JED 4 (1) (2016)



The Journal of Educational Development



http://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/jed

DEVELOPING AN EDUCATION & INTEGRATED CRITICAL EVENT MODEL (ICEM) RESEARCH TRAINING BY USING A MENTORING STRATEGY FOR HEALTH COLLEGE LECTURERS

Mulastin™, Samsudi, Rusdarti

Postgraduate Program, Semarang State University, Indonesia

Article Info

_

Article History: Accepted April 2016 Approved May 2016 Published June 2016

Keywords: Research Education and Training, mentoring Strategy.

Abstract

As part of the three functions of higher education, lecturers should be able to develop new findings through research activities and use them for teaching materialsals for their students. However, there are still lecturers who do not have adequate competence in carrying out research activities and publish their research findings in scientific journals. Therefore, a model for education and trainingICEM for lecturers of Health Colleges in Central Java is still needed. The model is combined with a tutorial strategy for all stages of research activities. This study is meant to develop a research education and training ICEM model combined with a mentoring strategy, including planning, implementation, and evaluation. After three states of preliminary study, development, and testing, the model is significantly effective and can be used as an alternative model for training research activities for lecturers of Health Colleges in Central Java.

© 2016 Semarang State University

Correspondence Address :

Kampus Unnes Bendan Ngisor Semarang 50233
E-mail: jed@mail.unnes.ac.id

p-ISSN 2085-4943 e-ISSN 2502-4469

INTRODUCTION

The role of lecturers in higher education is very important to maintain the quality of education. They have three main functions, known as Higher Education Triple Functions – teaching, research and community service. Research as one of the three functions is essential because through research, lecturers can develop new findings and apply them to solve various problems. Findings of the research will enrich the lecturers' knowledge and improve the quality of their teaching in the classroom.

For higher education, research conducted by lecturers, in addition to improving their academic references, will improve the image of the institutions and make them known as the source of science development. Higher institutions are expected to contribute to the solution of the development problems for the people's prosperity. Therefore, research activities should be continuously improved in a quantitative and qualitative way.

Given the importance of the research for lecturers, Health Colleges have set up research activities as important agenda for their program. As a result of the current development both in the country and overseas, Health Colleges encourage the revision of Research Guidelines for Lecturers as stipulated in Decree No. 045a/SK.P/III/IKPIA/2011 on Provisions of Grants for Academic Writing, Research, and Publication for lecturers in Health Colleges.

Research Guidelines for Lecturers will describe the mechanism and procedures of research activities in Health Colleges and at the same time serve as a reference for monitoring, quality control, evaluation, and research development to produce high quality and beneficial research for the community. They will also standardize the lecturers' research.

The people's demand for high quality higher institutions is increasing. Higher institutions are expected to produce independent and innovative scientists to develop the communities (Tiara, 1997:167). The innovation should be suitable with changing people's needs. Higher institutions develop high quality human

resources, with academic, professional, and intellectual competences. Other than improving the quality of human resources with the mastery of science and technology, higher institutions should also develop science and technology to be advancing and competitive (Anwar, 2003:69).

Various factors influence higher education. One dominant factor that influences the quality of higher education is the quality of human resources, particularly academicstaff (Syarief, 2003: 1-6). Academic staff plays a key role within internal higher education and determine the success of the organization of higher education. This internal factor can be controlled by organizing management of higher education to achieve their goals (Prasetyo, 2000: 53-67).

Human resources are the most valuable assets for higher institutions, and often regarded as competitive edge as compared to industry, businessandgovernmentsector (Depdiknas, 2004). One of the internal strengths of higher institutions is high quality academic staff, indicated by the number of lecturers with specific expertise and holding masters and doctorate degree and professorship qualification (Sufyarma, 2003:130). Therefore, developing human resources is very important for each higher institution.

Lecturers are appointed by higher institution management on the basis of their education and expertise and assigned to teach in their institutions. They have strategic position and directly influence the teaching and learning process of their students. The quality of the learning process and achievement is surely determined by the quality of the meeting between lecturers and students (Uwes, 1999:11).

