



The Effect of Labor Force, Exports and Government Expenditures on GDP

Ratih Puspitasari[✉]

Development Economic Study Program, Economics Faculty, Universitas Negeri Semarang

Permalink/DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15294/efficient.v5i3.54959>

Received: June 2022; Accepted: September 2022; Published: December 2022

Abstract

High, quality, and sustainable economic growth is needed to encourage the continuity of economic development and increase people's welfare. This study aims to determine the effect of the working labor force, exports, and government spending on economic growth in Indonesia in 2014-2020. Used data consisting of 34 provinces in Indonesia during the period 2014-2020. The analytical model used is multiple regression panel data. The dependent variable in this research is GRDP. Meanwhile, the variables of government spending, working labor force, and exports are considered independent variables. Meanwhile, the measuring dimension in the variable is used as a ratio scale. Based on the fixed effect model (FEM) method, the results show that the working workforce has a significant and positive effect on GDP in Indonesia, exports have a significant and positive effect on GDP in Indonesia, and government spending has a positive and significant impact on GDP in Indonesia during the study period. The year 2014-2020.

Keywords: labor, exports, government spending, gross regional domestic product

Abstrak

Pertumbuhan ekonomi yang tinggi, berkualitas dan berkelanjutan sangat dibutuhkan untuk mendorong kelangsungan pembangunan ekonomi dan peningkatan kesejahteraan masyarakat. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui pengaruh angkatan kerja yang bekerja, ekspor, dan pengeluaran pemerintah terhadap pertumbuhan ekonomi di Indonesia pada tahun 2014-2020. Data digunakan terdiri dari 34 propinsi di Indonesia selama periode tahun 2014-2020. Model analisis yang digunakan yaitu regresi berganda data panel. Variabel dependen yang digunakan yaitu PDRB. Sementara variabel independen yang digunakan adalah pengeluaran pemerintah, angkatan kerja yang bekerja dan ekspor. Sedangkan, dimensi ukur dalam variabel tersebut digunakan skala rasio. Berdasarkan metode fixed effect model (FEM), hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa angkatan kerja yang bekerja, pengeluaran pemerintah dan ekspor secara parsial masing-masing memiliki pengaruh signifikan dan positif terhadap PDB di Indonesia. Namun, kontribusi terbesar diberikan oleh faktor tenaga kerja dari angkatan kerja yang telah bekerja. Hasil riset ini memiliki implikasi terhadap teori Neo-Klasik bahwa human capital sebagai pendorong pertumbuhan ekonomi terbaik.

Kata Kunci: Angkatan kerja yang bekerja, ekspor, pengeluaran pemerintah, produk domestik regional bruto

How to Cite: Puspitasari, R. (2023). The Effect of Labor Force, Exports and Government Expenditures on GDP. *Efficient: Indonesian Journal of Development Economics*, 5(3), 286-294. <https://doi.org/10.15294/efficient.v5i3.54959>

© 2022 Semarang State University. All rights reserved

[✉] Correspondence Address :
Address: Gedung L2 Lantai 2 FE Unnes
Kampus Sekaran, Gunungpati, Semarang, 50229
E-mail : ratih.tita@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Good sustainable economic growth is driven by resources; a productive workforce, quality government spending, and high exports. In economic theory, government spending is a resource that plays a very positive role in economic growth. However, empirically it is not always true, because in modern economic growth resources are like cotton and coal.

The problem is whether physical resources are still the key to modern economic growth (Stiglitz, 2018; Goldstone, 2015; Acemoglu, 2012; Dasgupta, 2010). Research results show that government spending often damages the economy and has a negative relationship with economic growth (Mitchell, 2005; Muryani, 2018). The indicator of development success is economic growth which is reflected in changes in Gross Regional Domestic Product (GRDP) in a region.

GRDP is the amount of added value generated by all business units in a region. When economic growth in an area increases, the economic activity in that region also gets better. Regional economic growth can be shown from GRDP at constant prices. The indicator of development success is economic growth which is reflected in changes in Gross Regional Domestic Product (GRDP) in a region. GRDP is the amount of added value generated by all business units in a region.

