2], Fitri April Yanti[3]
[1]Universitas Islam Fatmawati Sukarno Bengkulu, Bengkulu, Indonesia
[2, 3]Universitas Bengkulu, Bengkulu, Indonesia
*Email: dongawalid19@gmail.com

DOI:

Accepted:... .Approved: ... . Published: ...

This study aims to synthesize the characteristics of the initial need for argumentative skills assessment instruments to diagnose students' understanding of factual, conceptual, procedural, and metacognitive knowledge. This study used a quasi-experimental method. The research subjects were taken from 50 students who used argumentative patterns in problem-solving on aquatic ecology material so that they were synthesized using argumentation skill indicators. This research was quantitative, with instrument construct design criteria in the cognitive domain based on the taxonomy of science education. The data in the study were collected through several tests to determine argumentation skills. Supporting data were obtained through questionnaires to be analyzed regarding content and learning tools. The data analysis technique calculated the average score of the test questions with criteria according to the skills of argumentation and analysis in terms of content and learning tools. The result of this research is a matrix of rigid test areas with the achievement of indicators of a neatly arranged argumentation skill questionnaire and the results of content analysis and learning tools that support the argumentation instrument.

Keywords: Assessment instrument, argumentation skill, cognitive ability

INTRODUCTION

Today the world is in the era of globalization, where competition for the quality of Human Resources (HR) is quite tight. 21st-century learning requires everyone to learn and think, focusing on developing intellectual abilities to adapt to changes and developments of the times. The outcome of 21st-century learning is life and career skills, such as the ability to synthesize information, work as a team, manage broadly and complexly, and be responsible to society and the environment (Tikva & Tambouris, 2021; Aldowah et al., 2019). Skills in technology, media, and information are the ability to recognize, find, and view all information with technology and media so that it can be meaningful. One of the abilities that every person must possess in this century is the argumentation skill.

Argumentation skills facilitate understanding cognitive activities in building scientific knowledge (Cavagnetto & Hand, 2012; Yılmaz-özcan & Tabak, 2019; Viyanti et al., 2016). Students with excellent and complex argumentations will better understand the concept (Claro et al., 2012). Students' scientific argumentation can develop a better understanding of scientific concepts and processes so that students can understand scientific phenomena in everyday life (Sampson & Blanchard, 2012; Osborne et al., 2016; Nie et al., 2015; Allen-Zhu, 2017). To understand qualified concepts and cognitive abilities, we can look at the ways and results of an educator formulating an assessment (Klein et al., 2019; Goepfert et al., 2019; Ching et al., 2021).

Assessment is a general term that covers the entire procedure used to obtain information about students' learning outcomes (observations, ratings, and paper and pencil testing) and assesses the learning process (Beidas et al., 2022; Janssens et al., 2022). According to Almendingen et al. (2021), assessment is an action or a process to determine the value of something. In assessment, three main terms must be understood and interrelated: decisions, considerations, and interpreting the information obtained as the final assessment result. Information is the raw material needed to make judgments (Vrindt et al., 2022). The term assessment assesses the process, progress, and students' learning outcomes (Molina Saorín et al., 2014; Urcola-Pardo et al., 2017). Thus, it can be concluded that assessment is the proper term for assessing student learning processes.

Osborne et al. (2016) explain the difficulties of lecturers in assessing the correct argument. Science knowledge explained in the class is sometimes considered solid and a fact that students cannot argue. Toulmin's (2003) argumentation scheme consists of 1) claim as the center of the argument; 2) claim supporting data; 3) warrant, the relationship between data and claim. 4) backing, quality, and type of reason; 5) qualifiers, statements giving properties, limits, or clear conditions that are part of the claim; 6) rebuttal, identify exceptions to claims or alternative claims. The Toulmin model offers a method for analyzing and critiquing arguments so that each argument component can be tested and assessed for its strength. The co-orientational approach is built based on the Toulmin model, which is added with three elements: the co-orientation process, the argument situation, and the argument relationship. This argumentation scheme can identify aspects of the argument that will be assessed and can assess the justification of an argument in addition to the structure of the argument itself. Each component in this schema works together and explains how they adapt the arguments to different situations and contexts.

Based on the preliminary study results analyzing the Semester Learning Plan (RPS) developed by the lecturers, the development of the Ecology course learning plan sub-ecosystem material has not emphasized argumentation skills. Assessment only refers to outstanding ability either in theory or in practice. Preliminary studies also use the instrument of argumentation skills at the Science Education Study Program of UIN Fatmawati Sukarno Bengkulu, showing that the claim is 72%, the data is 54%, the warrant is 38%, the backing is 66%, and the qualifier aspect is 49%. The argumentation quality analysis is based on Toulmin's argumentation model, indicating a weakness in empowering students' argumentation skills in learning. This statement is supported by Viyanti et al. (2016), who states that students' argumentation skills are still low in all aspects of students' argumentative thinking indicators in learning. Students are not trained to argue scientifically (Wahdan et al., 2017).

