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Abstract. The application of information technology in the field of education produces big data. It retains information that can be treated as useful. Having data mining, can be used to model highly useful student performance for educators performing corrective actions against weak students.

Purpose: The study was to identify the application and accuracy algorithm Naive Bayes Classifier to predict students’ study results.

Methods: The prediction system for student learning outcomes was built using the Naive Bayes Classifier and Laplace Smoothing methods using a combination of two Information Gain and Chi Square feature selections. The experiment was carried out 2 times using different dataset comparisons.

Result: In the first experiment using a dataset of 80:20, the accuracy Naive Bayes Classifier method with Laplace Smoothing and without Laplace Smoothing showed the same results as 94.937%. On the second experiment to equate dataset 60:40 results of the Naive Bayes Classifier accurate method without Laplace Smoothing only 86.076%, then score a 91.772% accuracy using the Laplace Smoothing. The improvement is caused by a probability of zero that can be worked out with Laplace Smoothing.

Novelty: The selection feature process is very important in the classification process. Thus, in this study, information gain and chi square double selections of such features as information gain and so promote accuracy.

Keywords: Data Mining, Naive Bayes Classifier, Laplace Smoothing.

INTRODUCTION
Information has now become one of the principal needs of people besides food and clothing. This has resulted in rapid growth in information services such as television, newspapers, radio and the Internet. Developments in information technology have had a profound impact on people's lives to carry out daily activities. Information technology enables people to obtain information from far away in short periods and relatively inexpensive costs.

The progress of information technology is inevitable, for the advancement of science will allow technology to flourish. A technology was created to benefit in a positive way to various aspects of life. The purpose of information technology is to solve a problem and to increase its effectiveness and efficiency in doing all the work. These benefits can be used as a better basis for life. However, in reality information technology can also have a negative effect if it is not used properly.

Information technology is a combination of computer and telecommunications technology with other technologies such as hardware, software, databases, network technology, and other telecommunications equipment [1]. Information technology can be divided into two main components of communication and computer technology. Computer technology is technology related to computers and various kinds of equipment related to computers. Meanwhile, information technology is a technology related to remote communication devices [2]. Information technology can be summed up simply as the science needed to manage information so that the information can be recovered easily. Currently, information technology has been used in various fields of human life. In the field of health, for example, there are many sophisticated...
tools that can help doctors do their job easily. In the banking sector, information technology allows customers to make transactions very easily. Then, information technology also provides many benefits in the fields of business and education.

Education is one of the factors that is the key to economic progress. One of the programs designed to measure the academic performance of school children in each country was the Program for International Student Assessment (PISA). The Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) is an assessment program extended by several developed countries that are included in the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) which is held every 3 years which aims to determine the level of students' abilities in reading, mathematics and science in various country [3]. In 2018, the PISA score placed Indonesia in the lowest rank. The test results showed reading, math, and science scores were 371, 379 and 396 respectively. These scores had decreased compared to 2015, where reading, math, and science scores were 397, 386, 403 [4].

Indonesia's PISA score in 2018 can be said to be very worrying because it is far from the international average score. International average scores for reading, mathematics, and science each is 487, 489 and 489. Indonesia did not even make it pass 400 for all three. This decline in quality surely indicates that there is some homework to be done, if PISA is still the standard for our government for education development. The results obtained in 2018 placed Indonesia at sixth from bottom, a result that is clearly disappointing. When compared to countries in Southeast Asia, Indonesia is still under Thailand and Singapore. Based on the PISA score obtained by Indonesia, it is important to know the factors that influence Indonesia's PISA score. Indonesia's PISA score decreased in 2018 compared to 2015.

The bad score of PISA was particularly heavy for Indonesian educators. The application of information technology in education offered fertile land for data-mining applications, as there are many different data sources and interest groups. For example, there are interesting questions in this domain that can be answered using data mining techniques, which are possible to predict student performance and what factors influence student achievement. Student performance modeling is an important tool for educators and students as it can help better understand what is lacking and ultimately correct it. For example, the school can take corrective actions for weak students, such as repair classes.

The use of information technology in education can produce enormous data. The resulting data will retain valuable information, such as trends and patterns that can be used to help make decisions and optimize every opportunity, thus giving birth data mining. Data mining is a process of discovering knowledge (knowledge discovery) mined from a collection of data having a high volume [5]. This data collection is then analyzes is then analyzed in various angles and summarized into useful information. Data mining is a process that analyzes data in various angles and summarizes the results to useful information [6]. Information technology is used to facilitate the extracting of information that is difficult to process by hand, when the data contained is vast.

The existence of data mining can be used to model student performance which is highly useful for educators performing corrective action on weak students. The model developed would use the Naive Bayes Classifier method. The advantage of the Naive Bayes Classifier method is that it can work quickly when applied to large and varied data [7]. Additionally, several studies have shown that the results obtained with the Naive Bayes Classifier can compete with other techniques [8]. Naive bayes classifier is a simple probability classification that calculates the set of probabilities by quantifying the frequency and value combination of the given dataset. The application of naive baisa classifier on a data sometimes also causes a misclassification if the training data is so small or insufficient so that the testing data is not found in the training data, and causes the probability results to be worth 0 (zero) and lead to an error in the classification process.