When economic growth in an area increases, the economic activity in that region also gets better. Regional economic growth can be shown from GRDP at constant prices (Todaro & Smith, Pembangunan Ekonomi, 2011).

According to Vries, a large number of factors were put forward by economic and global historians to explain the Great Divergence, namely (1) Natural resources, (2) Geography, (3) Labor, (4) Consumption, (5) Capital accumulation, (6) Trade, (7) War, (8) Institutions, (9) Culture and religion, (10) State action, (11) Science and Technology (Goldstone, 2015).

Export conditions in Indonesia always fluctuate from year to year. Exports can have a positive effect on GDP (Hasan, Hossain, & Sayem, 2022). Increasing exports will increase GDP and push economic growth will also increase. Meanwhile, based on existing data, the increase in exports has not been able to increase economic growth.

Population growth and labor force are traditionally considered as one positive factor that can increase economic growth. A high number of workers will be able to provide a stimulus to the level of production, while higher population growth means that the size of the domestic market is getting bigger. Furthermore, it can be interpreted that the positive or negative influence of population growth depends on the ability of a country's economic system to be able to absorb and utilize the increase in labor productively (Todaro, 2000).

Historically, the theory of economic growth has undergone several paradigm shifts. The earliest classical Malthusian/Ricardian ideas analyze economic growth in much the same way as population growth (Dasgupta, 2010). So far, the number of workers working in Indonesia has increased from 2014-2019, in 2020 there was a

decline due to the Covid-19 pandemic which resulted in many workers being laid off because many companies went bankrupt.

When the availability of labor is not followed by adequate job opportunities, it can lead to an increase in the unemployment rate, because unemployment can affect economic growth (Muryani & Pamungkas, 2018). The increase in the workforce working in 2014-2019 has not been able to increase economic growth in Indonesia. Meanwhile, according to Ambya (2020), an increase in the number of workers will be able to increase in economic growth.

Government spending and economic growth have a relationship that depends on the size of the applicable budget in each country, so the relationship can be positive or negative. Government spending provides a reflection of government policies. If the government has set a policy for purchasing goods and services, then government spending reflects the costs incurred by the government to implement the policy (Mangkoesebroto, 2010). Aggregate demand is a list of all goods and services that will be purchased by sectors of the economy at various price levels.

Table 1. Export Value, Number of Employed Labor Force and Realization of State Expenditure in 2014-2020

Year	Export (Million US\$)	Change (%)	Employed Labor Force (Number of People)	Change (%)	Government Expenditure (Trillion IDR)
2014	175.980,0	-3,60%	114.883.540	3,68%	1767,3
2015	150.366,3	-14,55%	114.819.199	-0,06%	1796,6 2016
2015	150.366,3	-14,55%	114.819.199	-0,06%	1796,6 2016
2015	150.366,3	-14,55%	114.819.199	-0,06%	1796,6 2016
2015	150.366,3	-14,55%	114.819.199	-0,06%	1796,6 2016
2015	150.366,3	-14,55%	114.819.199	-0,06%	1796,6 2016
2015	150.366,3	-14,55%	114.819.199	-0,06%	1796,6 2016

Source: (PDSI Kementerian Perdagangan, 2021)

In aggregate demand, there is government spending. Government spending is a form of support for government policies (Stiglitz, 2018). To provide fiscal support, the government annually increases its budget. Based on Keynes's theory of national income, government spending with GDP has a positive relationship, when the government increases its government spending, economic growth will also increase which can be seen from the value of its GRDP.

According to Keynesian theory, an increase in government spending is able to promote growth by injecting speculative power into the economy, as if by putting money in people's pockets, then people will spend money which will spur growth. When government spending is assumed to be zero, the chances of economic growth are very small, because enforcing contracts, protecting property, and building infrastructure would be very difficult without a government at all.

In other words, government spending is necessary for successful law enforcement (Mitchell, 2005). But in fact, from 2014 to 2020 government spending has always increased, but not accompanied by an increase in economic growth. Identification of the problem in this study is based on the background of this research, namely the existence of a research gap that occurs between one research and another, then the existence of a theory gap between

existing theory and existing reality. The problem that occurs is that the level of economic growth in Indonesia which is seen based on the value of GRDP in 34 provinces in Indonesia has never succeeded in achieving its target, it is necessary to do this research to see the influence given by the factors that affect GRDP.