Argumentation skills can be trained by giving questions or tests in the assessment process (Wikara et al., 2022). Designing argument-oriented test questions prioritize the core activities of science practice which are the need for tests that help students express knowledge other than content to form an analytical framework for determining and examining arguments (Iordanou, 2022). Integrating argumentation skills in assessment cannot be separated from the relationship between concepts and teacher performance in the classroom (Problema & Falacias, n.d.). It aligns with epistemological aspects, a perspective in

appreciating criticism and arguing as an effort to build scientific knowledge (Wang, 2021). The instrument design for assessing students' argumentative skills on ecosystem materials guides students in explaining and proving science.

Wahdan et al. (2017) state that students' understanding of concepts is good if students can answer questions by explaining and proving science based on data. Questions or assessment techniques are not only used to evaluate the teaching and learning process, but assessment is one of a series of activities to improve quality, performance, or productivity in implementing a program (Mardapi & Herawan, 2018; Sukardi et al., 2015). Pattaraporn Amornchaia et al. (2015) also state that analytical reasoning skills are practiced in virtual classrooms with performance tasks.

Performance task activities focusing on arguments are designed based on logical errors generated by scenarios and related evidence. The results of another analysis conducted by Belland et al. (2017) regarding argumentation skills combined with computer-based scaffolding are very effective in improving cognitive learning from pretest to posttest; these strengths are consistent across level measures, educational populations, and STEM disciplines. In addition, an essential skill in critical thinking and argumentation is the ability to recognize mistakes, for example, false arguments, ubiquitous in argumentative discourse, be deceptive, manipulative, or lead to (wrong moves) in the discussion. Despite the importance of thinking skills, argumentation scholars focusing on the quality of argumentation have not investigated fallacies empirically. The absence of resources dealing with fallacious argumentation requires a scalable approach to data acquisition and annotation, whose serious game methodology offers an exciting but unexplored alternative to the original (Habernal et al., 2017). Despite the early emergence of oral argumentation, written argumentation is slow to develop, insensitive to alternative perspectives, and generally poor quality. Some findings in assessment patterns and high-quality argumentative questions are expected throughout the curriculum and needed in an increasingly competitive workplace that requires advanced communication skills (Ferretti & Graham, 2019).

Acar (2018) finds that the development of pre-service science teachers' argumentation skills and conceptual knowledge, the relationship between argumentation skills and conceptual knowledge, and the relationship between argumentation and conceptual knowledge gains for pre-service science teachers at the initial level of conceptual knowledge in the undergraduate program where argumentation skills are incorporated into the science curriculum. His findings align with Patton and White (2015), who discuss the relationship between argumentation skills and conceptual abilities. It is found that most of the counterarguments and rebuttal skills are successfully developed. In addition, different trends of changing argumentation skills are identified as having scientific misconceptions and conceptions. Luginbühl and Müller-Feldmeth (2022) show oral argumentation skills compared to written argumentation to reconstruct various levels of oral argumentation skills with processes and styles beyond the structural aspect in the narrow sense of forming oral argumentation to a higher level. Argumentation skills can be achieved if the integration skills established during formal school education can facilitate the integration of all knowledge (Hacıeminoğlu & Yıldız, 2022). Other supporting research is on how to build arguments and apply concept knowledge which is closely related to evaluating claims, supporting claims with evidence, and justifying the relationship of evidence with claims by reasoning. However, previous research reveals that, when constructing arguments, students experience challenges in distinguishing between components of an argument, applying conceptual knowledge, or constructing multivariate arguments. Scaffolding can address this challenge by supporting students as they focus on the expected structure of the argument and activate the required conceptual knowledge. When students enter the classroom with different prior knowledge, support needs to be tailored to the needs of students (Lieber et al., 2022)

This study aims to synthesize the characteristics of the initial needs of an argumentative skills assessment instrument to diagnose the students' understanding of factual, conceptual, procedural, and metacognitive knowledge. Assessment instruments are needed to improve students' understanding of argumentation skills factually, conceptually, procedurally, and metacognitively to support achievement in evaluating the learning process. The criteria for argumentation skills in this study is that students can work on questions that are expected to improve the quality of data and research results to strengthen arguments. It can be seen from the questions given by educators. The results of this study are characteristics that must exist in making argumentation skills questions. These characteristics are contained in the framework of indicators and rubrics made from the analysis of the preliminary results.

METHODS

This research was conducted with a qualitative descriptive analysis. This research is based on the description of phenomena or events captured by

researchers with existing facts. Qualitative research is a method used to assess the condition of natural objects (Bao et al., 2002). This study used a quasi-experimental method (Thyer, 2012). The research subjects were taken from 50 students who used argumentative patterns in problem-solving on aquatic ecology material so that they were synthesized using argumentation skill indicators. This research is quantitative with instrument construct design criteria in the cognitive domain based on the taxonomy of science education. The data in the study were collected through several tests to determine argumentation skills. Supporting data were obtained through questionnaires to be analyzed regarding content and learning tools. The data analysis technique calculates the average score of the test questions with criteria according to the skills of argumentation and analysis in terms of content and learning tools.

