

**Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research** 

http://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/ujmer



# Mathematics Problem Solving Skill in ARIAS Learning with Scaffolding Strategy Viewed from Adversity Quotient based on Gender

Dhanang Bayu Wicaksono <sup>∞</sup>, S. B. Waluya, Tri Sri Noor Asih

Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia

# Article Info

# Abstract

Article History: Received 15 September 2018 Accepted 04 January 2020 Published 23 December 2021

Keywords: Problem Solving Skill, Adversity Quotient, ARIAS Learning, Scaffodling This research aims to describe mathematics problem solving skill seen from *adversity quotient* based on *gender* of VIII graders. This *mixed – method* used sequential explanatory design. The population was taken from VIII graders of 11 Semarang, consisting of seven classes. The techniques of collecting data was *purposive sampling*. The findings showed that *adversity quotient* of the students were varied. They were shown from 10 high *adversity quotient* students consisting of 6 high mathematics problem solving skilled students and 4 moderate skilled students. From 17 students with moderate *adversity quotient*, 6 of them had high mathematics problem solving skilled students, and 2 poor skilled students. From 5 students with poor *adversity quotient*, one of them had high mathematics problem solving skill, 2 moderate skill than the male students. Female students also could re-check again their answers with different ways while male students could re-check with the same ways on high problem solving skill category.

© 2019 Universitas Negeri Semarang

<sup>™</sup>Correspondence: Jalan Kelud Utara III, Semarang Indonesia E-mail: Dhanangbayu07@gmail.com p-ISSN 2252-6455 e-ISSN 2502-4507

# **INTRODUCTION**

Mathematics is an important lesson to develop mathematics problem solving skill. Many daily life problems which need mathematics to solve (Permatasari et al, 2015). Mathematics cannot be separated from problem solving (Ulya, 2015). It is in line with National Council of Teachers of Mathematics' (NCTM) formulation as quoted by Cahyaningrum & Sukestiyarno (2016). They stated that learning mathematics has purpose to learn communicating, reasoning, solving problem, connecting ideas, and presenting ideas. Based on Rules of Education and Culture Minister No. 21 Year 2016 about content standard of Junior and High level education, one of competencies to achieve in learning mathematics is problem solving skill (Prabawa & Zaenuri, 2017). Problem solving skill becomes the general purpose and core process in learning mathematics (Susilo et al, 2012). It shows that problem solving skill is needed by students.

One of mathematics material to use is spatial sides of cubic and rectangular figures. The importance of problem solving skill was also stated by Misu (2014). He stated that problem solving skill is part of an important mathematics curriculum because in learning and solving process, students use their knowledge and the already owned skill to be implemented into problem solving skill. An individual could solve a problem well when it is supported by good problem solving skill (Rosita & Rochmad, 2016).

Many hindrances in implementing problem solving skill which lead to poor problem solving skill of students. It is caused by teacher could not teach to develop students' problem solving skill in which heuristic and types of the problems are emphasized than problem solving skill (Lee *et al*, 2014).

Dealing with poor problem solving skill of students, there is a need of learning change. This change could be done by implementing ceratin learning model, strategy, method, and approach. A study about poor problem solving skill was from Novriani & Surya (2017, telling that percentage of problem solving skill of student reached 54.48% and was categorized insufficient.

One of applicable stages to solve mathematics problem solving skill test is Polya's stages. The Polya's stages (2000) consisted of understanding problem, planning solution, solving problem, and rechecking.

Considering the difficulties of the students in learning mathematics, the researcher was interested in finding solution to assist them by giving *scaffolding*. Anghileri in Ismawati *et al* (2017), the given *scaffolding* by teacher/more capable peer to students with problems through assistance in intial stage and is gradually decreased and keeps decreased until the students could do it alone.

A learning model which could be collaborated by *scaffolding* is ARIAS. Rahman and Amri as quoted by Mustafa & Sabirin (2017) stated that ARIAS is first effort in learning to internalize belief to students. The learning activity is relevant to students' lives and it attracts students' attentions.