RESEARCH METHODS

The type of research used is quantitative research. The research object used is all 34 provinces in Indonesia from 2014 to 2020. The type of data used in this study is secondary data which is processed using E-views 10 software. The data source comes from the Statistics of Indonesia (BPS) which includes the APBD Realization Report and Number of Working Forces and the Ministry of Trade which publishes the value of exports.

The data processing and analysis technique used in this study is using panel data regression analysis, with the area used consisting of 34 provinces in Indonesia with the time period used from 2014 to 2020. The equation model in this study is as follows:

$$N\text{LogPDRBit} = \alpha + \beta_1 N\text{logAKBit} + \beta_2 N\text{LogXit} + \beta_3 N\text{logGit} + \text{eit} \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

Where PDRB is Gross Regional Domestic Product, N is Cochrane-Orcutt, Log is Logarithm, AKB is Number of the employed labor force, X is Export value and G is Realized government spending.

The technique used in collecting data is the documentation method in which the researcher records written data relating to the problem used. The dependent variable in this study is GRDP on the basis of constant prices,

while the independent variables that are thought to have an effect on the dependent variable are the number of the working force, the value of exports, and the realization of government spending.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Contains the results of empirical research or theoretical studies written in a systematic, critical, and informative analysis. The use of tables, figures, etc. is only used as support to clarify the discussion and is limited to really substantial supports, for example, tables of statistical test results, pictures of model test results, etc.

The discussion of the results is argumentative regarding the relevance of the results, theories, previous research, and empirical facts found, as well as showing the novelty of the findings. When using regression with panel data, it is necessary to test the model with three approaches in determining the best model to use, namely the Common Effect Model (CEM), Fixed Effect Model (FEM), and Random Effect Model (REM).

In this research model, the best model used is the Fixed Effect Model. After choosing which model is the best to use, the next step is to test the classical assumptions, to ensure that the research model used in the study is unbiased, consistent, and accuracy in estimation with the aim of achieving the blue prerequisites.

In this study, the classical assumption test used is that there is normality, autocorrelation, multicollinearity, and heteroscedasticity. From all these tests, it was found that this study was not exposed to the problem of classical assumptions. After the model is

free from the classical assumption problem, a statistical test of regression analysis is carried out to test the suitability of the results of the null hypothesis on the sample used.

The desired level of significance from this study is 5%. Subsequently, a partial test

(t-test) was conducted to determine the extent of the influence of one independent variable on the dependent variable with the assumption that the other independent variables were fixed. Based on the partial t-test in this research model, the statistical t-table was obtained with a one-sided test of 1.645.

Table 2. Partial T Test

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	1.393380	0.512340	2.719640	0.0072
NLOGAKB	0.478580	0.065805	7.272656	0.0000
NLOGEKS	0.025315	0.005877	4.307300	0.0000
NLOGRPP	0.126028	0.022234	5.668140	0.0000

Source: Output Using E-Views 10

Based on the results of the partial test, the variables of the value of exports, the working labor force, and the realization of government spending have a probability value whose value is less than the critical value of 5%, it can be decided that the working labor force, the value of exports, and the realization of government spending have an influence on GDP. in Indonesia partially.

After the t-test was carried out, the F-test was then carried out, in which the results found were the value for the F-statistics or F-count, which was 1986.213, where the number was greater than the F-table, which was 2.60, and for the probability value (F-table). statistic) is 0.000000, which is smaller than the alpha value, which is 0.05.

So that means, the working workforce, the value of exports, and the realization of government spending have a significant influence on GDP in Indonesia together or simultaneously. As for this research model, the

value of adjusted R² is at 0.997168, which means that the variables of the working force, exports, and the realization of government spending can explain the dependent variable, namely GRDP of 99.71 percent, while the rest is 0.21 percent is explained or influenced by other factors outside the independent variables studied in this research model.

From the test results, it was found that the labor force variable that worked as an independent variable had a coefficient value of 0.478580, which means that if it is assumed that exports and the realization of government expenditures are fixed, then an increase in the labor force by 1 percent will increase GRDP by 0.478580 percent with the assumption *ceteris paribus*.