In this study, an argumentation skill assessment instrument was developed as an argumentation test to measure students' skills in reasoning in the form of multiple-choice arguments. The criteria for developing instrument constructs in the cognitive domain are based on the taxonomy of science education (Amalia & Susilaningsih, 2014). The argumentation test area development matrix on the claim component can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Section of the Test Area Development Matrix

Components	Aspects	Taxonomy of Science Education	Indicators of Argumentation Skill in operational verbs
Claim Definition: a statement about things that can be judged right or wrong	Claim Based on Facts Claim Based on Definitions Claim Based on Cause-and-Effect Relationships	Domain: knowing and understanding (knowledge)	**Identify** **Classify** **Interpret** **Explain** **Understand** **Predict** **Show** **Express** **Explain**

This research is a preliminary study to see the profile of students' reasoning. The instrument used in this study is nine multiple-choice questions with reasons. An important concept tested in this test is the ecosystem of aquatic ecology, adapted from several ecological topics in the world in several reputable newspapers. Three analyses are used in this study: subject matter analysis, content analysis, and analysis of teaching tools so that a profile of the characteristics of argumentative assessment can be found entirely (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Matrix Chart for Making Argumentative Question Instruments

The research was conducted at three universities: UIN Fatmawati Sukarno Bengkulu, UIN Raden Fatah Palembang, and UIN Raden Intan Lampung. Subject matter analysis was taken from several rivers in Bengkulu City. The sample of this research is all questions related to ecosystem material in the ecology course. Data analysis was done by identifying the appropriate question indicators following the criteria. In addition to questionnaire analysis, the questions and content of data collection in this research are to conduct simple direct research to obtain quantitative results. The data analysis technique used patterns, formulas, and achievement indicators that supported obtaining new information.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This research begins with preliminary study activities, which include needs analysis, which aims to find out the problems that occur on campus so that they can be solved through product development results. The results of the needs analysis obtained by the researchers were collected based on the analysis of the results of the questionnaire distribution as a supporting data collection instrument. Questionnaires support argumentation skills data, especially regarding students' self-confidence to express their argumentation skills in solving problems. The scope of the results of the students' self-confidence in arguing is presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Achievement of Indicators of Student Argumentation Confidence Questionnaire

Indicators	Indicator Achievement (%)			Average
	PT A	PT B	PT C	
Claim	82,08	83,33	81,33	82,25
Data	81,25	81,89	82,67	81,94
Warrant	81,67	81,78	86,67	83,37
Backing	80,42	82,89	81,33	81,55
Qualifiers	81,67	81,33	81,78	81,59
Rebuttal	82,50	82,22	81,33	82,02

Source: Analysis of argumentation skills questionnaire

The result data in Table 1 show that the self-confidence of the students expressing their argumentation skills in the Southern Sumatra High School is categorized as "good," with the highest score on the warrant (83.37%) and the lowest on the backing and rebuttal (81.55%). These results illustrate that overall, the students at higher education in South Sumatra already have good self-confidence in expressing their argumentation skills for the claim, data, warrant, backing, qualifiers, and rebuttal indicators.

After the questionnaire data was processed and synthesized, it was continued by analyzing and synthesizing the questions. Question analysis data used by lecturers in this study aims to determine the percentage of the aspect of argumentation skills in questions. The questions analyzed include daily test questions, quizzes, mid-semester tests, final-semester tests, and lecturer handbooks of the aquatic ecosystem, as presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Percentage of Argumentation Skill Indicators in Questions

Indicators	∑Question	Total Questions	Percentage
Claim	37	47	78,73
Data	10	47	21,27
Warrant	0	47	0
Backing	0	47	0
Qualifiers	0	47	0
Rebuttal	0	47	0

Source: Lecturer question bank for ecosystem material

The data on the percentage of using the argumentation skill indicator in the questions used in class in Table 4.2, on average, only reveals claim (78.73%) and data (21.27%), where the claim dominates the most. Based on this, it can be said that lecturers in higher education in South Sumatra need an assessment instrument to develop argumentation skills.

Content analysis on this data was taken to know the actual data in the field. It was adjusted to the reviews, documentation, and observations to compare theory and conditions in the field. The data were obtained by conducting direct research related to aquatic ecology. In this case, the researchers compared very natural and polluted waters. The results of the observations are in Table 4.

Table 4. Water Ecology Data Based on Observation Parameters

Aspects	Components	River Type	
		Natural Water	Polluted Water
Science Education	Water Temperature (0 C)	25,42	30,51
	Air Humidity (%)	62,28	54,35
	Current speed (m/s)	0, 46	0,23
	Conductivity (us/cm)	192,61	194,43
Chemistry	PH	7,27	6,21
	DO	9,25	2,19
	Turbidity (NTU)	4,7	37.23
Biology	Community	Rarefied	Dense

Benthos Periphyton Riverside Structure and Texture	Available - Shrubs, herbs, and plant litter - Moist	Available - Trees, shrubs, herbs, and plant litter - Moist

The river temperature obtained is 25.42ºC and 30.51ºC, while the standard water quality temperature is 24.89 to 31.89ºC. The water temperature in the river shows good parameters. According to water quality standards, the oxygen content in the river is 9.25 mg/l and 2.19 mg/l. It shows the high diffusion of air from the surface of the water and the abundance of phytoplankton. This phytoplankton carries out much photosynthesis during the day, producing a lot of dissolved oxygen (Nybakken, 1998).