The higher education database(http://pdpt.dikti.go.id.2014) shows that the academic qualification of the lecturers of Health Colleges in Central Java have not complied with the Government Regulation No 4 Year 2014 on Organization and Management of Higher institutionin which lecturers must hold at least a master's degree, as also stipulated in Act No 14 Year 2005 on Teachers and Lecturers.

The data also show that 10 institutionsinCentral Java have adequate minimum number of lecturersbutthe academic qualificationfor each study program has not met the government regulation so it is still important to improve the lecturers' competenceon Triple Functions of higher institution, particularly in researchby using a suitable education and training model.

The lecturers' quality development andimprovement is very important to improve the qualityof human resources within higher institution. The quality improvementof academicstaff is meant to improve the their professionalism, in their competence in teaching, research, serving the community (Sumantri, 2000). Therefore, it is necessary to professionaland develop pedagogical development for thelecturers (Pidarta, 1999:278-284).

Researchin higher education is important and vital because it has both academic content and community service. With research, the community's problem can also be solved. With respect to academic content, research is part of scientificdevelopment. So, the existence of a College is questionable when there are no research activities. According to Article 2, Chapter 2 of Government Regulation No 12 Year 2014, collegeshave a strategicrole in terms of the achievement of the goal of higher education. First, they provide the students with academicandprofessionalcompetence to be able to apply, develop, and enrich science and arts. Second, they provide students with skills to developanddisseminate science and arts, and attempt to apply them to improve the life quality of the community, and enrich the national culture.

A number of issues emerge in Health Colleges in Central Java. Among others are (1) research findings are not yet applied by the community and are replicatedso no significant advancement is made, (2) most of the researchare literature-based and normative, not empirically-realistically based, and plagiarism is found in many places, (3) there is a lack of good quality human resourcesandlack of attention from Health College management, (4) lecturers

have low competence for conducting research, in fact they have to give lectures based on their own research. When lecturers only present materialsals from textbook, they are not different from high school teachers, (5) no research culture is highly adopted so the quality of students' final projects and theses is low, (6) there is a lack of research cooperation between institutions and low level of research publication in national and international journals, and (7) no monitoring and evaluation on research activities as required by Directorate of Higher Education.

Based on the preliminary observation, the low quality of research among Health College lecturers are caused by a number of factors:

- There is lack of attention from Health Collegemanagement on research issues. Additionally, researchers have low competence. So, even if the management pay high attention to the research, the same condition will emerge because the bad quality of the researchers.
- Research findings are not relevant with the people's needs. When the research cannot provide good contribution to the problem solving, no one will use it.
- 3) Adequate facilities for research activities should beavailable, including computer, internet, literature and other support facilities.
- 4) Research fund is available (sponsorship, college budget).
- 5) Research culture should be developed in colleges.
- 6) Education and training management is still conventional and it is conducted only to implement the planned activities without any strong monitoring.

Researchers are required to have as number of competences. First, they must be able to relate their topics with the community's actual needs. This is important because any research is approved because of this factor, that is, the researcher's ability to be smart in responding and packaging actual issues into their research proposal. Second, they must be knowledgeable to the topic under investigation. The research topic should not be too idealistic

but it can be completed or unsatisfactory. You should select a topic that you are competent with. Third, they must be able to choose an appropriate methodology. Methodologyis like a tool and if researchers do not have good knowledge of methodology, their research findings will be "dry", even can be wrong. Researchers must know a lot of formula and knowledge to be able to conduct sharp and deep analysis. Fourth, they must have scientific commitmentandintegrity. They must be honest, telling that the truth is true and vice versa. Many studies are conducted based on imaginary respondentsand data. For honest researchers, they will not abuse their research. Fifth, Researchers must be able to a clear and convincing proposal. This is related to the ability to catch the "taste" of the target sponsor. Sixth, researchers must be able to write a clear and convincing report. The report must be wellwritten, relevant with the topic of the research, not "digressing" and proportional. Finally, researchers must find a sponsor, be able to sell the proposal to the sponsor. This needs a special skill. All weaknesses mentined above are not idealistic condition and should be avoided by Health College.