One of influential attitudes to mathematics problem solving skill is *adversity quotient*. Nikam & Uplane (Ardiansyah *et al*, 2018) stated that *adversity quotient* is an individual's intelligence in facing difficulties. Stoltz in Rosita & Rochmad (2016) stated that AQ has three categories: poor (*quitter*), moderate (*camper*), and high (*climber*). Other things related to problem solving skill and *adversity quotient* is gender. Another term of *gender* is genital type. *Gender* is used to find out differences of mathematics problem solving skills between male and female students.

This research aims to (1) find out quality of ARIAS with *scaffolding* to mathematics problem solving skill of the students and (2) find out problem solving skill of the students taught by ARIAS with *scaffolding* seen from *adversity quotient* with *quitter, camper,* and *climber* categories based on the gender.

#### **METHOD**

This *mixed method* research with *sequential explanatory* design combined both quantitative and qualitative methods (Cresswell, 2014). This research was started by preliminary study, quantitative and qualitative data collections, and data analysis and interpretation.

This research was done at SMP N 11 Semarang with VIII graders in academic year 2018/2019 as the population. The subjects were 32 students taught by ARIAS with *scaffolding* and 32 students taught by *discovery learning*.

The data source was from mathematics problem solving skill tset (MPSS), adversity quotient, interview result of mathematics problem solving, and observational sheet of teacher activity during learning process. The result of the test functioned as quantitative data source while MPSS, adversity quotient questionnaire result, and the interview result were used as qualitative data source. The quantitative data was tested by normality, homogeneity, equality of two variance, passing achievement, classical achievement, grade proportional difference, and variance tests. The qualitative tests were done by data validation, verbal data transcription, data presentation, and data verification.

#### FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The quantitative researh was done to analyze effectiveness of ARIAS learning with scaffolding. The preparatoin was done by having series of learning instrument validations. Based on the assessment of learning instruments by validators, the average score was categorized good. Here is the detail of learning instrument and research instrument validations as shown in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Results of Learning and ResearchInstrument Validations.

Instruments/Research			
Instruments	Ave	Categories	
Syllabus	83%	Good	
Lesson Plan	82%	Good	
Worksheet	80%	Good	
Adversity Quotien	t 83%	Good	
Questionnaire			
MPSS questions	81,5	Good	
	%		
Observational Sheet o	f 3,75	Good	
Learning Quality			
Result of Learning	g 4.31	Very Good	
Implementation			

Before conducting the research, initial MPSS test was given to find out the minimum criteria of the students. Then, the sample was taken by *cluster random sampling*. The test was given by having 5 questions of MPSS and the initial material requirement was rectangular figure.

Based on the intial MPSS test of all VIII graders of the population, it was obtained (1) the average score of the students' mathematics problem solving skill  $(\bar{x})$  was 63 with standard deviation (s) 8.81. Therefore, the minimum passing grade criterion was  $\overline{x} + \frac{1}{4}s = 65$ ; (2) score of Sig. normality and its homogeneity respectively were 0.081 > 0.05 dan 0.468 > 0.05 so that it could be concluded the data was normally distributed and homogeneous. Then, the samples were taken randomly and resulted into VIII G as experimental group and VIII F as control group. After that, equality of intial skill average test was done. The results showed that Sig 0.227 > 0.05. It showed that mathematics problem solving skill of both groups were not significantly different.