According to water quality standards, the pH value is 6-9, while the river has a pH of 7.27 and 6.21. It shows that the pH of river water has a suitable H+ concentration to support the life of aquatic organisms. The following parameter is turbidity or water turbidity. Based on the measurement results, the turbidity obtained is 4.7 NTU and 37.23 NTU. However, according to the water quality standard, the water turbidity should be less than 5 NTU. It shows that the turbidity of unspoiled river water is still very clear and cloudy in polluted rivers.

In biology, the diversity of benthos attached to the surface of rocks, wood, and others is very diverse. Figure 2 is a graph of the Benthos Diversity Index from the Shannon-Wiener index.



Figure 2. The Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index

Figure 1 shows that the level of benthos diversity in the two rivers as sampling sites is moderate. Moreover, Simpson's Dominance Index measurements find that no pi2 is close to 1, so no species dominates.

In addition to content analysis, analysis was also carried out on learning device data in the form of RPS at 3 Universities for ecosystem materials. RPS can be used in order to support the process of teaching and learning activities to emphasize the argumentation skills of students. After being analyzed with learning achievement indicators, it was found that 1) the development of RPS in the Ecology course of ecosystem material did not emphasize argumentation skills (when viewed from indicators); 2) the development of the RPS had not harmonized derivative functions of RPS components following KKNI guidelines; 3) the development of the RPS only emphasized the factual and conceptual cognitive dimensions (according to KKO), not procedural and metacognitive dimensions; 4) from the results of interviews via telephone, there had been no formation of a course consortium or clump either at the campus or regional level which discusses firmly and in detail related to the preparation of the Ecology course learning plan and 5) Assessment only refers to the definitive ability either in theory or in practice.

Product design is interpreted by making product planning and development of argumentation skills assessment instruments for ecosystem learning. In general, the product draft of the argumentation skills assessment instrument contains the background, philosophical foundations, and a general description of the guidelines for the preparation of the argumentation skill assessment instrument for ecosystem learning. The steps include formulating test objectives, formulating test areas, outlining test material, determining learning outcomes, and compiling question content outlines.

The argumentation skill assessment instrument for Aquatic Ecology learning produced by the researcher is an Argumentation Skill Assessment Instrument, which is equipped with examples of problems in the form of multiple-choice questions on Aquatic Ecology material, problem-solving rubrics, and scoring guidelines. The assessment instrument developed can identify the structure of students' argumentation, the quality of students' argumentation production, and the pattern of students' thinking processes. The argumentation skill instrument can allegedly train higher-order thinking skills (Wikara et al., 2022). This statement is supported by the opinion of Habernal et al. (2017), Iordanou (2022), and Wang (2021) that higher-order thinking skills cannot be separated from

disclosing reasons by identifying, evaluating, and providing reasons.

The argumentation skill assessment instrument for Aquatic Ecology material that was developed refers to several theories, such as Toulmin's (1983) argumentation scheme, content analysis by Walton (2012), argumentation production analysis by Schwartz (2014), Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca (1969), and Jackson and Jacobs (1980). The assessment instrument for developing argumentation skills has been applied to a set of ecological argumentation skills assessments concerning the developed rubric. The argumentation skill assessment instrument for aquatic ecology learning has been validated in a good category. It can measure students' argumentation skills, which are carried out in stages from the easiest to the most challenging level of argumentation skill. It is supported by Iordanou et al. (2019), who state that argumentation skills will increase along with the right and gradual process. Moreover, at each stage, the achievement indicator can easily show that the level of argumentation skill is achieved (Re et al., 2019).

Argumentation skills need to be trained in students. Its importance is because students will succeed in explaining, deciding, demonstrating, and producing problem-solving in the context of knowledge and experience (Meral et al., 2022; Lieber et al., 2022). Argumentation skills must be measured using a good and valid assessment instrument (Ping & Osman, 2019). Educators have not measured argumentation skills, and a sound assessment system on campus is still very much needed. Thus, it is necessary to develop an instrument for assessing argumentation skills for aquatic ecology learning in order to measure students' argumentation skills in the form of reasoned multiple-choice questions. the quality of the object, skill, attribute, or behavior to express the quantity of the object, skill, attribute or behavior being measured. Ping and Osman (2019) strongly recommend and pay special attention to explaining the test's type, form, and content. It is proven that students' argumentation skills grow when the test, combined with multiple-choice questions and essays, is carried out. It is also following Matsumoto-Royo et al. (2022) that the evaluation results are displayed as valuable information, so the more significant the knowledge possessed, the greater the level of argumentation skill, dedication to learning, and improved final grades. Another study finds a significant relationship between several factors, which shows an increase in argumentation ability when it is associated with an exam as an evaluation tool, as an answer that combines literal response questions and the ability to express opinions (Al Musawi et al., 2022).

The stages of analysis of the argumentation skills assessment instrument for aquatic ecology learning are structured to make it easier for lecturers to develop assessment instruments for material achievements related to argumentation skills (Komljenovic, 2022; Priemer et al., 2020). The argumentation skills assessment instrument for aquatic ecology learning developed combines the concepts of argument theory: the argumentation scheme of Toulmin (1983), Walton (2012), argumentative production analysis by Schwartz (2014), Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca (1969), and Jackson and Jacobs (1980). The purpose of combining theorizing arguments is to make it easier to design rubrics and scoring based on the categories implied by each theory and use each scheme to code students' argumentation (Douglas et al., 2020; Xie & Derakhshan, 2021), argumentation skills based on initial needs both questionnaires, questions, or content (Aditomo & Klieme, 2020).