The naive bayes classifier's lack can be minimized by using the smoothing method, some recent studies proving that the smoothie method can enhance the performance of naive bayes classifier. One of the smooth methods that can be used is the Laplacian smoothing, or what it is commonly called add-one smoothing. The working principle of the Laplacian smoothing can be said to be very simple by adding a small positive value to each of the cognitive value so that it can avoid the zero probability value on the model [9].
There were earlier studies linked to the research to be done. As for example the naive bayes classifier's prediction of stekom semarang student graduation rate produced an accuracy of 95.14% [10]. Then, another study suggested the use of naive bayes classifier's method to identify the success rate of schools preparing students for national exams resulted in 95% accuracy [11]. So the study regarding the implementation of the naive bayes classifier method for predicting hepatitis shows the value of accuracy reaching 76.77% [12]. On the study of the smooth performance analysis of naive bayes classifier for the exam's classification shows that Laplace smoothing actually increased naive bayes classifier's performance because it can minimize the classifier's classification value by zero [13].

Based on the above description, this research focuses on the system's accuracy to predict students' study results by using a naive bayes classifier algorithm with Laplace smoothing. The purpose of this study is to identify the application and accuracy algorithm naive bayes classifier and Laplace smoothing to predict student study results.

**METHODS**
The study was conducted using the naive bayes classifier and Laplace smoothing with a combination of information gain and chi square selection features. The level of the classification process conducted is addressed in Figure 1.

**Preprocessing Data**
Preprocessing data is done by simplifying data so it becomes data with corresponding characteristics that it can be accepted into training data and testing data. There are three processes involved in data cleaning, data transformation, and data selection. Cleaning data is used to clean data of incorrect values. The transformation of data does by changing value on each attribute has an acceptable range by the program to be created. Data selection is done by selecting significant attributes using the combination of information gain and chi square selection features. Where, it will be taken 10 of the best features of information gain and for chi square selection features will pick up the best feature with alpha 0.05.

Information gain is one of the most used selection techniques of the features [14]. Selection of features will need to be made to select the best features of available data. Information gain USES simple attribute rantings and reduces noise caused by irrelevant features [15]. To count information gain is based on equation 1.
Gain(A) = Entropy(S) − \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|S_i|}{|S|} * Entropy(S_i)

(1)

As for calculating entropy is indicated in equation 2.

Entropy(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -pi * \log_2 pi

(2)

Chi square is a method of selecting features that uses statistical theories to test independencies that serve to test the dependency of a class on a feature [16]. Chi square can evaluate irrelevant attributes in the classification process [17]. This chi square model uses the basic statistical theory to test independent features in the classroom. To calculate chi square indicated on equation 3.

\[ x^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(o_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}} \]

(3)

**Data Analysis**

The data analysis phase is done by gathering the datasets to be used on the research. The datasets to use do preprocessing in advance. The preprocessing stage is done to clear the datasets to be used of incomplete or unimportant attributes. After that, it will be divided into two parts: training data and testing data. Training data is used to train systems to predict students’ learning results. Whereas, data testing is used to assess the performance of the system being created.

The next was to file a classification by the naive bayes classifier method and be optimized Laplace smoothing. Naive bayes classifier is a simple probability classification that calculates the set of probabilities by quantifying the frequency and value combination of the given dataset [18]. Naive bayes classifier has a competitive performance compared to other classification methods and can be applied to many complex real-world situations [19]. The naive bayes classifier algorithm is a test-machine learning algorithm that USES the bayes theorem and depends on conditional probability [20]. The naive bayes classifier theorem can be identified in equation 4.

\[ P(C_i|X) = \frac{P(X|C_i)P(C_i)}{P(X)} \]

(4)

Smoothing method is a method for avoiding the results of the classification is zero because the testing data was not found on the training data [21]. Laplace smoothing is a method that adjucts a maximum probability estimate to correct probability instead of zero for data that is invisible and increases model accuracy because of frequency of data [22], [23]. The naive bayes classifier theorem if combined with Laplace smoothing can be seen in equation 5.

\[ P(C_i|X) = \frac{P(X|C_i)P(C_i) + 1}{P(X) + K} \]

(7)

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

The study aims to identify application and to know the results of the naive bayes classifier and Laplace smoothing’s accuracy in predicting students’ study. The testing process in the study uses the naive bayes classifier and Laplace smoothing thing by applying a combination of two features found information gain and chi square. The data used are students performance of UCI’s learning repracticed. The number of data from dataset students mance is 395 data, where the data has 30 attributes.