After conducting the research and analyzing the data, it was obtained that (1) based on calculation of average completeness test,  $t_{count}$ =5.28 >  $t_{table}$  = 1.69 then  $H_0$  was denied. It meant the average of problem solving skill of experimental group was 75, higher than the minimum passing grade 65; (2) based on calculation by using classical achievement test,  $z_{count} = 2.09 > z_{table} = 1.64$  then  $H_0$  was denied. It meant there was more than 75% final test result of experimental group reached the classical minimum passing grade; (3) based on proportional difference test,  $z_{count} = 2,18 > z_{tabel} = 1.64$ , then  $H_0$  was denied. It meant the proportion of problem solving skill of experimental group was better; (4) based on calculation of variance test,  $t_{count} = 1.92 > t_{table}$ = 1.67 then  $H_0$  was denied. It meant problem solving skill of experimental group was better. Thus, it could be concluded that ARIAS with *scaffolding* was effective.

Then, qualitative analysis about mathematics problem solving skill seen from *adversity quotient* based on gender was done. The experimental goup students were given AQ questionnaire to group them into high, moderate, and poor. Here is the result of 32 students as presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Categorization of Adversity Quotient.

Categories	Numbers of students
High	10
Moderate	17
Poor	5

The descriptions of the findings about mathematics problem solving skill seen from *adversity quotient* were varied. It was shown from 10 students with high *adversity quotient*, 6 of them categorized high mathematics problem solving skilled students and 4 of them moderate skilled students. From 17 students with moderate *adversity quotient*, 6 of them were categorized high mathematics problem solving skilled students, 9 moderate skilled students, and 2 poor skilled students. From 5 students with *adversity quotient*, one of them categorized into high mathematics problem solving skilled students, 2 moderate skilled students, and 2 poor skilled students.

The findings of mathematics problem solving skill seen from *adversity quotient* showed that high AQ students would not always have high mathematics problem solving skill level. However, there were some students with poor AQ had higher mathematics problem skill level than high AQ students. It was due to the given learning model could stimulate their learnings. Students with poor mathematics problem solving skill only could reach understanding problem stage of Polya's stage. The moderate skilled students did not check again the answer because they had satisfied with their current answers. The high skilled students could accomplish until re-checking stage of Polya's stages.

Based on the analysis obtained from the works, the frequency of poor students' mathematics problem solving skilled students, between male and female students only could reach understanding level. On the moderate skilled students, between male and female students, they did not recheck again on the answer sheet. The high skilled students, the male students rechecked the answer again by redoing it again while the female students only could do so by having different methods. Furthermore, the average score of female students' mathematics problem solving scores were higher than the male students. It is in line with Jamiah in Anggraeni & Herdiman (2018) stating that female students had better problem solvng skill than male students. They were also more careful in writing the problem solving stages than male students. However, on planning stage, male students were better than female students although they still missed several parts. In another hand, Sugiyanti in Anggraeni & herdiman (2018) showed that differences of mathematics problem solving skill was on the subjects with high mathematics problem solving skill. It showed that subjects mistakenly female operated the calculation while the male subjects did not do so. However, female students could reflect their problem solving process.

# CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis and discussion, the description of mathematics problem solving skills seen from *adversity quotient* based on gender showed various results. It meant that ARIAS with *scaffolding* was qualifed and influenced various mathematics problem solving skill of the students Therefore, learning quality remaind to be the focus of learning activity.