Based on the hypothesis described at the outset that the working workforce has a significant positive effect on economic growth described by GRDP, this is in accordance with the output results which show that it is in

accordance with the established hypothesis. This is in accordance with Solow's neoclassical growth model theory which states that an increase in human and physical capital which includes labor and labor productivity will result in an increase in GDP (Todaro, 2011).

Previous research also explains the same thing as this study, that the variable of the labor force that works has a significant positive effect on economic growth (Triwidyati & Purnamaningsih, 2019). The number of workers has a positive and significant influence on economic growth, where increasing the number of workers will increase the level of productivity so that economic growth will increase (Widayati, Laut, & Destiningsih, 2019).

Labor has a significant direct effect on economic growth (Hellen, Sri, & Fitriadi, 2017). Research on the influence of the working workforce on economic growth in Indonesia also has the same results as research in European Union countries, where the labor force participation rate has a significant positive contribution to economic growth (Soava G. , Mehedintu, Sterpu, & Raduteanu, 2020).

Previous studies to support this research, where the working workforce affects GRDP, further explained the relationship between the working workforce and GRDP to describe Indonesia's economic growth, namely labor, plays a role as a factor of production which is reflected in human resources.

Expertise and the capabilities possessed by the workforce are used in processing natural resources or producing both goods and services so that later the production will be marketed to the public which of course with the quality possessed by the workforce will be able to increase their income. The more a person's

income increases, the more purchasing power of people will also increase.

When people's purchasing power increases, their economic growth will also increase, because the consumption of goods and services produced increases and increases income which will increase economic growth. The results of the panel data regression test show that the export variable as an independent variable has a coefficient value of 0.025315, which means that if it is assumed that the workforce is working and the realization of government spending remains constant, an increase in exports of 1 percent will increase GDP by 0.025315 percent.

assuming *ceteris paribus*. This is in accordance with the theory of comparative advantage expressed by David Rido, according to him, each country will choose to export goods that have a comparative advantage so that both countries will benefit by doing this trade, the role of international trade in its contribution to economic growth is quite large, where an increase in international trade or exports will increase the potential for increased economic growth. Other studies, also shows conformity with the output results produced in this study, namely that exports have a significant and positive influence on economic growth in Indonesia (Ginting, 2017).

In addition, previous research also said the same thing that exports have a positive and significant effect on Indonesia's economic growth, a positive relationship between exports and economic growth in the long term defines that making a policy to encourage exports, it will have an impact in the long term in the form of increasing GDP Indonesia. This is because the increasing export value will increase APBN

revenues and maintain stability in the trade balance (Primandari, 2017).

Another study explains that exports significantly affect GDP, where GDP is used as an indicator to see economic growth (Affandi, Zulham, & Gunawan, 2018). In previous research, it was explained that exports had a positive effect on economic growth, but this time the effect given by exports on economic growth was not significant (Triwidyati & Purnamaningsih, 2019).

During the pandemic period that began in March 2020, the economic condition in Indonesia was very volatile. In terms of exports, due to the COVID-19 pandemic that hit the world including Indonesia, there were restrictions on trade transactions between countries to avoid the spread of the COVID-19 virus through contacts that occurred due to transactions between these countries.

This has hampered Indonesia's exports to foreign countries, as a result, the income received by Indonesia was reduced due to the inhibition of Indonesian exports. Of course, this will have an impact on economic growth in Indonesia, which will experience a decline in 2020.

In this study, there are results that exports have a positive and significant influence on Indonesia's economic growth which is described by the GDP of 34 provinces in Indonesia, because with export activities domestically must produce goods and services in greater quantities, it will provide large foreign exchange so that the flow of capital into the country increases.

Exports that are carried out widely to various countries or by expanding the domestic market which can then increase the investment will certainly increase the amount of production of goods and services so that later it will increase

GRDP and encourage Indonesia's economic growth.

The results of the panel data regression test show that the government expenditure realization variable as an independent variable has a coefficient value of 0.126028, which means that if it is assumed that the working force and exports are fixed, then an increase in government expenditure realization by 1 percent will increase GRDP by 0, 126028 percent assuming *ceteris paribus*.