Preliminary studies are conducted in ten private higher institutioninCentral Java, they are (1) Karya Husada Health College of Semarang, (2) Telogorejo Health College of Semarang, (3) Bhakti Mandala Husada Health College of Slawi Tegal, (4) Widya Husada Health College of Semarang, (5) Elisabeth Health College of Semarang, (6) Harapan Bangsa Health College of Purwokerto, (7) Muhammadiyah Health College of Klaten, (8) Ngudi Waluyo Health College of Semarang, (9) Kusuma Husada Health College of Surakarta, and (10) PKU Muhammadiyah Health College of Surakarta. Based on the interview with Head of Health College and Head of Research and Community Service, it is found that there is no close monitoring and supervision the implementation of the lecturers' research proposals, reports, and scientific articles, as s result the research findings are not validated in detail. In addition, not all institutionshold

researchtraining so that the supervision is limited only to the research methodology.

This study is meant to develop a model for a research education and training combined with a mentoring strategy for lecturers of Health Colleges in Central Java and aimed at (1) describing the needs for a research education and training, (2) describing the existing model of a research education and training in Health Colleges, and (3) developing and testing the effectiveness of the proposed model of a research education and training combined with a mentoring strategy for lecturers of Health Colleges.

METHODS

This study adopts a research and development approach to carry out the research, development and testing a certain product. This study is based on a need analysis and testing the implementation and effectiveness of the product so that the product will be functional and useful for lecturers of Health Colleges in particular and for all lecturers in higher institutions.

The product will be a procedural model, so the research processanddevelopment refer to three main steps First, a preliminary studi was conducted, which focuses on reviewing literature relevant to the problems and objectives of the study by using a field survey about the implementation of education and training model to find out the existing model. Second, a development stage was taken, in which the researcher develops a proposed model based on the existing model andtheits relevance with the expected condition. Third, the researcher made a revision of the proposed product and testedthe product.

The quantitative and qualitative data were used in this study. The qualitative data were collected by using observation, interview and documents for the preliminary studyto obtain the primary data related to the existing model of research education and training and lecturers' research activities. The quantitative data were collected for testing the effectiveness of the

proposed model of research education and training ICEM.

The data were classified based on the types and characteristics of the collected data. After the product was tested, the data were analyzed quantitatively to find out the effectiveness of the developed ICEM model.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the study include a need analysis for a research education and training for lecturers, the quality of the existing model for research education and training, and development and testing of the proposed model for research education and training combined with a mentoring strategy.

Needs for research education and training

Based on the results of observation, questionnairesandinterview to relevant competent parties, including: Head of Health Colleges, lecturers and Research Institutes in 10 Health Collegesin Central Java, the need analysis and the condition of the existing research education and training is described as below:

It is important to provide facilities and infrastructure for research education and training, including rooms supplied with online computers andtheir supporting softwares, internet network for accessing internets, Health College website with scientific journal portalsfor publication so the model for research education and training wuth a mentoring strategy for 10 Health Colleges can be implemented. In addition, instructors for the training should also be improved, including their ability to plan, implement, and evaluate the research education and training, their ability to deliver materialsals interactively, systematically, in a practicallyorganized way, andtheir ability to develop their productivity, working disciplineand ethos in the implementation of research education and training. The materialsals for training should also be presented based on the needs of the participants, in accordance with the topics,

hence helping the lecturers to develop their competence in conducting research activities.

Good planning for research education and training should also be made including structured timetable, distributing assignment to all lecturers to join the training in anumber of stages, the plan for training implementation made in a senate meeting, and also made on the basis of actual needs. The budget for research education and trainingshould be made in Revenue and Academic Budget Plan. The research education and training should be implemented in accordance with the schedule based on the academic calendar, as part of lecturers' development. The evaluation to the research education and trainingshould be made on the basis of need and carried out as scheduled in the academic calendar.

Exisiting model of research education and training

Based on the analysison the existing model of research training, a number of issues are described. With respect to determining organizational needs, the instructors ofeducation and trainingdo not have adequatecompetenceas required, including their limited abilityandskills in delivering materialsalsin an organized way. Instructors do not yet have the ability to develop the research productivity, have low discipline, attitude andwork ethos especially in carrying out research activities, andhave low quality to plan, implement, and evaluate the activities of research education and trainingby using the existing model. In addition, the implementation is still based on the budget of education and trainingfrom the limited budget of college foundation.