CONCLUSION

The conclusion that can be drawn from this study is the characteristics of the initial need for the argumentation skill instrument to diagnose students' understanding of factual, conceptual, procedural, and metacognitive knowledge. A detailed analysis has been carried out following the indicators of instrument development. This study's results are expected to be used as material in the learning process so that the resulting instrument can measure argumentation skills and be seen in cognitive aspects; factual, conceptual, procedural, and metacognitive. It is also hoped that in the future, it will be necessary to develop a validated product that is more complex while still prioritizing the characteristics in each development of new knowledge.

REFERENCES

AKAN, D., SAĞIR, M., & GÖKSOY, S. (2009). The Opinions of The Primary Education Supervisors in Relation With Strategic Management Approach in Continuing The Supervising Services About The Regions. Gaziantep Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 8(2), 447–477. https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/jss/issue/24261/257173

Akbari, R., & Dadvand, B. (2014). Pedagogical knowledge base: A conceptual framework for teacher admission. System, 42, 12–22.

Allen-Zhu, Z. (2017). Katyusha: The first direct acceleration of stochastic gradient methods. The Journal of Machine Learning Research, 18(1), 8194–8244.

Bernstein, B. (2018). On the classification and framing of educational knowledge. In Knowledge, education, and cultural change (pp. 365–392). Routledge.

Cavagnetto, A., & Hand, B. (2012). The importance of embedding argument within science classrooms. In Perspectives on scientific argumentation (pp. 39–53). Springer.

Cavagnetto, A., & Hand, B. (2012). The importance of embedding argument within science classrooms. In Perspectives on scientific argumentation (pp. 39–53). Springer.

Cetin, P. S. (2014). Explicit argumentation instruction to facilitate conceptual understanding and argumentation skills. Research in Science & Technological Education, 32(1), 1–20.

Chin, C., & Osborne, J. (2010). Students' questions and discursive interaction: Their impact on argumentation during collaborative group discussions in science. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 47(7), 883–908.

Claro, M., Preiss, D. D., San Martín, E., Jara, I., Hinostroza, J. E., Valenzuela, S., Cortes, F., & Nussbaum, M. (2012). Assessment of 21st

century ICT skills in Chile: Test design and results from high school level students. Computers & Education, 59(3), 1042–1053.

Driver, R., Newton, P., & Osborne, J. (2000). Establishing the norms of scientific argumentation in classrooms. Science Education, 84(3), 287–312.

Faika, S., & Side, S. (2013). Analisis kesulitan mahasiswa dalam perkuliahan dan praktikum kimia dasar di jurusan kimia FMIPA Universitas Negeri Makassar. Chemica: Jurnal Ilmiah Kimia Dan Pendidikan Kimia, 12(2), 18–26.

Freestone, M., & O'Toole, J. M. (2016). The impact of childhood reading on the development of environmental values. Environmental Education Research, 22(4), 504–517. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2014.989962

Goepfert, N. C., Conrad Von Heydendorff, S., Dreßing, H., & Bailer, J. (2019). Effects of stigmatizing media coverage on stigma measures, self-esteem, and affectivity in persons with depression - An experimental controlled trial. BMC Psychiatry, 19(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-019-2123-6

Handayani, R. D., Wilujeng, I., Prasetyo, Z. K., & Triyanto. (2019). Building an indigenous learning community through lesson study: challenges of secondary school science teachers. International Journal of Science Education, 41(3), 281–296.

Harefa, D., & Sarumaha, M. (2020). Teori Pengenalan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam Sejak Dini. PM Publisher.

Istiyono, E., Mardapi, D., & Suparno, S. (2014). Pengembangan tes kemampuan berpikir tingkat tinggi fisika (pysthots) peserta didik SMA. Jurnal Penelitian Dan Evaluasi Pendidikan, 18(1), 1–12.

Jatmiko, J., & Fiantika, F. R. (2017). Perangkat Pembelajaran 4D Sebuah Rekam Jejak Proses Pembuatan Perangkat Pembelajaran Berbasis Video Animasi 3D Portofolio. Jurnal Math Educator Nusantara: Wahana Publikasi Karya Tulis Ilmiah Di Bidang Pendidikan Matematika, 3(1).

Kertiasih, N. K., Setemen, K., Suputra, P. H., & Marti, N. W. (2015). Pengembangan Sistem Evaluasi Untuk Dosen Sebagai Upaya Peningkatan Kualitas Pembelajaran. Jurnal Pendidikan Teknologi Dan Kejuruan, 12(1), 20–24.

Klein, P., Küchemann, S., Brückner, S., Zlatkin-Troitschanskaia, O., & Kuhn, J. (2019). Student understanding of graph slope and area under a curve: A replication study comparing first-year physics and economics students. Physical Review Physics Education Research, 15(2), 20116. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.15.020116

Krathwohl, D. R., & Anderson, L. W. (2010). Merlin C. Wittrock and the revision of Bloom's taxonomy. Educational Psychologist, 45(1), 64–65.