The first processing data is done. In the preprocessing stages there are three things to do on the cleaning data, data transformation, and data selection. By taking 10 of the best features of selection information gain and picking up selected features from chi square with alpha 0.005 obtained as many as 11 of the best features used in this study. 11 attributes to be used can be seen at Table 1.
Table 1. Attribute results combination of information gain and chi square

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Atribut</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>Second period grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>First period grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Failures</td>
<td>Number of past class failures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Mjob</td>
<td>Mother's job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Goout</td>
<td>Going out with friends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Studytime</td>
<td>Weekly study time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Walc</td>
<td>Weekend alcohol consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Medu</td>
<td>Mother's education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Freetime</td>
<td>Free time after school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>Wants to take higher education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Student's home address</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After getting 11 of the best features, the next process is to file a classification by the Naive Bayes Classifier methods and optimize it with Laplace smoothing to avoid a probability of zero value which could lead to a classification error. The test was performed twice by using different dataset comparisons. In the first trial, using a ratio of 80 training data and 20 testing data (80:20), showed if there is no accurate result between using a Laplace smooth thing and not using the Laplace smoothing. The results of the confusion matrix using a data comparison of 80:20 without and with Laplace Smoothing can be seen in Table 2 and Table 3.

Table 2. Confusion Matrix without Laplace Smoothing (80:20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pass</th>
<th>fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Predicted</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pass</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fail</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables 2 and 3 show 38 classified data passes with four misclassified data by the system. Then there are 41 classified data with no misclassified data. That means the system successfully predicts 75 data correctly and four misclassified data. Table 3 shows the same results as Table 2. Accuracy results in the first experiment using a dataset 80:20 is 94.937% either with Laplace smoothing or not.

Table 3. Confusion Matrix with Laplace Smoothing (80:20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pass</th>
<th>fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Predicted</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pass</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fail</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A second test is performed by using a 60% of training data ratio and a 40% of testing data (60:40). Confussion matrix results using data comparisons at 60:40 without and with Laplace smoothing shown at Table 4 and Table 5.

Table 4. Confusion Matrix without Laplace Smoothing (60:40)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pass</th>
<th>fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Predicted</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pass</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fail</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 shows 89 classified data pass with 16 misclassified data by the system. Then there are 69 classified data with 6 misclassified data. That means, the system successfully predicts 136 data correctly and 22 misclassified data.

Table 5. Confusion Matrix with Laplace Smoothing (60:40)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pass</th>
<th>fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Predicted</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pass</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fail</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Whereas Table 5 shows 80 classified data pass with 7 misclassified data by the system. Then there are 78 classified files with 6 misclassified data. That means the system has successfully predicated 145 data correctly and 13 misclassified data. The accurate results on the second trial as a dataset 3.40, which is 86.076% without Laplace smoothing, then increasing to 91.772% when using the Laplace smoothing.
Discussion

The experiment was carried out 2 times using different dataset comparisons. In the first experiment, using a comparison of 80 training data and 20 testing data (80:20), showed if there is no accurate result between using a Laplace Smoothing and not using the Laplace smoothing. Accuracy results in the first experiment using the ratio of dataset 80:20 can be seen in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Accuracy Results with 80:20 Dataset Comparison](image1)

Figure 2 with a dataset comparison of 80:20 shows the classification method of the Naive Bayes Classifier by applying a combination of two feature selection methods that successfully classifies with an accuracy rate of 94.937% whether with Laplace Smoothing or not. The classification results show the same result because there is no zero-value probability that occurs, so Laplace Smoothing does not have any effect. Laplace Smoothing will only work when there is a zero probability. The second experiment was carried out using a dataset comparison of 60% training data and 40% testing data (60:40). From the second experiment, it was found that there was a difference in accuracy when using Laplace Smoothing and not using Laplace Smoothing. The accuracy results in the second experiment with a dataset comparison of 60:40 can be seen in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. Accuracy Results with 60:40 Dataset Comparison](image2)

Based on Figure 3, showing increased accuracy from 86.076% to 91.772%. The improvement was caused by a zero probability improvement on Naive Bayes Classifier using the Laplace Smoothing. Laplace Smoothing elicited a zero probability and solved the zero-value probability problem that caused a misclassification on the Naive Bayes Classifier method. When compared with accuracy in using the 80:20 ratio of dataset, there appears to be a decline in accuracy. On the 80:20 dataset accuracy ratio is 94.937% either with Laplace smoothing or not, while dataface smoothing equals accuracy 86.076% without Laplace Smoothing and 91.772% using Laplace Smoothing. The decline in accuracy could be caused by a decline in the number of data used as a training data thus affecting the performance of the naive bayes classifier method.
CONCLUSION
The results of the accuracy of the Naive Bayes Classifier method with Laplace Smoothing and without Laplace Smoothing using a dataset comparison of 80:20 show the same result, namely 94.937%. Using the 80:20 comparison data, Laplace Smoothing does not seem to have any effect because there is no zero probability. Whereas when using a dataset comparison of 60:40 the results of the accuracy of the Naive Bayes Classifier method with Laplace Smoothing and without Laplace Smoothing show different results. When using Laplace Smoothing it was not only 86.076%, then you get an accuracy value of 91.772% when using Laplace Smoothing. This increase was due to a zero-value suspicion which was successfully overcome by Laplace Smoothing.
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