This is in accordance with the theory expressed by John Meynard Keynes in short-term macroeconomic theory, where Keynes argues that aggregate demand is a key in understanding the occurrence of fluctuations in national income, when the government increases spending on goods and services, spending will increase so that this will make production goods and services that result in an increase in total income (Y) (Mankiw, 2007).

According to Theory of Keynes, recommended that the economy should not be left entirely to the market mechanism, but that the government should intervene in its economic system, so that in overcoming problems in an area, the government must intervene in controlling the national economy, namely by carrying out policies to influence the economy.

Other studies support this, namely stating that government spending as reflected in direct spending has a significant and positive influence on economic growth (Weya, Masinambow, & Koleangan, 2017). In previous research, dividing government spending into several sectors and stating the results that

government spending on education in the long and short term has a significant influence on Indonesia's GDP, then government spending on the health sector in the short and long term also has a significant impact on Indonesia's GDP, in addition to government spending in the agricultural sector also has a significant and positive influence on Indonesia's GDP in the short and long term.

So overall government spending on the education, health and agriculture sectors together has an influence on Indonesia's GDP both in the long and short term. (Anggraeni, 2017). In addition, other studies also say the same thing as this study, that government spending contributes positively to creating economic growth (Nugroho, 2016).

Other research that is in line with the hypothesis explains that government spending has a positive and significant influence on economic growth in an area (Triwidyati & Purnamaningsih, 2019). During the pandemic due to the COVID-19 virus that has occurred since March 2020, Indonesia's economic growth has declined. The policy taken by the government is to increase its spending budget that focuses on spending in the health sector in order to provide facilities to the community in helping reduce the spread of the COVID-19 virus transmission.

From this study, it was found that the realization of government spending has a positive and significant influence on economic growth which is supported by several previous studies, government spending as an illustration of government policy, when the government establishes a policy to buy goods and services as a result the costs incurred by the

government that is a reflection of government spending. The more government spending, the more national income of a country so that it will be able to encourage its economic growth. Government spending can be seen as fiscal policy because it increases aggregate demand.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the study it can be concluded that the working labor force, government spending, and exports have a positive and significant impact on GDP in Indonesia in 2014-2020. The results of this research support the theory of resources in supporting modern economic growth.

However, the results of this research do not support the results of previous research conducted by (Mitchell, 2005; and Muryani, 2018), which state that government spending has a negative and insignificant effect on economic growth. Unfortunately, this research has limitations in terms of time and other related variables, so it is recommended for future researchers to be able to add more comprehensive variables, data and data.

REFERENCES

- Affandi, Zulham, T., & Gunawan, E. (2018). [Pengaruh Ekspor, Impor dan Jumlah Penduduk Terhadap PDB Indonesia Tahun 1969 - 2016]. *Jurnal Perspektif Ekonomi Darussalam*, 4(2), 249-264.
- Ambya. (2020). How Government Spending on Public Sector Affect The Economic Growth?. *Journal of Economics and Policy*, 13(1), 218-229.
- Anggraeni, M. (2017). [Analisis Pengaruh Pengeluaran Pemerintah di Sektor Pendidikan, Kesehatan, dan Pertanian Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Indonesia Periode 1970-2015]. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Ekonomi*, 6(5), 499-509.
- Badan Pusat Statistik. (2021, Mei 31). [Penduduk Berumur 15 Tahun Ke Atas Menurut Provinsi dan Jenis Kegiatan Selama Seminggu yang Lalu]. Retrieved Agustus 1,