Linn, R. L., & Gronlund, N. E. (2000). Measurement and assessment in education. Columbus, OH: Merrill.

Mardapi, D., & Herawan, T. (2018). Assessing teacher competence and its follow-up to support professional development sustainability. Journal of Teacher Education for Sustainability, 20(1), 106.

Moshman, D. (2018). Metacognitive theories revisited. Educational Psychology Review, 30(2), 599–606.

Narasimhan, M., & Schwing, A. G. (2018). Straight to the facts: Learning knowledge base retrieval for factual visual question answering. Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV), 451–468.

Nie, W., Tsai, H., Asadpour, R., Blancon, J.-C., Neukirch, A. J., Gupta, G., Crochet, J. J., Chhowalla, M., Tretiak, S., & Alam, M. A. (2015). High-efficiency solution-processed perovskite solar cells with millimeter-scale grains. Science, 347(6221), 522–525.

Novitasari, N., Ramli, M., & Maridi, M. (2015). MEASURING PROBLEM SOLVING SKILLS OF HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS ON BIOLOGY. Jurnal Biologi Edukasi, 7(1), 1–6.

Nussbaum, M. C. (2012). The new religious intolerance. Harvard University Press.

Osborne, J. F., Henderson, J. B., MacPherson, A., Szu, E., Wild, A., & Yao, S. (2016). The development and validation of a learning progression for argumentation in science. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 53(6), 821–846.

Sampson, V., & Blanchard, M. R. (2012). Science teachers and scientific argumentation: Trends in views and practice. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 49(9), 1122–1148.

Sudarsana, I. K. (2016). Peningkatan mutu pendidikan luar sekolah dalam upayapembangunan sumber daya manusia. Jurnal Penjaminan Mutu, 1(1), 1–14.

Sugiyono. (2015). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif dan R&D. Alfabeta.

Suharsimi, A. (2013). Dasar-Dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan. Bumi Aksara.

Sukardi, I., Wigati, I., & Masripah, I. (2015). Pengaruh metode pembelajaran discovery learning terhadap hasil belajar siswa pada mata pelajaran biologi Kelas VII di MTs Patra Mandiri Plaju Palembang. Bioilmi, 1(1), 22–29.

SUMAJI, S. S. (2015). Upaya Meningkatkan Prestasi Belajar IPA Materi" Ketergantungan Manusia Dan Hewan Pada Tumbuhan Hijau" Melalui Metode Connecting, Organizing, Reflecting, Dan Extending Siswa Kelas V. PINUS: Jurnal Penelitian Inovasi Pembelajaran, 1.

Suriawati, S., & Mundilarto, M. (2019). SETS approach-based audiovisual media for improving the students' critical thinking skills. Psychology, Evaluation, and Technology in Educational Research, 1(2), 95–103.

Viyanti, V., Cari, C., Sunarno, W., & Prasetyo, Z. K. (2016). Pemberdayaan keterampilan argumentasi mendorong pemahaman konsep siswa. Jurnal Penelitian Pembelajaran Fisika, 7(1), 43–48.

Wahdan, W. Z., Sulistina, O., & Sukarianingsih, D. (2017). Analisis kemampuan berargumentasi ilmiah materi ikatan kimia peserta didik SMA, MAN, dan perguruan tinggi tingkat I. J-PEK (Jurnal Pembelajaran Kimia), 2(2), 30–40.

Yılmaz-özcan, N., & Tabak, S. (2019). The effect of argumentation-based social studies teaching on academic achievement, attitude and critical thinking tendencies of students. International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education, 12(2), 213–222. https://doi.org/10.26822/iejee.2019257669

Zhu, M., Lee, H.-S., Wang, T., Liu, O. L., Belur, V., & Pallant, A. (2017). Investigating the impact of automated feedback on students' scientific argumentation. International Journal of Science Education, 39(12), 1648–1668.

Aditomo, A., & Klieme, E. (2020). Forms of inquiry-based science instruction and their relations with learning outcomes: evidence from high and low-performing education systems. *International Journal of Science Education*, *42*(4), 504–525. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2020.1716093

Al Musawi, A., Al-Ani, W., Amoozegar, A., & Al-Abri, K. (2022). Strategies for Attention to Diverse Education in Omani Society: Perceptions of Secondary School Students. *Education Sciences*, *12*(6), 398. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12060398

Aldowah, H., Al-Samarraie, H., & Fauzy, W. M. (2019). Educational data mining and learning analytics for 21st century higher education: A review and synthesis. *Telematics and Informatics*, *37*(April 2018), 13–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2019.01.007

Almendingen, K., Sparboe-Nilsen, B., Kvarme, L. G., & Benth, J. S. (2021). Core competencies for interprofessional collaborative practice among teacher education, health and social care students in a large scaled blended learning course. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, *14*(August), 2249–2260. https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S325086

Beidas, R. S., Dorsey, S., Lewis, C. C., Lyon, A. R., Powell, B. J., Purtle, J., Saldana, L., Shelton, R. C., Stirman, S. W., & Lane-Fall, M. B. (2022). Promises and pitfalls in implementation science from the perspective of US-based researchers: learning from a pre-mortem. *Implementation Science : IS*, *17*(1), 55. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-022-01226-3

Belland, B. R., Walker, A. E., & Kim, N. J. (2017). A Bayesian Network Meta-Analysis to Synthesize the Influence of Contexts of Scaffolding Use on Cognitive Outcomes in STEM Education. *Review of Educational Research*, *87*(6), 1042–1081. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654317723009

Cavagnetto, A., & Hand, B. (2012). The importance of embedding argument within science classrooms. In *Perspectives on scientific argumentation* (pp. 39–53). Springer.