About the learning facilities, the infrastructures and other supporting facilities for the education and trainingare still limited.Room facilities for the education and trainingcompleted with online computers, printers, scanners, LCD projectors, andother softwares for the trainingare far from sufficient. Internet network, websites for Health Colleges with links to scientific journals are not sufficiently available.

activities of education The and trainingare not yet scheduled regularly in accordance with the academic calendar. The materialsfor education and trainingare not relevant with the participants' Theactivities of education and training are not toward the improvementof lecturers' competence. The planning of the programs foreducation and trainingare not wellscheduled andare not accessible to all lecturers. The implementation of education and trainingare not made as scheduled in the calendaracademic.The materialsfor the education and training are not based on the lecturers'needs. Also, the learning strategies have not fully maximized the participants. Evaluation of the education and trainingare not conducted on the basis of the needs and are not in accordance with thecalendaracademic.Lecturersdo have adequate knowledge on the research systematic procedures. Finally, after the training, no followup activities are conducted and thelecturersare not motivated to write research proposals.

In the existing model of research education and training forHealth College lecturers, only pretest, and no posttest is given, therefore, no feedback is obtained. Therefore, this existing model of education and trainingdoes not intensively encourage the lecturers' research performance.

Developing the proposed model of research education and training

The proposed model of research education and trainingcombined with a mentoring strategy is described below.

With respect to determining organizational needs, theinstructors have the required competence, including the excellent abilityandskills in delivering materials in an organized way,the very good abilityto develop researchproductivity, high discipline,

attitudeandwork ethos especially in carrying out research activities. They are also able to plan, implement and evaluatethe activitiesin the research education and training. Detail implementation of the training is specified in the Budget Academic Plan made regularly every year. With respect to learningneeds, the facilitiesfor education and trainingare fully available, where the rooms for the training are completed with onlinecomputers, printers, scanners, LCD projectors, andother supporting softwares. Internet network with hotspot facilities are provided to access the internet and Health College websites with portals for scientific journals are made fully available.

In addition, with determining the objectives, the programs in the proposed model of education and trainingare scheduled regularly in accordance with the academic calendar. The materials for the activities of education and trainingare relevant with the needs and the activities for education and trainingare oriented toward the improvement of the lecturers' competence. About the curriculum, the programs for education and training is structurally scheduled and are accessible to all lecturers.

With the selection and use of learningresourcesand strategies, the materialsfor the training are based on the needs. The learning strategies in theeducation and traininghave maximized the participants' activities. The evaluation of the education and trainingis implemented on the basis of the needsandplans in the academic calendar. Lecturers are competent in the systematic research procedures. Finally, there are follow-up activities after the training, so that the lecturers are motivated to write research proposals.

The proposed model of research education and trainingfor Health College lecturers as described above is illustrated in the following figure.

PERENCANAAN PELAKSANAAN EVALUASI Spesifikasi Identifikasi Standar Materi Metode Kebutuhan Performasi Kinerja Dosen Penelitian P Penelitian Bagi Pengembangan Bidang Е Penelitian bagi Dosen STIKES Penelitian dosen stikes N D Sistematika Α Kinerja Proposal Praktek М Penelitian Penetapan Melakukan P Program Diklat Dosen Penelitian Susunan Penelitian N Instrumen G Kualitas dan Kuantitas Materi Teknik Analisis N Penelitian Persediaan Fisik Artikel Ilmiah Fasilitas Diklat Dosen Dan Instruktur Evaluasi Evaluasi Evaluasi

MODEL DIKLAT EFEKTIF

Figure 1. Model of Research education and trainingfor Health College Lecturers

In this proposed model of research education and training, a pretest and posttestare given to the participants. Also, a mentoring strategy is intensively given from the beginning of the proposal preparation, research implementation, and writing research report, until the preparation of research articles.

By developing various activities in utilizing resources and materials of research education and training combined with a mentoring strategy, this model of education and training is expected to be used as an alternative model to develop the lecturers' research activities as part of the improvement of their teaching quality.