- 2021, from <https://www.bps.go.id/statistictable/2016/04/04/1907/penduduk-berumur-15-tahun-ke-atas-menurut-provinsi-dan-jenis-kegiatan-selama-seminggu-yang-lalu-2008---2021.html>
- Dasgupta, D. (2010). Modern Growth Theory. *IIMB Management Review*, 67-68.
- Elina, S., & Setyadharna, A. (2022). The Effect of Innovation, Economic Freedom, Macroeconomic Variables on GDP. *Efficient: Indonesian Journal of Development Economics*, 5(1), 1520-1533. <https://doi.org/10.15294/efficient.v5i1.50287>
- Ginting, A. M. (2017). [Analisis Pengaruh Ekspor Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Indonesia]. *Buletin Ilmiah Litbang Perdagangan*, 11(1), 1-20.
- Goldstone, J. A. (2015). Why and Where did Modern Economic Growth Begin. *TSEG*, 12(2), 17-30.
- Hasan, M. M., Hossain, B., & Sayem, M. A. (2022). Determining the Impact of Economic Factors to the Gross Domestic Product in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 37-40.
- Hellen, Sri, M., & Fitriadi. (2017). [Pengaruh Investasi dan Tenaga Kerja Serta Pengeluaran Pemerintah Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Serta Kesempatan Kerja]. *INOVASI*, 13(1), 28-38.
- Kementerian Keuangan Republik Indonesia. (2021). [Realisasi APBN]. Retrieved September 9, 2021, from [kemenkeu.go.id:https://www.kemenkeu.go.id/informasi-publik/realisasi-apbn/](https://www.kemenkeu.go.id/kemenkeu.go.id:https://www.kemenkeu.go.id/informasi-publik/realisasi-apbn/)
- Mangkoesebroto, G. (2010). [Ekonomi Publik (Edisi Ketiga ed.)]. Yogyakarta: BPFY-Yogyakarta.
- Mankiw, N. (2007). *Macroeconomics 6th Edition* (6th Edition ed.). New York and Basingstoke: Worth Publisher.
- Mitchell, D. J. (2005, March 31). The Impact of Government Spending on Economic Growth. *Background*, 1-18.
- Muryani, & Pamungkas, P. A. (2018). The Impact of Unemployment Rate, Labor Force, Capital, Inflation Rate, and Government Expenditure on Economic Growth in Indonesia. *American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER)*, 7(3), 109-119.
- Nugroho, G. A. (2016). [Analisis Pengaruh Pengeluaran Pemerintah Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi dan Indeks Pembangunan Manusia di Indonesia]. *Jurnal Perbendaharaan, Keuangan Negara dan Kebijakan Publik (Indonesian Treasury Review)*, 1(1), 39-50.
- PDSI Kementerian Perdagangan. (2021). [Ekspor Impor]. Retrieved September 8, 2021, from Portal Statistik Perdagangan: <https://statistik.kemendag.go.id/export-import>
- Primandari, N. R. (2017). [Pengaruh Nilai Ekspor Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Indonesia Periode Tahun 2000-2015]. *KOLEGAL*, 5(2), 183-194.
- Soava, G., Mehedintu, A., Sterpu, M., & Raduteanu, M. (2020). Impact of Employed Labor Force, Investment, and Remittances on Economic Growth in EU Countries. *Sustainability*, 1-31.
- Stiglitz, J. E. (2018). Where Modern Macroeconomics Went Wrong. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 34(1-2), 70-106.
- Todaro, M. P. (2000). [Pembangunan Ekonomi di Dunia Ketiga (Edisi 7 ed.)]. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Todaro, M. P. (2011). [Pembangunan Ekonomi Edisi Kesebelas Jilid 1 (Edisi Kesebelas ed.)]. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Todaro, M. P., & Smith, S. C. (2011). [Pembangunan Ekonomi (Edisi Kesebelas ed.)]. United Kingdom: Pearson Education Limited.
- Triwidyati, E., & Purnamaningsih, N. (2019). [Pengaruh Ekspor, Pengeluaran Pemerintah, dan Angkatan Kerja yang Bekerja Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Kabupaten Tulungagung]. *EkoNiKa*, 4(2), 193-209.
- Weya, Y., Masinambow, V. A., & Koleangan, R. A. (2017). [Analisis Pengaruh Investasi Swasta, Pengeluaran Pemerintah dan Penduduk Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Kota Bitung]. *Jurnal Pembangunan dan Keuangan Daerah*, 1-15.
- Widayati, H. W., Laut, L. T., & Destiningsih, R. (2019). [Pengaruh Jumlah Tenaga Kerja, Tingkat Pendidikan dan Jumlah Pengangguran Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Kabupaten Magelang Tahun 1996-2017]. *DINAMIC: Directory Journal of Economic*, 1(2), 182-194.