Ching, G. S., Chao, P. C., Kuo, Y. S., & Roberts, A. (2021). Effects of cognitive knowledge and intercultural behavioral skills on cultural stereotypes and intercultural affect: A case of elementary students' perspective on islam. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(24). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182413102

Douglas, K. A., Merzdorf, H. E., Hicks, N. M., Sarfraz, M. I., & Bermel, P. (2020). Challenges to assessing motivation in MOOC learners: An application of an argument-based approach. *Computers and Education*, *150*(September 2019), 103829. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103829

Ferretti, R. P., & Graham, S. (2019). Argumentative writing: theory, assessment, and instruction. *Reading and Writing*, *32*(6), 1345–1357. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-019-09950-x

Goepfert, N. C., Conrad Von Heydendorff, S., Dreßing, H., & Bailer, J. (2019). Effects of stigmatizing media coverage on stigma measures, self-esteem, and affectivity in persons with depression - An experimental controlled trial. *BMC Psychiatry*, *19*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-019-2123-6

Habernal, I., Hannemann, R., Pollak, C., Klamm, C., Pauli, P., & Gurevych, I. (2017). Argotario: Computational argumentation meets serious games. *EMNLP 2017 - Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations, Proceedings*, 7–12. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/d17-2002

Hacıeminoğlu, E., & Yıldız, N. G. (2022). Interdisciplinary Use of Argumentation among Religious Education and Philosophy Teachers-in-Training. *Religions*, *13*(5), 405.

Iordanou, K. (2022). Supporting strategic and meta-strategic development of argument skill: the role of reflection. *Metacognition and Learning*, 399–425. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-021-09289-1

Iordanou, K., Kuhn, D., Matos, F., Shi, Y., & Hemberger, L. (2019). Learning by arguing. *Learning and Instruction*, *63*(May), 101207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2019.05.004

Janssens, L., Kuppens, T., Mulà, I., Staniskiene, E., & Zimmermann, A. B. (2022). Do European quality assurance frameworks support integration of transformative learning for sustainable development in higher education? *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, *23*(8), 148–173. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-07-2021-0273

Klein, P., Küchemann, S., Brückner, S., Zlatkin-Troitschanskaia, O., & Kuhn, J. (2019). Student understanding of graph slope and area under a curve: A replication study comparing first-year physics and economics students. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, *15*(2), 20116. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.15.020116

Komljenovic, J. (2022). The future of value in digitalised higher education: why data privacy should not be our biggest concern. *Higher Education*, *83*(1), 119–135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-020-00639-7

Lieber, L. S., Ibraj, K., Caspari-Gnann, I., & Graulich, N. (2022). Students' Individual Needs Matter: A Training to Adaptively Address Students' Argumentation Skills in Organic Chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education*, *99*(7), 2754–2761.

Luginbühl, M., & Müller-Feldmeth, D. (2022). Oral Argumentation Skills between Process and Product. *Languages*, *7*(2), 139. https://doi.org/10.3390/languages7020139

Matsumoto-Royo, K., Ramírez-Montoya, M. S., & Glasserman-Morales, L. D. (2022). Lifelong

Learning and Metacognition in the Assessment of Pre-service Teachers in Practice-Based Teacher Education. *Frontiers in Education*, *7*(May), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.879238

Meral, G., Ucar, S., & Demircioglu, T. (2022). Investigate the effect of argumentation-promoted interactive simulation applications on students' argumentation levels , academic achievements , and entrepreneurship skills in science classes. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, *45*(July), 101106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2022.101106

Molina Saorín, J., Miralles Martínez, P., & Trigueros Cano, F. J. (2014). La evaluación en ciencias sociales, geografía e historia: Percepción del alumnado tras la aplicación de la escala EPEGEHI-1. *Educacion XX1*, *17*(2), 289–311. https://doi.org/10.5944/educxx1.17.2.11492

Ping, I. L. L., & Osman, K. (2019). Laboratory-modified argument driven inquiry (lab-madi) module: content validity process. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, *8*(1), 129–140.

Priemer, B., Eilerts, K., Filler, A., Pinkwart, N., Rösken-Winter, B., Tiemann, R., & Zu Belzen, A. U. (2020). A framework to foster problem-solving in STEM and computing education. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, *38*(1), 105–130. https://doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2019.1600490

Problema, E. L., & Falacias, D. E. L. A. S. (n.d.). *A LA UTILIDAD DE UN CONCEPTO TEÓRICO THE PROBLEM OF FALLACIES : OBJECTIONS TO*. 125–146.