Testing the proposed model of research education and training

The testing is conducted to find out the effectiveness of the proposed ICEM model andis undertaken by (a) limited try-out, including one to oneevaluation, small group evaluation, andmiddle group evaluation, (b) expert validation, including management experts, content experts, textbook design

expertsandexperts on guidelines for education and training, (c) try-out to large groups, (d) implementation process for the model of research education and trainingcombined with a mentoring strategy for the participants after the training, and (e) validation process.

The limited try-outto the model of research education and trainingcombined with a mentoring strategy and all its related supporting facilities include 3 stages, namelyone to oneevaluation, small group evaluationand middle group evaluation.

One to oneevaluationinvolves participants to find out the effectiveness of theproposed model as ahypothetical model ofresearcheducation and trainingcombined with a mentoring strategy and includes a number of evaluation aspects: (1) determining organizational needs, specification of (2) implementation, (3) determining learning needs, (4) determining objectives, (5) determining curriculum, (6) implementation of education and training, (7) selectionanduse of learning resources, (8) selection of learning strategies, and (9) evaluation of thespecification of program implementation. The result of the try-out shows that the mean scores from the pretest is 45 andthose of posttestis 76.67 andstatistically significantso it can be concluded that the application of the model of education and trainingcombined with a mentoring strategy is found to be effective.

The small group evaluation involves 5 respondents. The mean scores from the pretest is 55.5 and after the implementation of the model of research education and trainingcombined with a mentoring strategy, the mean scores from the posttest is 78.5, which is higher than that of the pretest. Because the difference of the two mean score is statistically significant, the application of the model of education and trainingICEM combined with a mentoring strategy is found to be effective. Middle-scale group evaluationinvolves 10 participants. The mean scores from the pretest is 48.5 andthe mean scores from the posttest is 77.5. In the large-scale try out, the mean scores from the pretest is 67 andthe mean scores from the posttest is 88.1. Because the difference of the two mean score is statistically significant, the application of the model of education and trainingICEM combined with a mentoring strategy is found to be effective (ICEM).

CONCLUSION

The model of education and trainingICEM combined with a mentoring strategyfor Health College lecturersis designed to improve the lecturers' abilityin conducting stages of researchactivities from the preparation of the proposal, research implementation, preparing the research reportandwriting research articles. This model of education and trainingconsists of planning, implementationandevaluation of the education and training. The results of the try-out

shows that the model of research education and trainingcombined with a mentoring strategy is found to be effective. It is suggested that Health Colleges in Central Java can use this model of education and trainingas one of the alternative models for training research activities for lecturers in their institutions.

REFERENCES

Anwar, Idochi. 2003. *Administrasi Pendidikan and Manajemen Biaya Pendidikan*, Bandung: Alfabeta.

Depdiknas. 2004. Visi and strategi pembangunan pendidikan untuk tahun 2020 tuntutan terhadap kualitas. Ceramah menteri pendidikan and kebudayaan pada Konvensi Nasional Pendidikan Indonesia III. Ujungpandang, 4-7 Maret 1996. Jakarta: Direktorat Pendidikan Tinggi.

Peraturan Pemerintah No 4 Tahun 2014 tentang Penyelenggaraan Perguruan Tinggi and Pengelolaan Perguruan Tinggi

Pidarta, Made. 2000. Etos kerja lecturers, *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan. Jilid 6 No 4*: 278-284

Prasetyo, Teguh. 2000. Praktek sumber daya manusia yang progresif upaya membangun keunggulan bersaing. *Jurnal Ekonomi and Manajemen. Vol 1, No. 1*: 53-67

Sufyarma. 2003. Manajemen Pendidikan Bandung: Alfabeta.

Sumantri, S. 2000. *Pelatihan and Pengembangan Sumber*Daya Manusia, Bandung: Fakultas Psikologi
Unpad.

Syarief, Djohan. 2013. Strategi Pembinaan and Pengembangan SDM Perguruan Tinggi dalam meningkatkan Mutu Pendidikan. *Jurnal Ekonomi STEI Vol. XII No I:* 1-6

Tilaar, H.A.R. 1997. Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia dalam Era Globalisasi. Jakarta: Gramedia.

UU No 14 Tahun 2005 tentang Guru and Lecturers.

Uwes, Sanusi. 1999. Manajemen Pengembangan Mutu Lecturers. Jakarta: Logos Wacana Ilmu.