# REFERENCE

- Anggraeni, R. & Herdiman, I. 2018. "Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematik Siswa SMP Pada Materi Lingkaran Berbentuk Soal Kontekstual Ditinjau dari gender". Jurnal Numeracy, 5(1): 19-28.
- Ardiansyah, A. S., Junaedi, I. & Asikin, M. 2018.
  "Student's Creative Thinking Skill and Belief in Mathematics in Setting Challenge Based Learning Viewed by Adversity Quotient". Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research, 7(1): 61 – 70.
- Cahyaningrum, N. & Sukestiyarno. 2016. "Pembelajaran React Berbantuan Modul Etnomatematika Mengembangkan Karakter Cinta Budaya Lokal dan Meningkatkan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah". Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education, 5(1): 50-59.
- Creswell, J. W. 2014. Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches: fourth edition. Sage publications, Inc.
- Ismawati, A., Mulyono & Hindarto, N. 2017. "Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika dalam Problem Based Learning dengan Strategi Scaffolding Ditinjau dari Adversity Quotient". Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education, 6(1): 48-58.
- Lee, N. H., Yeo, D. J. & Hong, S. E. 2014. "A Metacognitive-based Instruction for Primary Four Students to Approach Non-Routine Mathematical Word Problems". *ZDM Mathematics Education*, 4(6): 465-480.
- Misu, La. 2014. "Mathematical Problem Solving of Student by Approach Behavior Learning Theory". *International Journal of Education and Research*, 2(10): 181-188.
- Muna, I. 2014. "Proses Berpikir Siswa Climber dalam Pemecahan Masalah Matematika pada Sekolah Menengah Atas". Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika STKIP PGRI Sidoarjo, 2(2): 143-150.
- Mustafa, D. & Sabirin, M. 2017. "Efektifitas Model pembelajaran ARIAS (Assurance, Relevance, Interest, Assesments and Satisfaction) Berbantu Alat Peraga Kartu pada Materi Penjumlahan dan pengurangan

Bilangan Bulat". *Jurnal Ilmiah Kependidikan*, 6(1): 41-52.

- National Council of Teacher of Mathematics (NCTM). 2000. *Principles and Standards for School Mathematics*. Reston, VA: Author.
- Novriani, M.R & Surya, E. 2017. "Analysis of Student Difficulties in Mathematics Problem Solving Ability at MTs SWASTA IRA Medan". International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research (IJSBAR), 33(3): 63-73.
- Permatasari, B.A., Setiawan, T. B. & Kristiana, A. 2015. "Analisis Kesulitan Siswa dalam menyelesaikan Soal Materi Aljabar Siswa kelas VIII SMP Negeri 2 Bangil". *Kadikma*, 6(2): 119-130.
- Polya, G. 2000. How to Solve it: A New Aspect of Mathematical Method. Princeton University Press.
- Prabawa, E. A. & Zaenuri. 2017. "Analisis Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Ditinjau dari Gaya Kognitif Siswa pada Model Project Based Learning Bernuansa Etnomatematika". Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research, 6(1): 120-129.
- Rosita, D. & Rochmad. 2016. "Analisis Kesalahan Siswa Dalam Pemecahan Masalah Ditinjau Dari Adversity Quotient Pada Pembelajaran Creative Problem Solving". Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research, 5(2).
- Schunk, D. H. 2012. *Learning Theories: An Educational Perspective*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, Inc.
- Sunandar, M. A., Zaenuri & Dwidayati, N.K., 2018. "Mathematical Problem Solving Ability Of Vocational School Students On Problem Based Learning Model Nuanced Ethnomatematics Reviewed From Adversity Quotient". Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research, 7 (1):1–8.
- Susilo, J. Waluya, S.B. & Junaedi, I. 2012. "Pembelajaran Matematika Model Problem Based Learning berbasis SAVI untuk mengembangkan Kreativitas Peserta didik". *Journal of Primary Education*, 1(2): 112-117.
- Ulya, H., Kartono & Retnoningsih, A. 2015. "Analysis of Mathematics Problem Solving

Dhanang Bayu Wicaksono, S. B. Waluya, Tri Sri Noor Asih/

Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research. 10 (2) 2021 128 -133

Ability of Junior High School Stundents Viewed from Students Cognitive Style". *International Journal of Education and Research*, 2(10): 577-582.

Widyastuti, R. 2013. "Proses Berpikir Siswa SMP dalam Menyelesaikan Masalah Matematika Berdasarkan Langkah Polya Ditinjau dari Adversity Quotient". Jurnal Pembelajaran Matematika, 1(3):239-249.

Yani, M., Ikhsan, M. & Marwan. 2016. "Proses Berpikir Siswa Sekolah Menengah Pertama dalam Memecahkan Masalah Matematika berdasarkan Langkah-langkah Polya Ditinjau dari Adversity Quotient". Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika. 10(1):42-58.