Re, M. R., Amenduni, F., De Medio, C., & Valente, M. (2019). How to use assessment data collected through writing activities to identify participants' critical thinking levels. *Journal of E-Learning and Knowledge Society*, *15*(3), 117–132. https://doi.org/10.20368/1971-8829/1135051

Thyer, B. A. (2012). *Quasi-Experimental Research Designs*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195387384.001.0001

Tikva, C., & Tambouris, E. (2021). Mapping computational thinking through programming in K-12 education: A conceptual model based on a systematic literature Review. *Computers & Education*, *162*, 104083.

Urcola-Pardo, F., Ruiz de Viñaspre, R., Orkaizagirre-Gomara, A., Jiménez-Navascués, L., Anguas-Gracia, A., & Germán-Bes, C. (2017). La escala CIBISA: herramienta para la autoevaluación del aprendizaje práctico de estudiantes de enfermería TT - The CIBISA scale: self-assessment tool for practical learning of nursing students. *Index de Enfermería*, *26*(3), 226–230. http://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1132-12962017000200023&lang=es%0Ahttp://scielo.isciii.es/pdf/index/v26n3/academia.pdf

Vrindt, M. De, Noortgate, W. Van Den, & Debeer, D. (2022). *Text Mining to Alleviate the Cold-Start Problem of Adaptive Comparative Judgments*. *7*(July), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.854378

Wang, L. (2021). Critical thinking sub-skills in english debate. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, *11*(12), 1630–1635. https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.1112.15

Wikara, B., Sutarno, S., Suranto, S., & Sajidan, S. (2022). *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia IMPLEMENTATION OF 5E PLUS LEARNING MODEL ON ENERGY SUBJECT MATTER TO IMPROVE STUDENTS' ARGUMENTATION SKILLS*. *11*(2), 237–245. https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v11i2.30567

Xie, F., & Derakhshan, A. (2021). A Conceptual Review of Positive Teacher Interpersonal Communication Behaviors in the Instructional Context. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*(July), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.708490

Yılmaz-özcan, N., & Tabak, S. (2019). The effect of argumentation-based social studies teaching on academic achievement, attitude and critical thinking tendencies of students. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, *12*(2), 213–222. https://doi.org/10.26822/iejee.2019257669

Argumentation Skill Assessment for Diagnosing Students' Understanding of Factual, Conceptual, Procedural, and Metacognitive Knowledge: Characteristics of Initial Needs

ORIGINALITY REPORT

23%	15%	14%	%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Harry Affandy, Dewanta Arya Nugraha, Scundy Nourma Pratiwi, C. Cari. "Calibration for Instrument Argumentation Skills on the Subject of Fluid Statics Using Item Response Theory", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021 Publication	6%
2	idr.uin-antasari.ac.id Internet Source	3%
3	garuda.kemdikbud.go.id Internet Source	2%
4	jppipa.unram.ac.id Internet Source	2%
5	Leonie Sabine Lieber, Krenare Ibraj, Ira Caspari-Gnann, Nicole Graulich. "Students' Individual Needs Matter: A Training to Adaptively Address Students' Argumentation Skills in Organic Chemistry", Journal of Chemical Education, 2022	2%

	Publication	
6	www.aclweb.org Internet Source	1 %
7	lib.unnes.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
8	www.researchgate.net Internet Source	1 %
9	link.springer.com Internet Source	1 %
10	repository.radenintan.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
11	Renée A. Meyers, David R. Seibold, Dale Brashers. "Argument in initial group decision - making discussions: Refinement of a coding scheme and a descriptive quantitative analysis", Western Journal of Speech Communication, 1991 Publication	1 %
12	Erwin Akib, Muh. Arief Muhsin. "Critical thinking in cognitive domain: Exploring assessment of English teaching at pandemic period of covid-19", JEES (Journal of English Educators Society), 2020 Publication	<1 %
13	Martin Luginbühl, Daniel Müller-Feldmeth. "Oral Argumentation Skills between Process	<1 %

	and Product", Languages, 2022 Publication	
14	I Made, Made Santo. "Development of Adaptive Mobile Learning (AML) on Information System Courses", International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 2015 Publication	<1 %
15	www.academypublication.com Internet Source	<1 %
16	www.jurnal.unsyiah.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
17	download.atlantis-press.com Internet Source	<1 %
18	ejournals.epublishing.ekt.gr Internet Source	<1 %
19	etd.aau.edu.et Internet Source	<1 %
20	iainsalatiga.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
21	iupap-icpe.org Internet Source	<1 %
22	oaji.net Internet Source	<1 %
23	pure.southwales.ac.uk Internet Source	

		<1%
24	www.frontiersin.org Internet Source	<1%
25	www.mdpi.com Internet Source	<1%
26	egitimvebilim.ted.org.tr Internet Source	<1%
27	jurnal.unimus.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
28	"Student Learning in German Higher Education", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2020 Publication	<1%
29	Faisal, Sonya N. Martin. "Science education in Indonesia: past, present, and future", Asia-Pacific Science Education, 2019 Publication	<1%

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	< 3 words
Exclude bibliography	On		

Argumentation Skill Assessment for Diagnosing Students' Understanding of Factual, Conceptual, Procedural, and Metacognitive Knowledge: Characteristics of Initial Needs

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9

PAGE 10